Recognizing the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme, which manages and develops sustainable tourism at cultural heritage sites by creating increased awareness and balanced participation in order to protect the cultural value of sites,

Reaffirming the 1980 Manila Declaration on World Tourism, which provides the moral and intellectual basis for international understanding and interdependence,

Expressing deep appreciation for the World Monuments Fund (WMF), a New York based non-profit, which works with local communities to sustain their heritage, to act as an advocate for endangered sites, and to train craftspeople to enable sustainable heritage solutions,

Approving of the continued use of the World Heritage Fund to preserve World Heritage Sites to use a portion of the funds obtained by tourism to directly fund the maintenance and upkeep of the Sites,

Fully aware of the Association for Tourism and Leisure Education and Research Organization, which develops transnational educational initiatives in tourism and leisure for local and regional civilian communities,

Recalling the International Council of Archives, which is dedicated to the effective management of records and the preservation, care, and use of the world’s archival heritage, which will allow Member States to better monitor sites in danger and prevent future damage,

Emphasizing Article 18 of the World Heritage Convention adopted in November 1972, which notes cultural heritage and natural heritage are increasingly threatened with destruction due to the traditional causes of decay and also by changing social and economic conditions from conflict,

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Encourages Member States to raise awareness through the continuing nomination of their heritage sites, and community outreach while continuing to support the five C’s of preservation and protection; credibility, conservation, capacity-building, communication, and communities, as stated in the Budapest Declaration;

2. Calls for education of the public on the importance of world heritage and building pride and unity with local communities through culturally embedded livelihood practices to help retain local knowledge of history, culture, and to generate employment while enabling local economic development, and training to promote emergency preparedness and response to natural disasters;

3. Further invites Member States to develop a multilevel system within their respective state for protection by:

   a. establishing a transparent system by allocating responsibilities to specific government ministries including but not limited to Heritage Policy Bureaus, Heritage Conservation Bureaus and Heritage Promotion Bureaus;

   b. establishing National, provincial, and local systems, based upon civil, governmental and institutional societies providing their expertise to create unique and diverse policies, and organizations that protect and preserve registered or undesignated World Heritage Sites;

4. Recommends the expansion of the UNESCO Archives to include structural layout of World Heritage to have digital preservation of the site that will help to rebuild the site in case of a natural disaster;
5. **Recommends** Member States invest in ecotourism and other sustainable practices to protect and preserve World Heritage Sites by ensuring that sites are protected from indiscriminate construction, facilitating practical assistance, using information-based training, linking sponsors with appropriate projects, and provide long-term sustainability by involving the indigenous communities in the custodianship and protection of sites;

6. **Invites** Member States to engage their local and regional populations in order to promote the sense of custodianship over and cultural affiliation to World Heritage sites via:

   a. A partnership between UNESCO and the WMF for the preservation of World Heritage Sites includes working closely to build economic, technical, and governmental infrastructures necessary to protect and preserve sites of the future, assembling of teams of international specialist to conduct pilot projects, develop training programs, plans with communities for long-term stewardship of sites, building the capacity of World Heritage Site education, youth development, training local community members in tourism related activities to participate in industry and receive tourism benefits;

   b. The creation of a UNESCO Voluntary Fund to assist in the funding of the WMF in preservation, education, and sustainability; to which capable Member States may voluntarily contribute to aid in education cultural preservation and community revitalization;

7. **Encourages** Member States to increase visitation to their World Heritage Sites through advertisement around high traffic areas which will increase revenue and therefore allow for the sustainability of infrastructure and integrity of the sites;

8. **Invites** Member States to focus on long-term sustainability of their World Heritage Sites by enhancing land efficiency, where focus is placed on ensuring the conservation of soil water-regime, and biodiversity to assist in the preservation of natural diversity sites in collaboration with United Nations Environmental Programme’s Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biodiversity through Sound Tourism Development in Biosphere Reserves in Central and Eastern Europe in order to extend its efforts internationally.
Seeking to educate, promote, and invest in sustainable tourism practices,

Expressing its appreciation for resolution A/RES/69/230 on Culture and Sustainable Development, which highlights that culture and tradition are two rudimentary features of a state for the promotion of sustainable development for its people, its country, and its economic status,

Believing that the promotion of sustainable tourism is an essential tool for the preservation and shielding of cultural and natural sites in the tourism sector,

Alarmed by the unequal opportunities of educational experiences among the global community, as well as the unequal development of the economies of Member States through tourism,

Stressing resolution A/RES/69/233 on the Promotion of Sustainable Tourism, including ecotourism, for poverty eradication and environmental protection and the recognition of the benefits of employing sustainable approaches in economic development and environmental preservation,

