



NMUN • NW

PORTLAND 2014

PORTLAND, OR
14 - 16 February 2014
nmun.org/nmun_nw14.html

UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMME
BACKGROUND GUIDE 2014

Written By: Kristina Mader, Director; Morgan Deters, Assistant Director



NATIONAL MODEL UNITED NATIONS



NATIONAL
COLLEGIATE CONFERENCE
association™



© 2013 National Model United Nations



Message from the Director-General Regarding Position Papers for the 2014 NMUN•Northwest Conference

At the 2014 NMUN•Northwest Conference, each delegation or individual delegate submits one position paper for each committee assignment. The position paper reflects the state's overall policy and position within a particular committee. Therefore, the role that a state plays in a given committee should inform the writing of the paper.

Position papers should provide a concise review of each delegation's policy regarding the topic areas under discussion and establish precise policies and recommendations in regard to the topics before the committee. International and regional conventions, treaties, declarations, resolutions, and programs of action of relevance to the policy of your state should be identified and addressed. Making recommendations for action by your committee should also be considered. Position papers also serve as a blueprint for individual delegates to remember their country's position throughout the course of the Conference.

Please be forewarned, delegates must turn in material that is entirely original. NMUN/NCCA will not tolerate the occurrence of plagiarism. In this regard, the NMUN Secretariat would like to take this opportunity to remind delegates that although United Nations documentation is considered within the public domain, the Conference does not allow the verbatim re-creation of these documents. While quotes from UN or state documents are allowed and encouraged if clearly labeled as such, delegates may not use existing material to formulate their own policy within the committee. This plagiarism policy also extends to the written work of the Secretariat contained within the Committee Background Guides. Violation of this policy will be immediately reported to faculty advisors and may result in dismissal from Conference participation. Delegates should report any incidents of plagiarism to the Secretariat.

Position papers can be awarded as recognition of outstanding pre-Conference preparation. In order to be considered for a Position Paper Award, delegates must have met the formal requirements listed below. Please refer to the sample position paper below this message for a visual example of what your work should look like at its completion. All papers must be typed and formatted in the same manner as this example. The following format specifications are required for all papers:

- Length must not exceed two single-sided pages (use standard size for your home region: A4 or 8.5 x 11 inches - US letter size).
- Margins must be set at 1 inch or 2.54 centimeters, for the whole paper.
- Font must be Times New Roman sized between 10 pt. and 12 pt.
- Body of the paper must be single-spaced.
- Country name, school name, and committee name must be clearly labeled on the first page.
- Agenda topics must be clearly labeled in separate sections.
- National symbols (headers, flags, etc.) are deemed inappropriate for NMUN position papers.

To be considered for awards, position papers need to be submitted by email in .pdf or .doc formats by 1 February 2014. As proof of submission, include yourself as an email recipient. Please use the committee name, your assignment, and delegation/school name in both the email subject line and in the filename (example: CSustD_Canada_Jupiter University).

1. Send one complete set of all position papers for each of your country assignments to the Director-General at dirgen.nw@nmun.org.

Once the formal requirements outlined above are met, Conference staff use the following criteria to evaluate Position Papers:

- Overall quality of writing, proper style, grammar, etc.
- Citation of relevant resolutions/documents.
- General consistency with bloc/geopolitical constraints.
- Consistency with the constraints of the United Nations.
- Analysis of issues, rather than reiteration of the Committee Background Guide.
- Outline of official policy aims within the committee's mandate.

Should you have any questions please feel free to contact the Conference staff or myself. We are happy to answer any questions you may have, and are looking forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

Lucas Carreras
Director-General
NMUN•Northwest

Sample Position Paper

The following position paper is designed to be a sample of the standard format that an NMUN position paper should follow. Papers may be no longer than two single-sided pages. Only the first two pages of any submissions will be considered for awards.

Delegation from
Canada

Represented by
University of Jupiter

Position Paper for the General Assembly Plenary

The topics before the General Assembly Plenary are: Breaking the Link between Diamonds and Armed Conflict; the Promotion of Alternative Sources of Energy; and the Implementation of the 2001-2010 International Decade to Roll Back Malaria in Developing Countries, Particularly in Africa. Canada is dedicated to collaborative multilateral approaches to ensuring protection and promotion of human security and advancement of sustainable development.

