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United Nations System at NMUN•NY

This diagram illustrates the United Nations system simulated at NMUN•NY. It shows where each committee “sits” within the system to demonstrate the reportage and relationships between entities. Examine the diagram alongside the Committee Overview to gain a clear picture of the committee’s position, purpose, and powers within the United Nations system.
Committee Overview

Introduction

The Human Rights Council (HRC) is the primary body responsible for addressing human rights issues in the United Nations system.\(^1\) HRC seeks to promote universal respect for all human rights and fundamental freedoms, effective governance on human rights issues, and, as the need arises, also provides recommendations after human rights violations have occurred.\(^2\)

Mandate, Functions, and Powers

Replacing the United Nations Commission on Human Rights, HRC was established in 2006 with General Assembly resolution 60/251, “Human Rights Council.”\(^3\) HRC’s operational structures were given further detail in 2007 by Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 on “Institution-building of the United Nations Human Rights Council,” which established the HRC Complaint Procedure for cases of consistent patterns of human rights violations.\(^4\) It is mandated to monitor, discuss, and make recommendations on human rights violations, establish international human rights standards, and promote human rights.\(^5\) The United Nations has two forms of human rights monitoring mechanisms: treaty-based bodies and charter-based bodies.\(^6\) While the ten treaty-based bodies assess the implementation of specific human rights treaties, HRC is the primary charter-based body and, although its resolutions are not legally binding, it can monitor human rights across all Member States rather than solely those which are party to specific treaties.\(^7\)

HRC may mandate international commissions of inquiry or investigations into serious violations of human rights law.\(^8\) These non-judicial commissions allow violations to be recorded and reported and can help to ensure accountability in potential future judicial proceedings, including those held by the International Criminal Court (ICC).\(^9\) The commissions also review legislative and institutional mechanisms to protect human rights and propose recommendations on how they may be strengthened.\(^10\) Separate from these commissions, HRC and its subsidiaries can establish Special Procedures, which are mechanisms that enable independent parties to report, monitor, and advise on country-specific or thematic issues under HRC consideration.\(^11\) Each investigation has a mandate and a mandate holder, typically a Special Rapporteur, an independent expert, or a working group.\(^12\) Special Procedures generally involve field visits, thematic studies, expert consultations, and regular reports to HRC and the General Assembly.\(^13\)

The HRC also oversees the Universal Periodic Review (UPR), a process under which each Member State submits to an assessment of the fulfillment of its human rights obligations.\(^14\) The complete cycle of the
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UPR process takes around four years to complete and includes several steps. At the preparation stage, information is gathered that will form the basis of the review, including national reports, stakeholder submissions, and information prepared by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). At the review stage, documents are presented at the regular sessions of the working group on the UPR. At the adoption and consideration stage, each Member State provides comments and the Member State under review can offer reservations on specific issues. Finally, during the follow-up stage, each Member State under review presents an assessment of how effectively it has acted upon the recommendations.

While the following list is not exhaustive, the mandate of HRC can be summarized as:

- **HRC will generally**: serve as a forum for dialogue on human rights; advance human rights policies and norms; mandate investigative commissions on severe violations of human rights law; initiate Special Procedures, which may encompass field visits, studies, and reports; manage the UPR processes; receive reports via the Complaint Procedure; coordinate with non-governmental and civil society actors; and make recommendations to Member States and United Nations bodies.

- **HRC will not generally**: adopt legally binding resolutions or decisions; intervene operationally in situations of human rights violations; or create new bodies or programs.

**Governance, Structure, and Membership**

HRC is a subsidiary organ of the General Assembly and makes regular recommendations and reports, most often to the General Assembly’s Third Committee. It works closely with OHCHR and receives an annual report from the commissioner on ongoing situations and topics. It is served by several sub-committees, including the Advisory Committee and various intergovernmental working groups on specific thematic areas.

The council consists of 47 Members States who are elected via a majority vote of the General Assembly through a direct and secret ballot. Membership is based on equitable geographical distribution and a specific number of seats are designated to each geographic region. During the first regular session of each year, HRC elects a President and four Vice-Presidents to serve on its Bureau, responsible for the organization and procedural functions of the committee.
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1. Human Rights and the Use of Private Military and Security Companies