Guided by resolution A/RES/69/281 on Saving Cultural Heritage that accentuates the need for a joint effort of the international community to safeguard heritage and which defines cultural heritage as the representative of human culture which can only be achieved with protection from local community,

Fully aware of the 2013 Hangzhou Declaration, which calls on Member States to value, safeguard, and transmit culture for future generations as a preparatory measure for the post-2015 UN Sustainable Development Goals,

Observing the importance of the implementation of domestic programs to expand and promote education for tourists and the employees of World Heritage sites,

Recalling the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20), which was an inclusive intergovernmental process that was initiated to prepare a set of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs),

Emphasizing with deep concern the urgency to take actions within the educational and tourism sectors in support of Member States’ pursuit of economic growth and the importance of promoting the preservation of World Heritage Sites, while maintaining safety for all tourist and employees,

Having adopted the Code of the Cultural and Landscape Heritage, specifically Article 3, as a mandate for regulatory, investigative and protective measure for preservation of cultural heritage,

Recognizing the considerable value of and demand for experts and analysts in local and regional areas with countries of need as shown in resolution A/RES/69/114,

Recalling the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict with Regulations for the Execution of the Convention, specifically the sections concerning the criminalization of intentional destruction of religious cultural property in times of armed conflict and the illegal transportation of cultural property across borders,

Recalling the resolution adopted by the 20th General Assembly of States Parties to the Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage as it invites the Director-General of UNESCO to inform the Secretary-General of the United Nations when the common heritage of humanity is threatened with willful destruction so that he/she may propose necessary actions to protect this heritage,
Recalling A/RES/69/281, adopted on 28 May 2015, which highlights the need to take measures to safeguard tangible and intangible heritage of communities in Iraq against terrorist forces in the Middle East,

Recognizing the 2001 resolution on the Protection of the Cultural Heritage of Afghanistan adopted at the 13th General Assembly of States Parties to the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, which condemned the destruction of Afghanistan's tangible heritage by extremist groups,

Recalling the World Heritage Sites in danger of being damaged by general human activity or weathering, specifically the religious city of Timbuktu in Mali, as referenced by decision 36 COM 7B.106 of the 36th Session of the World Heritage Committee,

Recognizing the importance of natural heritage sites as equal to cultural heritage sites, while also recognizing the contribution of biological integrity to the ecosystem and tourism industries of each Member State as laid out in the 1972 UNESCO Recommendation concerning the Protection, at National Level, of the Cultural and Natural Heritage,

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Encourages all Member States to officially codify in legislation the Hangzhou Declaration assessment of tangible heritage cultural preservation for posterity;

2. Draws attention to any acts of willful destruction and illegal trade of artifacts and recommends increased accountability for these criminal acts by raising awareness through diligent assessment and filing official reports on these artifacts to the UNESCO and the ECOSOC committees;

3. Recommends Member States to share human resources and expertise on conservation efforts available through the UNESCO Fellowship Program in which participants are given the opportunity to develop their skills in the field;

4. Endorses multilateral volunteer educational exchange programs in which professionals in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) can assess world heritage sites and recommend physical modification to the relevant states and encourages these states to work with various non-governmental organizations in order to help protect these sites from natural weathering and disasters;

5. Further recommends that experts in historical preservation be made available at the regional and local level in order to make integrity assessments of heritage sites and make recommendations for sustainability to the ECOSOC committee and the Security Council to take appropriate actions;

6. Encourages Member States to install signs and barriers near and around archaeological sites that will help protect vulnerable archaeological sites by discouraging tourists and locals from damaging or vandalizing sites which will serve to extend the longevity of these sites;

7. Recommends that Member States send voluntary advisers with conflict early warning expertise to countries under threat of terrorism and civil fighting as well as make risk assessments of heritage sites based on the flow of conflict in order to prepare for necessary action to protect these sites because of the understanding of the diversity of human threats that are present in each Member State;

8. Further suggests that the African Union extends the parameters of the existing Continental Early Warning System to include the realm of World Heritage and Cultural Heritage sites;

9. Encourages the use of geo-referencing techniques by Member States, in cooperation with the United Nations Space Based Platform for Disaster Management and Emergency Response, in order to help build databases and analyze archaeological heritage sites affected by the crises in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Syria;

10. Moves to strengthen conservation efforts through research, data collection, and monitoring at World Heritage Sites, including through the use of satellite imagery, flora and fauna distribution data, and field-based programs
11. **Firmly seeks** cooperation with international committees to provide for public safety and security during travel by welcoming the supporting medical teams from other countries and funding regional hospitals and building several tourism medical support stations;