I. Breaking the Link between Diamonds and Armed Conflict

Canada endorses the Kimberley Process in promoting accountability, transparency, and effective governmental regulation of trade in rough diamonds. Canada believes the Kimberley Process Certification Scheme (KPCS) is an essential international regulatory mechanism and encourages all Member States to contribute to market accountability by seeking membership, participation, and compliance with its mandate. Canada urges Member States to follow the recommendations of the 2007 Kimberley Process Communiqué to strengthen government oversight of rough diamond trading and manufacturing by developing domestic legal frameworks similar to the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Canada further calls upon participating states to act in accordance with the KPCS's comprehensive and credible systems of peer review to monitor the continued implementation of the Kimberley Process and ensure full transparency and self-examination of domestic diamond industries. The delegation of Canada draws attention to our domestic programs for diamond regulation including Implementing the Export and Import of Rough Diamonds Act and urges Member States to consider these programs in developing the type of domestic regulatory frameworks called for in General Assembly resolution 55/56. Canada recognizes the crucial role of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in the review of rough diamond control measures developed through the Kimberley Process and encourages states to include NGOs, such as Global Witness and Partnership Africa Canada, in the review processes called for in General Assembly resolution 58/290. Canada urges Member States to act in accordance with General Assembly resolution 60/182 to optimize the beneficial development impact of artisanal and alluvial diamond miners by establishing a coordinating mechanism for financial and technical assistance through the Working Group of the Kimberley Process of Artisanal Alluvial Producers. Canada calls upon states and NGOs to provide basic educational material regarding diamond valuation and market prices for artisanal diggers, as recommended by the Diamond Development Initiative. Canada will continue to adhere to the 2007 Brussels Declaration on Internal Controls of Participants and is dedicated to ensuring accountability, transparency, and effective regulation of the rough diamond trade through the utilization of voluntary peer review systems and the promotion of increased measures of internal control within all diamond producing states.

II. The Promotion of Alternative Sources of Energy

Canada is dedicated to integrating alternative energy sources into climate change frameworks by diversifying the energy market while improving competitiveness in a sustainable economy, as

exemplified through the Canadian Turning Corners Report and Project Green climate strategies. Canada views the international commitment to the promotion of alternative sources of energy called for in the Kyoto Protocol and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) as a catalyst to sustainable development and emission reduction. Canada fulfills its obligations under Article 4 of the UNFCCC by continuing to provide development assistance through the Climate Change Development Fund and calls upon Member States to commit substantial financial and technical investment toward the transfer of sustainable energy technologies and clean energy mechanisms to developing States. Canada emphasizes the need for Member States to follow the recommendations of the 2005 Beijing International Renewable Energy Conference to strengthen domestic policy frameworks to promote clean energy technologies. Canada views dissemination of technology information called for in the 2007 Group of Eight Declaration on Growth and Responsibility in the World Economy as a vital step in energy diversification from conventional energy generation. Canada calls upon Member States to integrate clean electricity from renewable sources into their domestic energy sector by employing investment campaigns similar to the Canadian \$1.48 billion initiative ecoENERGY for Renewable Power. Canada encourages states to develop domestic policies of energy efficiency, utilizing regulatory and financing frameworks to accelerate the deployment of clean low-emitting technologies and calls upon Member States to provide knowledge-based advisory services for expanding access to energy in order to fulfill their commitments to Goal 1 of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Canada urges states to address the concerns of the 2007 Human Development Report by promoting tax incentives, similar to the Capital Cost Allowances and Canadian Renewable and Conservation Expenses, to encourage private sector development of energy conservation and renewable energy projects. As a member of the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Partnership, Canada is committed to accelerating the development of renewable energy projects, information sharing mechanisms, and energy efficient systems through the voluntary carbon offset system. We are dedicated to leading international efforts toward the development and sharing of best practices on clean energy technologies and highlight our release of the Renewable Energy Technologies Screen software for public and private stakeholders developing projects in energy efficiency, cogeneration, and renewable energy. Canada believes the integration of clean energy into state-specific strategies called for in the General Assembly Second Committee's report to the General Assembly Plenary on Sustainable development: promotion of new and renewable sources of energy (A/62/419/Add.9) will strengthen energy diversification, promote the use of cogeneration, and achieve a synergy between promoting alternative energy while allowing for competitiveness in a sustainable economy.