Introduction

The United Nations Working Group on the Use of Mercenaries as a Means of Violating Human Rights and Impeding the Exercise of the Right of Peoples to Self-Determination defines private military and security companies (PMSCs) as “corporate entities which provide on a compensatory basis military and/or security services by physical persons and/or legal entities.”\(^{28}\) PMSCs have been used to guard individuals and assets, operate and upkeep weapons systems, detain prisoners, and offer guidance and training to local forces and security personnel.\(^{29}\) In peacekeeping operations, PMSCs have provided personnel, guard services, logistics, intelligence, and risk assessment.\(^{30}\) PMSCs are active in nearly every Member State and serve a diverse clientele, including governments, private companies, individuals, and non-governmental organizations.\(^{31}\) Their work can involve everything from governance matters to the protection of national interests and those of its citizenry.\(^{32}\) While their use is widespread, the United States, United Kingdom, China, and South Africa are host to roughly 70% of the PMSCs worldwide.\(^{33}\) Over the past two decades, the use of PMSCs has also increased in various conflict regions and countries, chiefly Iraq, the Central African Republic, Colombia, Libya, Afghanistan, Somalia, Mozambique, Mali, and Ukraine, among others.\(^{34}\)

In contrast to PMSCs, mercenaries are “soldier[s] fighting in another country’s army for profit without any affiliation to a cause or any loyalty to a country.”\(^{35}\) The *International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries* (1989) prohibits the use, training, financing, and hiring of mercenaries.\(^{36}\) There is a common understanding that PMSC personnel are mercenaries.\(^{37}\) However, if their primary role does not involve direct combat in an armed conflict, and their services do not entail active involvement in hostilities, they do not meet the criteria for being labeled as mercenaries.\(^{38}\)

In 2022, during the 51st session of the Human Rights Council, the Working Group on the Use of Mercenaries highlighted that the increased use of mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs in wartime, post-conflict scenarios, and even during peaceful times has led to a rise in human rights violations.\(^{39}\) Their reports indicated that PMSCs can harm the ability of many groups to enjoy their rights.


\(^{32}\) Ibid.

\(^{33}\) Ibid.


\(^{35}\) Ibid. p. 8.


\(^{38}\) Ibid.

including women, children, migrants, refugees, people with disabilities, LGBTQIA+, older persons, ethnic, racial, and religious minorities, human rights advocates, and journalists. Furthermore, PMSC presence in conflict areas increases risks for civilians residing there, including the risk of injury or death due to attacks on civilian infrastructure, conflict-related sexual violence, enforced disappearances, arbitrary detention, and displacement. Accountability when such incidents occur can be difficult as Member States may deny any accountability for or awareness of potential misconduct of PMSCs. A lack of coherence between international and national law combined with insufficient government regulation and industry self-regulation has often led to impunity when human rights abuses are committed by PMSCs. The Human Rights Council regularly addresses the human rights challenges associated with the operations of PMSCs and their use has become a regular topic of discussion as human rights violations in conflicts have risen worldwide.

International and Regional Framework

The Geneva Conventions (1949) are a set of international treaties and protocols defining humanitarian rules of war. The four main Geneva Conventions address the treatment of wounded, sick military personnel, prisoners of war, and civilians during armed conflicts, with additional protocols further enhancing these protections. Article 47 of the Additional Protocol I to the 1949 Geneva Conventions (1977) specifically addresses the issue of mercenaries in armed conflicts and defines mercenaries as individuals who are not members of the armed forces of a party to the conflict, do not have a right to participate in hostilities, and are motivated to take part in the conflict essentially by the desire for private gain.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) (1948) outlines fundamental human rights and principles applicable to all individuals, regardless of their nationality, ethnicity, or any other characteristic. The UDHR recognizes the inherent right to life, liberty, and security of a person, and serves as the basis for many subsequent human rights frameworks. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (1966) builds on the UDHR and highlights certain civil and political rights, including the right to life, freedom from torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment, freedom of thought and religion, freedom of expression, the right to a fair trial, and the right of peoples to self-determination, among others. The ICCPR provides a legal framework and sets out fundamental principles that govern the actions of all parties involved in armed conflicts, including PMSC personnel. The third framework that makes up the International Bill of Human Rights is the International Covenant on Economic, Social and
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Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which seeks to provide guarantees against individuals having their way of life harmed, even during conflict. States bear the primary responsibility for upholding these frameworks.

Several frameworks are also directly connected to the use of PMSCs, including the International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing, and Training of Mercenaries, which prohibits the deployment of mercenaries in armed conflicts. In 2008, the Swiss government and the International Committee of the Red Cross launched the process that gave rise to the Montreux Document on Pertinent International Legal Obligations and Good Practices for States Related to Operations of Private Military and Security Companies during Armed Conflict (Montreux Document). The Montreux Document is a multinational agreement that compiles recommendations on the responsibilities of Member States in engaging PMSCs during armed conflicts, with a focus on promoting compliance with international humanitarian law, as well as ensuring accountability and respect for human rights by PMSC.