12. **Encourages** UNESCO to collaborate more closely with Member States to enhance the effort for environmentally friendly access to the heritage sites by:
   a. encouraging political leaders to make firm commitments to historical sites including ecotourism activity;
   b. promoting projects to train and educate specialists on the creation of environmentally friendly infrastructure for sites;
   c. creating and maintaining working relationships with regional and local networks at the sites;

13. **Highly encourages** all Member States to support the campaigns of the United Nations World Tourism Organization’s promotion of sustainable tourism as a tool to protect the cultural and natural sites in the tourism campaign;

14. **Strongly endorses** cooperation between Member States and other organizations in the global community to reduce travel costs in terms of transportation in order to promote equal tourism for educational experiences and the sustainability of economic development by:
   a. creating regional organizations to watch over transportation prices to ensure that no Member State is at an advantage or disadvantage in terms of tourist being able to access one country but not another;
   b. creating multilateral agreements between Member States to help people travel to specific World Heritage sites, similar to exchange students, in order to provide equal access to educational experiences;
   c. requesting assistance from the International Fund for Cultural Diversity for money to cover as much of the costs of travel between Member States to foster cultural diversity and create dialogue between cultures and Member States;

15. **Urges** Member States to comply with the SDGs, in particular Goal 9, which calls to build infrastructure, promote inclusiveness, and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation;

16. **Invites** states to implement domestic programs such as the early education programs aimed at the elevation of pride of heritage within the community from an early age, following the examples seen in the Kyiv Initiative Regional Programme and Associated Schools Project Network in Malaysia;

17. **Draws the attention** to the creation and development of local expertise on World Heritage Sites by:
   a. implementing education initiatives for the education of the local community;
   b. working on building infrastructure that encourages deeper bond with sites;
   c. encouraging more volunteers to work and train under the International Centre for the Study of the Preservation and Restoration of Cultural Property;

18. **Encourages** Member States to participate in an annual World Heritage conference to discuss information, projects, and endeavors regarding the preservation of World Heritage Sites that will be:
a. called the Conference for the Preservation of World Heritage and Culture;

b. funded by the World Heritage Fund;

c. open to all, including experts heavily involved in World Heritage site preservation, from institutions all over the world;

d. used for the promotion of global cooperation for World Heritage Preservation efforts;

19. Emphasizing the promotion and protection of tourists by enhancing local water protection and food quality in each region, making hygiene conditions acceptable to foreign visitors; the specific standard for water and food quality should follow the EPA’s Water quality standard and the International Organization Standardization (ISO) for Food Quality.
Recalling the 1972 World Heritage Convention as a means of protecting and conserving natural and cultural heritage,

Reaffirming the request by the 35th session of the World Heritage Committee to draft an Implementation Plan, which allows for appropriate roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities,

Distressed by detrimental environmental impacts including pollution, degradation, excessive waste, water reduction, erosion, and rising destruction of heritage sites by private corporations,

Noting appreciation with the effectiveness of engaging various stakeholders including government, local authorities, civil societies and the private sector into programs on tourism development,

Emphasizing that sustainable tourism embraces the concept of stewardship a responsibility to care for the environment in a way that will preserve and enhance its well being for residents and its appeal for future visitors,

Recognizing that the shift from carbon-emitting transportation to environmentally sustainable alternative transportation methods has occurred in developed Member States,

The United Nations Educational, Science and Cultural Organization,

1. Encourages private tourist corporations to establish environmentally sustainable tourist practices:
   a. Through developing sustainable transportation that decreases fossil fuel consumption and carbon dioxide emission through the implementation of public transportation and increased accessibility of bikes, Segway, boats, etcetera;
   b. by means of reducing material waste through monthly clean up days, integrating safety measures and upgrading solid waste methods, regulating garbage collection and administering recycling programs;
   c. by regulating flash photography and restricting environmentally detrimental belongings from being brought into heritage sites, depending on the nature of the site;

2. Recommends that member states implement environmentally sustainable improvements through employment and training of local communities to ultimately build nationalism and simulate the local economy by:
   a. promoting download of application upon entering site and presenting a brief presentation detailing the historical and cultural importance of heritage sites prior to entering heritage site;
   b. promoting jobs in the neighborhoods surrounding the heritage sites and regulating proper cleanup management mechanisms to remove trash and other waste from the heritage sites;
   c. establishing regional tourism study groups to increase citizen involvement and help hotels and other tourism establishments know that visitors are welcome to come for one or more sessions;