III. Implementation of the 2001-2010 International Decade to Roll Back Malaria in Developing Countries, Particularly in Africa

Canada views the full implementation of the treatment and prevention targets of the 2001-2010 International Decade to Roll Back Malaria in Developing Countries, Especially in Africa, as essential to eradicating malaria and assisting African states to achieve Target 8 of Goal 6 of the MDGs by 2015. Canada recommends Member States to cooperate with the World Health Organization to ensure transparency in the collection of statistical information for Indicators 21 and 22 of the MDGs. Canada reaffirms the targets of the Abuja Declaration and Plan of Action stressing regional cooperation in the implementation, monitoring, and management of malaria prevention and treatment initiatives in Africa. To fully implement General Assembly resolution 61/228, Canada believes developed states must balance trade and intellectual property obligations with the humanitarian objective of the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health. We continue to implement Paragraph 6 of the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health into our compulsory licensing framework through the Jean Chrétien Pledge to Africa Act. Canada urges Member States to support compulsory licensing for essential generic medication by including anti-malarial vaccines and initiating domestic provisions to permit export-only compulsory licenses to domestic pharmaceutical manufacturers, similar to Canada's Access to Medicines Regime. Canada calls upon Member States to establish advanced market commitments on

the distribution of pneumococcal vaccines to developing States in cooperation with PATH and the Malaria Vaccine Initiative. Canada emphasizes the need for greater membership in the Roll Back Malaria initiative to strengthen malaria control planning, funding, implementation, and evaluation by promoting increased investment in healthcare systems and greater incorporation of malaria control into all relevant multi-sector activities. Canada continues to implement the Canadian International Development Agency's (CIDA) New Agenda for Action on Health to reduce malaria infection rates among marginalized populations in Africa, increase routine immunizations rates, and reduce infection rates of other neglected infections. Canada will achieve the goal of doubling aid to Africa by 2008-2009 by providing assistance to the Global Fund to Fight Aids, Tuberculosis, and Malaria. We urge Member States to increase donations to intergovernmental organizations and NGOs that support malaria programming in Africa, exemplified by CIDA's contribution of \$26 million to the Canadian Red Cross. We continue our efforts to provide accessible and affordable vector control methods to African States through the Red Cross' Malaria Bed Net Campaign and the African Medical Research Foundation Canada by supplying insecticide-treated mosquito nets and Participatory Malaria Prevention and Treatment tool kits.



Dear Delegates,

Welcome to the National Model United Nations•Northwest (NMUN•NW) Conference! We are pleased to serve on your dais for the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Your Director, Kristina Mader, works for the NGO Working Group on Women, Peace and Security and lives in New York. She received her B.A. from Western Washington University in Political Science and is in the final stages of receiving her M.S. in Global Affairs at New York University. Currently, Kristina is serving as Deputy Secretary-General for NMUN•NY 2014 (Conference B) in her seventh year on staff at that conference, and previously she served as Director-General for Northwest Model United Nations. Morgan Deters, Assistant Director, has just completed her B.A. International Affairs and Economics at Lewis and Clark College. Morgan is in her fourth year staffing Northwest Model United Nations and her first year serving on staff at NMUN.

The topics under discussion for UNEP are:

- I. Environment and Development: The Environment in the Post-2015 Development Agenda
- II. Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness in Humanitarian Response
- III. Water Policy and Strategy: Trans-Boundary Waters

The United Nations Environment Programme plays a unique role within the United Nations, as a main organ tasked with discussing environmental issues and facilitating cooperation and international standard setting on challenges related to the environment and climate change. Its mandate, endowing a broad responsibility for a wide variety of activities, allows its work to address problems in a way that other bodies cannot by serving as a nexus in the middle of policy and practice and bridging scientific, business and environmental communities.

We hope you will find this Background Guide serves as an introduction to the topics listed. It is not meant to replace individual and further research and, as such, we hope you will find it useful as you delve into your country's policies. To help you gain a better understanding of your country and draft we encourage you to use the Annotated Bibliography and Bibliography as tools to further your knowledge of your country's unique position.

As you prepare for the conference, each delegation will submit a position paper. The position paper will allow you to present your delegation's positions and proposals on the topics before UNEP, and accordingly, it is important that it is substantive in content and provide real solutions to the issues that will be discussed in February. Information on the submission process can be found in the Background Guide. Please also employ the *Delegation Preparation Guide* as a useful tool for your preparation and regarding NMUN•NW procedures and policies. Please take special note of the [NMUN policies](#) on the website and in the [Delegate Preparation Guide](#) regarding plagiarism, codes of conduct/dress code/sexual harassment, awards philosophy/evaluation method, etc. Adherence to these guidelines is mandatory.