In addition to the Montreux Document, Switzerland launched an initiative that combines governments, civil society, and PMSCs, which jointly established the International Code of Conduct for Private Security Service Providers (ICoC) in 2010. The following year, the Human Rights Council endorsed the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (Guiding Principles) in its resolution 17/4 on “Human rights and transnational corporations and other business enterprises.” The Guiding Principles were created by the United Nations Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the Issue of Human Rights and Transnational Corporations and Other Business Enterprises as a document for the private sector to guide the protection of human rights. For PMSCs, the ICoC and the Guiding Principles are frameworks that are meant to ensure the delivery of security services while respecting human rights and humanitarian law. They seek to help PMSCs conduct human rights due diligence, implement policies and procedures to prevent human rights abuses, and provide access to effective remedies for those affected by their actions.

Role of the International System

The Human Rights Councils regularly addresses the multifaceted implications of PMSCs on human rights worldwide. In 1987, the Commission on Human Rights (CHR), the predecessor of the Human Rights Council, appointed a Special Rapporteur on the Use of Mercenaries as a Means of Violating Human Rights and Impeding the Exercise of the Right of Peoples to Self-Determination to closely monitor violations of human rights and humanitarian law perpetrated by mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs. With its resolution 2005/2 on “the use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination” (2005), CHR replaced the
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mandate of the Special Rapporteur with the Working Group on the Use of Mercenaries as a Means of Violating Human Rights and Impeding the Exercise of the Right of People’s Self-determination. Five independent experts representing the five regional groups make up the working group, which monitors and researches how mercenaries and mercenary-related activities, foreign fighters, and PMSCs interfere with human rights, especially the right of people to self-determination. The working group assesses the impact of mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs on human rights and reports the findings annually to the Human Rights Council and the General Assembly. Through the thematic reports, experts focus on specific issues and regularly update the international community on situations involving human rights and mercenarism, mercenary-related activities, and PMSCs. The most recent report of the Working Group focused on the “Recruitment, including predatory recruitment, of mercenaries and mercenary-related actors.”

Since 2009, the Human Rights Council has attempted to create a legally binding document to increase regulation, accountability, and transparency of Member States and PMSCs. Such a legally binding document would address challenges through a comprehensive and binding international framework with a focus on enhancing accountability, transparency, and human rights protection. To this end, the Human Rights Council established an open-ended intergovernmental working group (IGWG) in 2010. As of October 2023, the IGWG is still in the drafting stage for a legally binding document on the use of PMSC, with the mandate of the IGWG expiring in 2026.

While deliberations on the human rights implications of the use of PMSCs are an integral part of the mandate of the Human Rights Council, multistakeholder holder initiatives that incorporate both the perspectives of PMSCs and civil society emerged from the discussions following the Montreux Document. For example, the International Code of Conduct Association (ICoCA), which brought forward the ICoC, is an association that comprises civil society as well as private sector representatives. ICoCA aims to improve the industry standards of PMSCs to ensure that human rights and international humanitarian law is protected in PMSC operations.
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The Human Rights Implications of Employing PMSCs

The use of PMSCs and the recruitment of mercenaries and mercenary-related actors has increased in recent years in conflict, post-conflict, and conflict-affected contexts, intensifying the risk of human rights violations. Use of excessive force by PMSC personnel can infringe upon the human right to life, liberty, and security and PMSC personnel may engage in torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment, all of which violate provisions of the UDHR. In their 2022 report on Access to justice, accountability and remedies for victims of mercenaries, mercenary-related actors and private military and security companies, the Working Group on the Use of Mercenaries highlighted that the presence of mercenaries and related actors in armed conflicts contributes to population displacement. The Working Group also highlighted that attacks on infrastructure can affect livelihoods, water, and power supplies and lead to looting, occupation, and destruction of private property and educational and medical facilities. In some cases, PMSC presence can exacerbate instability within communities, impinging on the ability of local populations to exercise their right to self-determination.

Member States are responsible for promoting human rights, overseeing the activities of mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs, and minimizing the harm they cause. As the custodians of human rights within their territories, Member States are meant to uphold and safeguard these rights while regulating and monitoring the actions of PMSCs and those they employ. Their responsibilities extend to ensuring that the activities of mercenaries and PMSCs adhere to international legal standards and norms, thereby reducing the risk of human rights violations.