3. Suggest the World Heritage Committee to create comprehensive education to tourists that provides procedures, rules and historical content through the creation of a smart phone application such that:
   a. the application will eliminate pamphlet waste and facilitate enforcement of regulations;
b. the application will provide guided tours with detailed introduction of procedures, rules and enforcements;

c. the application will include detailed history of the site to promote tourist’s respect and preservation of the site;

d. the application will promote new sustainable transportation options by providing public transportation options, schedules and maps;

e. the application will be sponsored by local restaurants, gift shops and hotels that are advertised on the application;

f. tourists will be incentivized to download the application because of these exciting features and coupons to local businesses and neighboring world heritage sites;

g. the application will be advertised on every heritage site website and tourists will be informed of the application upon arrival to historic site;

4. Proposes that local government’s tourism departments, local non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations and concession holders to use the comprehensive education techniques referenced in operative three for environmentally sustainable tourist practices to tailor the needs of each unique heritage site and institute the most effective and sustainable tourist practices;

5. Recommends that tourist activities in developing countries should be removed from the governmental tourist sector to stimulate collaboration between the public-private sector that aligns with the UN agenda:

   a. which is based on detailed analysis by UNESCO to yield sustainable and consistent financial projections;

   b. further engaging foreign and native investment to cultivate small-scale tourism enterprises, boosting economic growth;

6. Notes with gratitude the financial support received from developed Member States to aid developing Member states transition from environmentally deleterious transportation practices to environmentally sustainable transportation methods.
Recognizing the stress on World Heritage Sites caused by natural disasters and conflict,

Highlighting the bilateral and multilateral co-operation intended to increase the expertise and technical capacities of institutions charged with the duty to manage their heritage as outlined in WHC-99/CONF.206/7 adopted by the 12th General Assembly of States Parties to the World Heritage Convention,

Reminding the body of Resolution 2199 condemning the destruction of Cultural Heritage Sites recently adopted by the UN Security Council as well as the recent World Heritage Committee’s decision to use the latest geo-spatial technology to record and share damage done to Heritage Sites in Syria through the UN’s Institute for Training and Research and the UN Operational Satellite Applications Programme,

Referring to The United Nations Charter chapter VII sections 15, 16, and 17 condemning the destruction and looting of cultural heritage sites,

Noting Article 5 of the 1972 World Heritage Convention, which aims “to integrate the protection of that heritage into comprehensive planning programmes” and the successes of satellites in identifying the destruction Syrian World Heritage Sites,

Recognizing the success of Belgian GIS Technology and Belgian Science Policy Office in conjunction with the UNESCO World Heritage Center of finding new places to nominate and confirm as World Heritage Sites via satellite imagery,

Expressing satisfaction concerning the progress that has been made within universities compiling geo-referencing techniques and information in an effort to make such information interactive, transparent and available to all Member States and their citizens as outlined in E/C.20/2015/7,

Affirming the Global Strategy’s goal of reflecting the full spectrum of cultural and natural treasures of the world outlined in WHC-94/CONF.003/INF.6,

Acknowledging the shortcomings of current mechanisms for determining the status and specific needs and diversity of situations within Member States which necessitates differentiation in levels of endangerment,

Commending the work of voluntary youth expert panels as outlined in WHC.15/39.COM/19 that discuss and recommend solutions including geo-spatial and satellite technology,

Recognizing certain Member States have in the past and will continue to contribute funds to geo-spatial technology initiatives,

Cognizant of the issues that certain Member States face bringing tourism into their borders to create cultural fluency among the global community,

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Invites Member States to openly discuss creative alternatives such as the monitoring of sites in place of more traditional preservation techniques;

2. Decides that Member States and organized interests should remain diligent managing their Sites and begin implementing satellite technologies within their own borders that have been provided and implemented by UNESCO;
3. **Recommends** Member States consent to UNESCO, the United Nations Institute for Training and Research, and the United Nations Operational Satellite Applications Programme monitoring their heritage sites via satellite and geo-spatial technology through a partnership with the UN Office on Outer Space Affairs in an effort to make use of the latest technology available by:

   a. taking detailed satellite images of World Heritage Sites, which will allow for the identification of the causes that destroy sites and assist in the rebuilding and restoration;
   
   b. monitoring potential terrorist activity at these Sites and the illegal trafficking of artifacts from World Heritage Sites;
   
   c. making available the information gathered to other Member States, their academic institutions, their own citizens and the world population as a whole;

4. **Requests** that Member States recognize and discourage the looting and theft of artifacts from Heritage Sites within their state while also openly sharing information about incidences of looting and theft within their own borders and within the borders of other Member States with other states;

5. **Implores** Member States to become more active participants of planning large scale, long-term programs, such as cooperation with academic institutions, communities and other Member States to protect these sites for generations to come;

6. **Reiterates** the importance of implementing satellite technology not only for monitoring current sites but to also find new sites of interest to become part of UNESCO’s World Heritage Sites list and add to the world economy and global cultural heritage value;

7. **Welcomes** Member States to participate in data collection and data sharing programs within their own academic institutions and that this information become available to all other Member States’ academic institutions;

8. **Establishes** levels of World Heritage Endangerment based on levels of danger and risk as outlined by the Operational Guidelines of the 1972 World Heritage Convention, including:

   a. Category 4 which is “Critically Endangered,” where there is ascertained danger to a Site, significant degradation or loss of historical integrity of the Site or potential risk of further degradation;
   
   b. Category 3 which is “Endangered,” where these is ascertained danger to site, some degradation or loss of historical integrity of the Site or some potential risk of further degradation;
   
   c. Category 2 which is “Vulnerable,” where there is an absence of ascertained danger, however, the region is extremely vulnerable to natural disasters, instability or environmental concerns;
   
   d. Category 1 which is “Safe,” where there is an absence of ascertained danger and a relatively low risk of degradation to the Site;

9. **Recommends** utilizing voluntary youth expert panels hosted by UNESCO in the 39th Session and in future sessions of the World Heritage Convention to address preservation, restoration and causes of degradation to World Heritage Sites;

10. **Calls for** more Member States to contribute funds to the World Heritage Fund to make these projects more universal and more easily attainable;

11. **Further requests** that Member States take advantage of the geo-spatial technology mentioned above to achieve sustainable tourism and cultural communication at their World Heritage Sites on a domestic level.
Noting with appreciation the success of UNESCO in preserving and protecting World Heritage Sites, including by
parking with Member States for an international campaign to save the monuments of Nubia, the ruins of Mohenjo-
Daro in Pakistan, and the Borobudur temple compounds in Indonesia,

Fully Aware of the fact that the growth of tourism is threatening many World Heritage Sites due to high levels of
visitation and the need for engagement with local populations in sharing global culture though category 2
organizations such as the Nordic and African World Heritage Funds,

Recognizing the efforts introduced through frameworks such as the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism, the 2002
Budapest Declaration on World Heritage, the Manila Declaration of World Tourism, as well as the Tourism
Programme Action Plan,

Bearing in mind the continued efforts necessary to protect our world’s heritage sites,

Welcoming the suggestion to begin a micro credit fund to sponsor education to the local communities throughout
Member States by recalling the efficiency of microcredit as noted in A/RES/52/194,

Taking note of the potential threat of tourism on World Heritage Sites in regards to the cultural, historical, and
natural integrities outlined by A/RES/69/233 as well as A/RES/69/224,

Reaffirming the importance of culture and its effects on the local communities in the region, with special emphasis
on past collaborations in regards to the regional meeting of experts in Montenegro focused on “World Heritage and
Sustainable Development: the Role of Local Communities,”

Keeping in mind A/RES/63/308, and its assertion that the future we want is dependent upon the international
community’s ability to approach balancing tourism and preservation,

Having Considered A/RES/34/134 concerning the convening of the World Tourism Conference in September and
October 1980 at Manila by the World Tourism Organization,

Aware of the important role of the 1987 Brundtland Commission, which focused on economic progress, social
justice, and environmental preservation for the purpose of sustainable development,

Noting with Satisfaction the positive impacts of Medical Tourism, including foreign-exchange generation of and
investment in technology and equipment, development and protection of natural resources, cultural enrichment,
employment generation, and cooperation between different agencies such as the United Nations Industrial
Development Organization that supports capacity-building initiatives and partnerships to promote private sector
development,

Referring to Article 2 Section 4 of the Global Codes of Ethics for Tourism, Article 2 Section 4, which states that
travel for health purposes as a particular beneficial form of tourism,

Considers education to be crucial to preservation of world heritage sites as supported by A/RES/67/132 A-B,

Reaffirming Article 27 of “Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage,”

Stressing the desire to improve the way in which UNESCO conducts cultural exchange and education,

Acknowledging the relevance of healthcare or medical care in the tourism industry,
Emphasizing a multifaceted approach to cultural education,

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Endorses a three pronged approach in regards to preservation, education, and growth;

2. Recognizes the efforts introduced through frameworks such as the Global Code of Ethics for Tourism, the 2002 Budapest Declaration on World Heritage, the Manila Declaration of World Tourism, as well as the Tourism Programme Action Plan;