Since violations of international humanitarian law perpetrated by mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs could constitute war crimes or crimes against humanity, it is legally possible to investigate, prosecute, or extradite the perpetrators irrespective of how much time has passed since the crimes were committed. Justice is often difficult to pursue, however, as in many places where PMSCs operate there also exists a climate of impunity and corruption due to a lack of adequate legal regimes, capable governmental institutions, or other factors. The Human Rights Council and its subsidiary bodies have advocated for the implementation of international legal mechanisms and principles that address the effect
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that PMSCs and mercenaries have on human rights and have also collaborated with international legal bodies, such as the International Criminal Court.\textsuperscript{86}

**Accountability for Human Rights Violations Committed by PMSCs**

Achieving accountability for the human rights violations that PMSCs commit brings up practical, legal, and institutional challenges.\textsuperscript{87} PMSCs often operate in complex and decentralized environments, spanning multiple jurisdictions, which can make establishing clear lines of responsibility and legal recourse challenging.\textsuperscript{88} The absence of uniform international legal frameworks that comprehensively address PMSC activities further complicates the enforcement of accountability measures.\textsuperscript{89} This fragmented system, combined with the ability of PMSCs to operate across borders, can contribute to potential impunity and evasive actions.\textsuperscript{90} Moreover, the legal accountability of PMSCs can often be unclear due to the lack of universally accepted definitions and classifications of terms, such as mercenaries, security outsourcing, use of force, and the interpretation of international law.\textsuperscript{91} These legal and practical hurdles are indicative of the lack of clear and binding standards to regulate PMSCs.\textsuperscript{92}

The lack of effective regimes also leads victims of PMSC-related human rights abuses to often face significant obstacles in seeking justice, including the challenges of identifying responsible parties and navigating complex legal systems.\textsuperscript{93} In their 2018 report on *Mercenarism and Private Military and Security Companies*, the Working Group on the Use of Mercenaries emphasized the importance of ensuring that victims have access to justice and adequate remedies that are victim-centered, meaning that they prioritize the needs and rights of victims throughout the accountability process.\textsuperscript{94} This includes providing victims with legal support, psychosocial assistance, and protection from potential retaliation.\textsuperscript{95} It also requires establishing mechanisms that allow victims to participate in proceedings and have their voices heard, helping to ensure that their experiences are considered in the pursuit of justice.\textsuperscript{96} Such mechanisms can help address the power imbalance between victims and PMSCs, fostering a more equitable pursuit of justice and accountability.\textsuperscript{97}

\textsuperscript{86} Ibid. p. 20.
\textsuperscript{88} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{89} Ibid. p. 18.
\textsuperscript{91} Ibid. p. 2.
\textsuperscript{93} United Nations, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. *Violations by mercenaries and private military and security companies highlight urgent need for maritime security oversight*. 2022.
\textsuperscript{96} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{97} Ibid.
The Human Rights Council has already recognized the need for effective remedies for violations of human rights committed by PMSCs.\textsuperscript{98} In its recent resolution 54/3 on “Use of mercenaries as a means of violating human rights and impeding the exercise of the right of peoples to self-determination” (2023), the Human Rights Council encouraged Member States that employ PMSCs to establish national mechanisms that can help ensure accountability.\textsuperscript{99} However, unlike with mercenaries, the Human Rights Council does not urge Member States to follow any international obligations to access justice for victims due to the lack of an international treaty on the use of PMSCs.\textsuperscript{100} In this context, the current draft instrument, prepared by the IGWG, includes provisions to address the lack of access to justice and establishes legal obligations for Member States.\textsuperscript{101}

**Conclusion**

The use of PMSCs has become an integral part of contemporary security operations, with an increased presence observed globally.\textsuperscript{102} The proliferation of mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and PMSCs in various contexts has been accompanied by a rise in human rights abuses and violations of international humanitarian law.\textsuperscript{103} This has often led to a lack of accountability, where victims find themselves with limited access to legal processes and justice.\textsuperscript{104} In response to these human rights challenges, the international community has initiated a series of efforts aimed at addressing PMSC operations’ implications.\textsuperscript{105} The Human Rights Council and IGWG actively promote efforts to monitor, document, gather information, and report on violations of human rights and international humanitarian law committed by mercenaries, mercenary-related actors, and private military and security companies.\textsuperscript{106} This multifaceted approach is designed to establish facts, contribute to ensuring justice, and enhance accountability within the PMSC industry.\textsuperscript{107} The Human Rights Council continues to explore how to ensure that the PMSC industry upholds the principles of accountability, transparency, and human rights protection, including through the creation of legally binding instruments to regulate PMSCs.\textsuperscript{108}

**Further Research**

As delegates conduct further research and address this topic, they should consider: How can the Human Rights Council address gaps between the international legal provisions regarding mercenaries and the softer regulatory approaches of multistakeholder initiatives addressing PMSCs, such as the Montreux Document? In creating a binding instrument to regulate PMSCs, what should the scope be, what human rights provisions should be included, and what would accountability and remedies for victims look like? Is the current draft instrument on the use of PMSCs sufficient to address the human rights implications of PMSCs? What responsibilities do Member States have in addressing PMSC use? What is the intersection of human rights and PMSCs? What is the difference between mercenaries and PMSCs?

---
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2. Human Rights of Indigenous Peoples

Introduction

Indigenous peoples around the world continue to face significant human rights violations. These violations, such as violence, forced cultural assimilation, and economic disparity, can be attributed to indigenous peoples’ attempts at defending their rights, lands, and communities. The United Nations Human Rights Council (HRC) emphasizes that the existence of systematic racism fostered within state and regional systems has contributed to greater barriers for indigenous peoples to uphold their human rights. The disadvantages brought upon by these barriers and discriminatory actions are contributing to the forced cultural assimilation and decline of indigenous peoples, who are being pushed to forsake their own heritage and identity for another. Due to their cultural background, indigenous youth are highly vulnerable to human rights violations, including limited access to education, marginalization, forced migration, and discrimination. In addition, the inadequate access to essential services paired with continual discrimination and unlawful land repossession are ongoing struggles for indigenous peoples, who are constantly trying to uphold their rights and recognition.

Although there are over 476 million indigenous peoples in 5,000 different groups, speaking more than 4,000 languages worldwide, indigenous peoples possess little representation within the high-level decision-making forums in the United Nations. In addition, the United Nations system has not adopted an official definition of "indigenous" within any United Nations body. To acknowledge the diversity of indigenous people, the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII) attempted to identify “indigenous” using concepts and terms, like self-identification and acceptance of their respective communities; historical continuity through generations; a powerful connection to territories and natural resources placed in the area; and distinct social, economic, or political systems.

Despite indigenous peoples representing 6% of the world’s population, they make up 19% of the global extreme poor. This contributes to the fact that indigenous peoples have a life expectancy up to 20 years less than the rest of society. For example, life expectancy for the Australian Aboriginals and Torres Strait Islanders remained constant at 59.6 years, while life expectancy for the broader population increased to 82.8 years. Indigenous women face a heightened risk of violence, including sexual assault.

---

and trafficking.\textsuperscript{121} This decline is often rooted in historic submission, racial discrimination, and socioeconomic factors; and worsened by displacement via natural disasters, denial of the right to self-determination, and gender-based violence.\textsuperscript{122}

Historical practices, such as the forced assimilation of indigenous children in residential schools, exemplify the damaging consequences of denying self-determination, resulting in trauma, loss of language, and cultural erosion.\textsuperscript{123} Additionally, the withholding of self-determination hinders indigenous representation in international forums, perpetuating underrepresentation and limiting their ability to advocate for their rights and resources.\textsuperscript{124} Governments and privately-owned corporations continually exploit the natural resources on ancestral lands of indigenous peoples without seeking consent, leading to adverse consequences for the environment and indigenous communities.\textsuperscript{125} The ancestral land of indigenous peoples accounts for 80\% of the world's biodiversity, meaning indigenous peoples are among the first to be affected by any changes in ecosystems since they are heavily dependent upon their land.\textsuperscript{126} In addition, indigenous people's relationship with the land has provided them with knowledge that can significantly contribute to preserving biodiversity.\textsuperscript{127} However, their contribution is threatened, given the disproportionate violations that indigenous peoples face with respect to human rights.\textsuperscript{128}

**International and Regional Framework**

Article 2 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* (UDHR) (1948) notes that “every person enjoys the protection of his or her personality, irrespective of any differences such as language, ideology, social origin, and religion.”\textsuperscript{129} In 1957, the International Labour Organization (ILO) adopted Convention 107, *Indigenous and Tribal Populations* to protect and strengthen the cultural, religious, civil, and social rights of indigenous peoples at the national level.\textsuperscript{130} The aim was to integrate indigenous peoples into the dominant way of life rather than to preserve their autonomous culture and traditions.\textsuperscript{131} Further, ILO Convention 169 on *Indigenous and Tribal Peoples* (1989) extended the existing policies of ILO Convention 107 to become the most advanced international treaty regarding the rights of indigenous peoples.\textsuperscript{132} It explicitly guaranteed and further highlighted the basic rights of indigenous peoples as outlined in the *Charter of the United Nations*, such as the rights to their own way of life and habitat; control over their own economy; and, their right to self-determination.\textsuperscript{133} To date, this is the only potential binding

\textsuperscript{124} United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. *Participation of indigenous peoples at the United Nations: Overview of responses by indigenous peoples and Member States to a 2015 questionnaire*. 2015.
\textsuperscript{126} International Fund for Agriculture Development. *Indigenous Peoples are protecting biodiversity, one harvest at a time*. 2022.
\textsuperscript{131} Ibid.
norm for indigenous rights under international law and has been ratified by 24 predominantly South American states. The *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* (ICCPR) (1966) and the *International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights* (ICESCR) (1966) also play a crucial role by affirming the basic rights of indigenous peoples. By creating a binding framework for States parties, ICCPR aims to safeguard the rights of all individuals. Particularly important are the guaranteed rights to life and freedom regardless of ethnic or cultural background, freedom of expression, and participation without discrimination in cultural, religious, or political activities. Additionally, ICESCR contributes to the protection of indigenous peoples human rights by ensuring their right to participate in and enjoy economic, social, and cultural development. ICESCR also emphasizes the right to an adequate standard of living, including food, housing, and healthcare, as well as the right to education and cultural participation.