3. Endorses the creation of the Tourism Harm Reduction and Implementation of Vocational Education initiative, or THRIVE, a grassroots campaign dedicated to harmonize the effects of tourism and the environmental and cultural heritages of locations on the regional and global scale by:

   a. supporting the sustainability of biodiversity;
   b. adopting a socio-economic impact assessment program in tandem with the approval of tourism projects associated with World Heritage Properties;
   c. establishing the outreach to local communities in efforts to identify the heritage sites that are under promoted;
   d. equipping the new employees with the proper tools required to perform the task of guiding tourists, installing a boot camp training program aimed towards local residents that will:
      i. provide financial support to new employees during the training duration so that they can support their family and themselves;
      ii. cooperate with NGOs and enlist a volunteer team that will educate local residents looking for a job on: respecting the tourists’ cultures, properly chaperoning the tourists, emergency procedures, and all of the necessary information to keep both the tourists and the environment safe;
   e. Urging all category 2 organizations and associated Teacher Training programs to provide a focal point towards furthering cultural education;
   f. Increasing education by creating Interdisciplinary Research Teams, with scientific and technical expertise to draw up and regularly update comprehensive inventories of the cultural heritage and national level;
   g. Inviting all governments and NGOS to join in UNESCO’s efforts by:
      i. sponsoring and hosting fellowships and exchange programs between various member states;
      ii. sponsoring classes and programs that educate all people on world heritage sites;
      iii. hosting cultural events and programs at every world heritage site;
   h. Calling for the promotion of an international tax treaty through the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) for the purposes of:
      i. incentivizing developing nations to allocate tax proceeds to regional local governments for the purpose of preserving World Heritage Sites by;
      ii. utilizing funds provided by the OECD in a tiered system to be based on those nations hosting endangered World Heritage Sites;
iii. employing UNESCO in the monitoring of preservation of World Heritage Sites by local-regional governments;

iv. revisiting the status of endangered World Heritage Sites on an international level, encouraging all OECD to hold a Sustainable Tourism Convention (SCT);

v. continuing to allocate OECD funds to local regional governments for the purposes of protecting World Heritage Sites;

i. Stresses the cooperation of various NGOs such as the Network of Asia Pacific Education and Training Institute in Tourism (APETIT) in the:

   i. cultural training of tour guides for utilization of their expertise and sustainable methods to balance tourism and the integrity of World Heritage;

   ii. promoting preservation with the Earthwatch Institute;

   iii. employing the Travel Foundation in addressing sustainable tourism in business professionals so as to institutionally protect the cultural integrity of the most frequently visited World Heritage Sites;

4. Supports enlarging the intake of volunteer expertise and creating cooperation mechanisms to associate Non-Governmental Organizations with various procedures related to the protection and effective management of industrial heritage, and when necessary, nurture volunteer resources by providing capacity-building initiatives;

5. Encourages the establishment of a network of multidisciplinary task forces, bringing together expertise and financial strategies, investment and partnerships, to facilitate knowledge sharing in sustainable regeneration projects that are driven by rehabilitation of cultural heritage sites;

6. Requests the expansion of global publicity of the sustainability generated by the actions of high value companies by exploring and cooperating with those that are unique and generate differentiation and add value to services in regards to medical tourism;

7. Draws the attention of member states to promote investment in sustainable tourism to create small and medium-sized enterprises that facilitate economic growth and promote micro credit initiatives for impoverished people;

8. Recommends that education according to “Convention Concerning the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritage” be refined;

9. Requests that member states train teachers and dedicate more time in classes to discussions of History and Culture;

10. Encourages the development of means to facilitate cultural education whilst protecting our world heritage sites, including:

   a. reaffirming its belief in the education and practice of social equality in regards to the world’s heritage sites;

   b. recognizing the expansion of opportunities and facilities for medical tourism;

   c. requesting the involvement of all member states and medical/international NGOs in facilitating this program;

   d. requiring the dissemination of medical tourism information at every world heritage site.
Emphasizing that world heritage is the amalgamation of all cultures together and is a large component in the development of a shared human identity as idealized in the Constitution of UNESCO, which goes to promote the development of long-term, sustainable peace,

Referring to Article 11 (4) of the Convention on World Heritage Sites to prioritize various preservation mechanisms of the outstanding universal value of World Heritage Sites,

Remembering that many World Heritage Sites are hard to access due to the lack of appropriate transportation infrastructure,

Keeping in mind the fact that, if not properly managed, tourism may be damaging, as rapid development may cause problems such as pollution, habitat destruction, and the disturbance of isolated cultures,

Emphasizing the World Tourism Organization Network’s definition of sustainable tourism, which is “tourism that takes full account of its current and future economic, social, and environmental impacts, addressing the needs of visitors, the industry, the environment, and host communities,”

Realizing the need for regulation and control of World Heritage Sites to lie in the hands of the local communities that preside over them as affirmed in the World Heritage Convention,

Taking note of the principles stipulated in the Manila Declaration on World Tourism that assert tourism and responsible preservation are not mutually exclusive initiatives,

Realizing that educating locals on methods to manage natural resources and World Heritage Sites is an essential element of UNESCO’s efforts to maintain preservation,