In 2007, the General Assembly adopted the *Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (UNDRIP), highlighting the individual and collective rights of indigenous peoples and reducing discrimination in a legally non-binding declaration. UNDRIP urges Member States of the United Nations to work with indigenous peoples to solve existing problems, like under-development and discrimination, and to promote democratic multiculturalism. It underlines the importance of their right to self-determination (article 1), cultural integrity (article 3), participation in decision-making (article 21), access to justice (article 18), and equality and non-discrimination (article 20).

With the adoption of the *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (2030 Agenda) (2015), the rights of indigenous peoples have been significantly strengthened. Indigenous peoples have been directly mentioned in Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 2 (zero hunger), target 2.3, and SDG 4 (quality education), target 4.5. They also benefit through the 2030 Agenda’s general goals, like social protection in SDG 1 (no poverty); SDG 3 (healthy life and well being); social, economic and political inclusion in SDG 10 (reduced inequalities); and environmental sustainability in SDG 15 (life on land). Grounded on the principle of “leave no one behind,” the SDGs highlight the rights of vulnerable groups, including

---
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indigenous peoples.\(^\text{146}\) Also, the Paris Agreement (2015) recognizes the rights of indigenous peoples in its preamble and article 7, emphasizing the importance of respecting and promoting their rights, including to use their traditional knowledge when implementing climate action.\(^\text{147}\)

Regionally, Member States, while few, have implemented regulations regarding the rights of indigenous peoples through varying means.\(^\text{148}\) The Organization of American States passed the American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2016) to address the indigenous rights provisions not mentioned in UNDRIP; while further acknowledging its goals.\(^\text{149}\) The African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights publishes an annual report through its Working Group on Indigenous Populations/Communities and Minorities in Africa that highlights the human rights and environmental violations committed against the indigenous Batwa people in Eastern Africa.\(^\text{150}\)

**Role of the International System**

As a subsidiary body to the General Assembly, HRC plays a key role in protecting and strengthening the rights of indigenous peoples internationally and nationally.\(^\text{151}\) In partnership with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, HRC engages Special Procedures, such as the Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, to gain expertise and advice on violations against the rights of indigenous people.\(^\text{152}\) HRC has also established the Universal Periodic Overview, which oversees and assesses all potential human rights violations within Member States.\(^\text{153}\) In 1985, the Working Group on Indigenous Populations (WGIP) was established at the lowest level of hierarchy of United Nations human rights bodies.\(^\text{154}\) However, it provided numerous recommendations for increasing indigenous people’s participation in the United Nations.\(^\text{155}\) For example, the Voluntary Fund for Indigenous People, established in 1985, is a grant aimed towards helping representatives of indigenous communities to participate in United Nations mechanisms most relevant to them.\(^\text{156}\) The Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIP) was established by HRC in 2007 to replace the WGIP.\(^\text{157}\) It is a subsidiary body of HRC that provides thematic expertise and advice about the rights of indigenous peoples.\(^\text{158}\) EMRIP provides bodies of the United Nations, including HRC, with assistance regarding the rights and concerns of indigenous peoples, such as the promotion of self-determination, free, prior and
informed consent, and examining good practices affecting Indigenous Peoples. EMRIP also assists Member States in creating plans, upon request, to reach the promotion and protection of indigenous peoples, as outlined in UNDRIP.

To further promote the ideals in UNDRIP, the General Assembly established a World Conference on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2014) that resulted in a new permanent participant status for indigenous governments at the United Nations, more action and attention to violence against indigenous women, and increased respect for sacred sites. Furthermore, the conference called for the development of a system-wide action plan to achieve and support the implementation of UNDRIP goals within both the United Nations and its Member States. In addition, the conference published an outcome document, entitled Participation of Indigenous People at the United Nations, that called for EMRIP to be modified and improved to fulfill its task of assisting and monitoring Member States in implementing UNDRIP. In 2016, HRC complied with the request and amended the mandate of EMRIP to create an annual study regarding the achievement of the goals set out in UNDRIP, and provided more substantial assistance to HRC and other United Nations bodies. In 2022, the Annual Report of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples pointed out the importance of the participation of indigenous peoples within HRC and the protection of indigenous leaders and human rights defenders. The report calls on Member States to strengthen the impact of development projects on indigenous women by affirming their rights and to take legislative action where necessary.

UNPFII acts as an advisory body to the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), offering expert advice and recommendations on initiatives, funding, and partnership on indigenous matters. In addition, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) has launched many programs together with indigenous peoples to improve poverty eradication, cultural revitalization, and conflict prevention. For example, the Small Grants Programme provides support for local indigenous communities aimed to advance their rights and sustainable development. In order to enforce indigenous peoples' claim to their own land, which is secured by UNDRIP, the United Nations Human Settlement Programme has also taken measures including the Policy Guide to Housing for Indigenous Peoples in Cities. In addition, the International Fund for Food and Agricultural Development set up programs and micro-projects to protect indigenous peoples in the preservation of their culture and knowledge, and in particular to promote and
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emancipate indigenous women.\textsuperscript{171} Finally, the United Nations Environment Programme has tasked committees and conferences, like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and 26th UN Climate Change Conference (COP26), to oversee the effects of climate change on indigenous people.\textsuperscript{172}

Aside from the United Nations, several organizations work towards the protection of the human rights of indigenous peoples, including the National Indian Foundation of Brazil.\textsuperscript{173} This Foundation aims to protect any invasions on indigenous territory, much like the Arctic Council, which promotes cooperation, coordination, and interaction amongst all Arctic inhabitants.\textsuperscript{174} In addition, the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner is a position within the Australian Human Rights Commission to advocate and monitor human rights of indigenous Australians.\textsuperscript{175} In Europe, the Council of Europe created the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities, which includes indigenous peoples as local minorities.\textsuperscript{176} Moreover, the Asia Indigenous Peoples Pact is a multinational network that defends and promotes the human rights of indigenous peoples throughout Asia.\textsuperscript{177}

\textbf{Right to Self-Determination for Indigenous Peoples}

The right of self-determination is a safeguard for people to retain their distinct cultural identity and rights, while providing the foundation for their economic, political, and social development.\textsuperscript{178} It is an important aspect of international human rights law and the \textit{Charter of the United Nations}, making its recognition an integral and fundamental element of basic human rights.\textsuperscript{179} Article 1 outlines self-determination as the right of indigenous peoples to choose their own political and cultural status outside the sovereignty of other Member States and within the confines of their designated land.\textsuperscript{180} Articles 1 and 5 of the ICCPR further acknowledge and reference the right of self-determination as guaranteed for all people, which cannot be hindered by any activity of state and non-state actors.\textsuperscript{181} A 1981 \textit{Study on the Problem of Discrimination against Indigenous Populations} by Special Rapporteur of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities Jose R. Martinez Cobo provided a definition of “indigenous communities, peoples, and nations” that stressed the right of indigenous peoples to self-determination.\textsuperscript{182} However, the continued violation and denial of these rights for indigenous peoples is a persistent problem due to limited international representation, unwanted cultural assimilation and marginalization, and the fear of Member States having their territorial integrity compromised from potential separatist threats.\textsuperscript{183} The continued denial of the right to self-determination for indigenous peoples leaves them vulnerable during economic, cultural, and political strife, which could threaten their existence.\textsuperscript{184}
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During the 19th and 20th centuries, many Member States, like the Dominion of Canada, had a common practice of systematically removing indigenous children from their families to be placed in Indian Residential Schools for forced cultural assimilation.\footnote{185} According to Amnesty International, the purpose of these institutions was for indigenous peoples to cease to exist as a distinct group that possesses their own government and culture under the right of self-determination.\footnote{186} In Canada, before their closure by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada, over 21\% (or roughly 86,000) of living survivors were reported to have been diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder or other chronic medical conditions.\footnote{187} In addition, these programs became responsible for over 90 indigenous languages being placed on Canada’s endangered language list.\footnote{188} The damages committed by similar programs correlates to the disadvantages given to indigenous peoples, who are without the means to uphold their cultural identity and self-determined rights, both nationally and internationally.\footnote{189}

Withholding the right to self-determination prevents indigenous peoples from being represented and recognized as a people outside the governing constituents and organizations of the Member State that they are residing in.\footnote{190} This underrepresentation is observed within most United Nations bodies that fail to recognize the status of indigenous peoples and their respective organizations as anything other than non-governmental organizations (NGOs) without any voting rights.\footnote{191} This non-observer status has limited indigenous peoples’ participation on key decision-making issues that have a direct impact on them.\footnote{192} A major limitation can be seen through the need and lack of internal and external United Nations funds designed to increase the diversity of participation of indigenous peoples within United Nations committees.\footnote{193} The United Nations Voluntary Fund for Indigenous People provides opportunities for different groups of indigenous peoples to fully participate as NGOs within relevant committees.\footnote{194} However, the limited funding donations and selective criteria by the fund’s Board of Trustee, who selects recipients based on interest, prevents all indigenous groups from having the chance for adequate representation.\footnote{195} In the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples (2014) report, \textit{Participation of Indigenous People at the United Nations}, this denial of representation can be attributed to Member States’ failure to uphold the principle of self-determination, which is the United Nations only form of accreditation for participation and funding within their committees.\footnote{196} Without upholding the right to self-determination, indigenous peoples will continue to lag behind other groups and be forced to assimilate.\footnote{197}
Human Rights of Indigenous People and Climate Change