Bearing in mind that the rise in global population and tourism may lead to an overuse of resources both maritime and land-based,

Fully aware that formal education plays a pivotal role in increasing awareness amongst youth in respect to sustainable management and allocation of resources,

Emphasizing that the central goal of promoting preservation worldwide necessitates extensive research on innovative ways to acquire natural resources and safeguard World Heritage Sites,

Reaffirming that a stable infrastructure is needed to accelerate the growth of the tourism industry in various regions,

Underlining the need for transparency and trust between Member States to facilitate dialogue on an international platform,

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Encourages local non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society organizations to partner up with local governments in the creation of sustainable programs, which educate locals on the importance of World Heritage Sites;

2. Further invites Member States to host dialogues between the private sector, the public sector, non-governmental organization, and local people on the beneficence and importance of sustainable development and cooperation;
3. **Advises** Member States to consider directing businesses to obtain Global Sustainable Tourism Council Accreditation to show their commitment to sustainable development and to promote impartiality, transparency, and competence;

4. **Considers** the use of new technologies such as geospatial devices to gather necessary data on existing world Heritage Sites in an effort to further consolidate research on new ways to protect local and international resources;

5. **Draws attention to** organizations that act as a conduit between past, present and future generations through actions including but not limited to the consolidation of research in the arts and sciences, preservation of languages and conservation of relics;

6. **Requests** that more funds be used to improve local infrastructures by using part of tourism revenues to finance projects such as the construction of a more efficient transportation system, communication and sanitary systems in areas in crucial need of infrastructural overhaul;

7. **Urges** Member States to collaborate further with UNESCO Member States, UNESCO subsidiaries, regional organizations, NGOs and local peoples to:
   a. create and further work toward the expansion of local, national, and regional action plans and research facilities to safeguard respective cultural histories;
   b. use the List of World Heritage in Danger to determine what World Heritage Sites should be prioritized should be in terms of preservation;
   c. work to ease travel and tourism expenditures through bilateral and multilateral agreements for citizens of the involved states;

8. **Encourages** Member States to include national and world heritage in their own curriculums for the education of youth and the inspiration of preservation and sustained growth;

9. **Reaffirms** the importance of global communication, advocating for multilateral partnerships between NGOs, governmental programs and UNESCO subsidiaries to facilitate the development of international exchange programs and the expansion of already existing fellowship programs;

10. **Recommends tourism** revenues from World Heritage Sites to be reinvested in sustainable programs involving the preservation of world Heritage sites;

11. **Calls upon** Member States to contribute aid to the World Heritage Fund for the purpose of development as each state deems necessary;

12. **Recognizes** the need for neutral control of allocation of the funds by a rotating committee of Member States under the advisement of UNESCO scientist and researchers;

13. **Reminds** donating Member States that donations do not warrant any control of the allocation of those funds as expressed in the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness.
Underlining the importance of the relationship between private concession holders and the host governments pursuant to the goals outlined in the United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) A/RES/406(XIII), Article 5 in an effort to preserve World Heritage Sites,

Stressing the need for protection of both natural and cultural sites and their importance to national identity as called for in the World Heritage Convention,

Noting the positive role that sustainable tourism plays in promoting local-regional and international community development congruent with the Manila Declaration on World Tourism,

Further noting that World Heritage Council Resolution 03 calls on Member States to “initiate and encourage bilateral and multilateral co-operation with States Parties,”

Emphasizing the importance of dialogue between the public and private sector as well as partnership, which can provide strong, cohesive international and regional frameworks, such as the World Heritage Framework, to promote sustainable tourism that is beneficial to all sectors of society,

Stressing the importance of programs like the Jasper Aboriginal Forum which connect through dialogue indigenous people with the state, to advocate for better World Heritage preservation,

Recognizing the efforts put forth by domestic government bodies in establishing legal protections and financial or other assistance to the protection of the sites such as passage of laws recognizing and protecting national monuments or designating properties to have significant cultural purposes,

Noting the UNESCO 37 C/5 and 37 C/4 documents that establish the medium and long-term strategies of the organization and strive to plan holistic long-term work on cultural heritage Sites,

Recalling the Product and Environmental Management System (PMZ), an organization that helps reduce the impacts on the environment,

Recognizing the corporate social responsibility (CSR) that has foundational economic, social, and cultural pillars to achieve sustainability, which is reflected in the tourism sector,

Reaffirming the three modes of analysis prescribed by the United Nations World Heritage and Sustainable Tourism Programme (WH+ST) as effective models for obtaining and interpreting tourism data,

Reiterating the importance for the application of universal standards of sustainable tourism while recognizing local context and individual requirements, as recommended by the WH+ST subsection D,