According to UNDP, approximately 70 million indigenous peoples, along with another 350 million rural local people residing around them, are dependent on their historic land for their livelihoods.\(^{198}\) Climate change is a significant issue that impacts the rights and livelihood of all people and sectors.\(^{199}\) According to IPCC, an estimated 3.3 billion people are highly susceptible to the impacts of climate change, including droughts, earthquakes, and floods, which have been increasing in both frequency and intensity since 2009.\(^{200}\) If left unaddressed, climate change can jeopardize indigenous peoples’ food security, traditional livelihoods, and cultural practices.\(^{201}\) Experts of the COP26 highlighted the correlation between climate change and indigenous peoples’ rights, focusing on how climate change exacerbates the difficulties already faced by indigenous communities, including political and economic marginalization, loss of land and resources, food scarcity, discrimination, and unemployment.\(^{202}\)

Climate change also affects the supply and quality of traditional foods and cultivated crops that are necessary for the survival of many indigenous populations.\(^{203}\) For example, rising temperatures, dune expansion, and loss of vegetation in Africa negatively impact traditional practices and animal herding of indigenous peoples located in the Kalahari Basin in the southern part of the continent.\(^{204}\) In addition, increasing droughts negatively impact water scarcity for indigenous peoples, who are unable to gain adequate access to state privatized water resources through state-wide marginalized legislations that violate their right to health and water, as outlined in the ICESCR.\(^{205}\) Historically, indigenous peoples would combat climate change by implementing traditional adaptation plans, like migration or alternative farming for disaster management and recovery.\(^{206}\) However, current political and economic legislations have prevented indigenous peoples from implementing these changes under the guise of territorial integrity (or sovereignty) by Member States or state governments.\(^{207}\) These legislations prevent indigenous peoples from migrating to safer areas outside their trust land under the threat of cultural assimilation or illegal activity on government property.\(^{208}\) In addition, some alternative practices may be deemed illegal under the sovereignty of presiding Member States, thus limiting alternative farming as an effective means for combating climate disasters.\(^{209}\)

Similar marginalized legislations have been implemented by state governments and private companies to disregard indigenous peoples’ land rights for mining operations that contribute to excess carbon output.\(^{210}\) The World Resource Institute’s report entitled Undermining Rights: Indigenous Land and Mining in the Amazon highlights how 20% of all mining activity, or roughly 450,000 square kilometers, in the Amazon rainforest occurs unlawfully on indigenous peoples land.\(^{211}\) National laws within presiding Member States are skewed unfavorably against indigenous peoples, whose trusted land is taken for natural resources.
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and polluted by industrial runoff. Data from the World Bank report, *Opportunities for Strengthening Collective Land Tenure Rights in Carbon Fund Countries*, further emphasizes the benefits of respecting indigenous peoples' land rights in regard to stopping deforestation and other man-made activities that contribute to climate change. Due to their significantly lower carbon output, indigenous peoples are the most effective groups for sustaining and fostering the land that humans and states depend upon.

**Conclusion**

The rights of indigenous peoples are clearly outlined in the *Charter of the United Nations* and the UDHR, yet indigenous peoples are still among the most vulnerable groups due to their cultural, political, and ethnic backgrounds. Political and economic marginalization prevents indigenous peoples from retaining their cultural identity without the threat of intimidation and violence for assimilation and exploitation. Member States should work to uphold indigenous peoples' right to self-determination, as it enables them to regain their voice in political talks that are addressing their issues. In addition, Member States should address the restrictions placed upon indigenous peoples to exploit their promised land, while recognizing the disastrous environmental effects of plundering their resources and denying their historical knowledge. By upholding international law, fostering collaboration, and implementing comprehensive regulatory frameworks, the global community can work with indigenous peoples towards upholding their human rights and fostering global sustainability during natural disasters.

**Further Research**

As delegates conduct further research and reflect on how to address this topic, they should consider: What can HRC do to further uphold and enforce the rights of indigenous peoples? How can HRC recommend greater participation of indigenous peoples in high-level decision-making forums? What can HRC do to ensure that both the rights of indigenous peoples and Member States are being adequately addressed during and after natural disasters? How can Member States uphold and enforce indigenous peoples right to self-determination with regards to their sovereignty?
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