Acknowledging the financial burden associated with addressing the preservation of World Heritage Sites,

The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization,

1. Requests the United Nations Global Compact to establish a public database of voluntarily submitted reports from private entities that wish to engage in public private partnerships (PPP) with host governments cooperating to preserve World Heritage Sites by:

   a. suggesting database participation resulting in UNESCO Certified World Heritage Partner (CWHP) status;
b. urging prospective concession-holders to present a comprehensive application consisting of, but not limited to:

i. a written statement of purpose to protect the cultural, historical, and natural integrity of the Heritage Site in question and a commitment to provide a minimum 4% of gross profit generated from Sites to their respective host governments for the express purpose of Site preservation;

ii. projected earnings from the acquisition of the Heritage Site in question;

iii. supporting documentation or account of cultural and material benefit to the surrounding community;

c. supports the preliminary sponsorship of World Heritage Sites by those concession holders who stand to be monetary benefactors of the prospective Sites in question for the purposes of:

i. encouraging private organizations to work with endangered and nominated World Heritage Sites to assist in getting those nominated Sites to the point of addition on the UNESCO World Heritage List;

ii. establishing a cooperative relationship between local-regional governments in ensuring that World Heritage Sites are protected in a manner that is both sustainable and responsive to the needs of those surrounding communities;

iii. providing concession-holders with the benefit of a 1% deduction in the financial commitment as noted in section (a) subsection (i);

2. **Strongly urges** continental and regional governance organizations such as the organization of The African Union, ASEAN, The European Union, The League of Arab States, MERCOSUR, The Organization of American States, and other regional economic commissions to lead Member States of their region in upholding these sustainable tourism guidelines by:

a. ensuring maximum participation at the regional level;

b. recommending the creation of a Regional Monitoring Apparatus (RMA) of which the chairmanship will rotate between Member States in each region;

c. designating that as a collaborative effort between Regional Organizations and UNESCO, the RMA will ensure that Member States are given an international framework for implementing sustainable tourism guidelines;

3. **Endorses** the establishment of waste management systems through public-private partnership in Member States with World Heritage Sites for the purpose of decreasing damages that have been imposed on buildings and sites;

4. **Requests** that each Member State develop an action plan specific to their local context and individual needs to be submitted to their Regional Monitoring Apparatus, which shall:

a. call upon Member States to be consistent with the guidelines for sustainable tourism outlined in Sustainable Tourism Development Guidebook, developed by the UNWTO;

b. urge the development of national policies for sustainable tourism both in rural and urban environments;

c. emphasize advocacy for the needs of indigenous peoples in accordance to United Nations Declaration of the Right of Indigenous People and the Declaration of the Right of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic Religious and Linguistic Minorities;
d. express the Member States’ environmental preservation policies for Heritage Sites;

5. **Strongly Encourages** Member States and their constituent governing agencies to establish dialogues and interactions with local and indigenous people for the purposes of gathering more and better information on preservation and conservation methods through improved forums and dialogues;

6. **Recommends** that UNESCO collaborates with Category II Centers to create a data sharing and measurement system of Periodic Reporting (PR), State of Conservation and Reporting (SoC), and Reactive Monitoring (RM) by:
   - employing UNESCO officials in the immersion training of qualifying concession-holders, as per the initiative set by the UNESCO Beirut office and endorsed by Lebanon;
   - encouraging agents of concession holders to pursue cultural protection of the World Heritage Sites that they are responsible for;

7. **Encourages** the establishment of research networks between Universities, anthropological facilities, and cultural foundations in order to exchange ideas, research and preservation practices including information on how to preserve sites, their significance, systems of sustainability and the global importance of sites in addition to tourism practices;

8. **Recommends** the expansion of a new system of provisional funding that mirrors International Assistance Request but will be implemented to provide smaller amounts of more flexible assistance for sites with acute instead of chronic challenges;

9. **Recommends** the implementation of a Product and Environmental Management System (PMZ) primarily used by the Netherlands, where tourism organizations self-regulate and reduce the impact of their activities on the environment; pragmatic measure that guides tour operators towards a sustainable future and to oblige them to take their responsibilities on travel packages, travel, accommodation, and entertainment;

10. **Endorses** utilization of the New Development Bank, with the full support of Brazil, the Russian Federation, India, China, and South Africa (BRICS), for the disbursement of interest free loans to cover the costs incurred by Member States when implementing their action plans, as outlined in above operative clauses;

11. **Further recommends** the expansion of the UNESCO Fellowship Program to incorporate new extra-budgetary programs that focus on sites known to effectively manage high volumes of tourism, for the hope of gathering more information on the affective balance between tourism and preservation.