Thank you for your preparation over the coming months, and we look forward to working with you in February!

Sincerely,

Kristina Mader
Director

Morgan Deters
Assistant Director



Committee History

Introduction

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) was established on the recommendation of the 1972 UN Conference on the Human Environment held in Stockholm, Sweden.¹ United Nations (UN) General Assembly (GA) resolution 2997, adopted on 15 December 1972, formally laid out the mandate and governance of the body, and since that point it has been the primary authority on all environment-related issues as recommended in the outcome to the 1972 UN Conference on the Human Environment.² UNEP's mission statement is "to provide leadership and encourage partnership in caring for the environment by inspiring, informing, and enabling nations and peoples to improve their quality of life without compromising that of future generations."³ Its concrete duties include disseminating environmental information, coordinating all activities relating to the environment, developing regional programs, assisting states wishing to implement programs, and influencing international environmental law.⁴ UNEP reports to the Economic and Social Council, providing advice on a wide range of sustainable development issues, and then is further considered by the GA and adopted as resolutions.⁵

Mandate and Structure

The governing structure of UNEP has changed drastically in more recent years. Up until mid-2013, the Governing Council (GC) was the main body of UNEP which reported to the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) and GA.⁶ Membership was comprised of 58 state representatives and meetings took place yearly in Nairobi, Kenya to make policy decisions on all types of environmental issues.⁷ The Council of Permanent Representatives, a subsidiary of the GC, implemented decisions and made recommendations.⁸ In 1999, the GA called for an annual Global Ministerial Environment Forum (GMEF) to be held with the GC's sessions.⁹ The Global Ministerial Environmental Forum consists of several ministers that come together to discuss environmental policy issues.¹⁰ The first Global Ministerial Environment Forums led to the Malmo Declaration and subsequently at the Millennium Summit, a goal ensuring environmental sustainability.¹¹ Every year, a Governing Council session is held in conjunction with the Ministerial Forum to produce policy documents.¹²

As previously mentioned, the most dramatic change in the governance of UNEP occurred in 2012 and 2013. At the Rio +20 Conference, in an effort to strengthen the governing power of UNEP, the Governing Council was given universal membership, meaning every member of the United Nations was now a member of the primary governing body of the organization as opposed to the rotating 58 that it had had

¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

² United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

³ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

⁴ United Nations Environment Programme, *What UNEP Does* [Website].

⁵ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

⁶ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

⁷ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

⁸ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

⁹ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

¹⁰ United Nations Environment Programme, *Global Ministerial Environmental Forum, Malmo, 2000*.

¹¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP Organizational Profile*.

¹² United Nations Environment Programme, *GC.26/GMEF, 2011*.

previously.¹³ The first session with universal membership took place in February 2013, at which they decided to rename the “Governing Council” to the “United Nations Environment Assembly of the UNEP” (UNEA), which was formally changed pursuant to by GA resolution 67/251 adopted on 13 March 2013.¹⁴

Another important function of UNEP is hosting the Secretariat, the Ozone Secretariat, of several important environmental conventions, specifically: the *Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer* (1985) and the *Montreal Protocol for Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer* (1987). These conventions are both focused on protecting the ozone layer and led to the creation of the *UN Framework Convention on Climate Change* (1992), producing the *Kyoto Protocol* (1992).¹⁵ The parties to the *Vienna Convention* meet every three years to administer the Convention with the support of the Ozone Secretariat.¹⁶ The Ozone Secretariat, beyond facilitating annual meetings, additionally arranges meetings and implements programs relating to ozone depletion.¹⁷ UNEP is also a part of the group that helped organize the UN Conference on Environment and Development (Rio +20).

Priorities

The work of UNEP is currently divided into six categories: climate change, disasters and conflicts, ecosystem management, environmental governance, harmful substances, and resource efficiency.¹⁸ In each of these thematic areas, UNEP sponsors programs, works with partners, and releases reports in an effort to resolve a range of environmental challenges.¹⁹ Given the multitude of environmental agreements in existence globally, one of the primary roles of UNEP is to help resolve the coordination problems between the plethora of organizations and secretariats that work toward a better environment.²⁰ Finally, UNEP is the main venue through which improvements to global, regional and national environmental governance challenges are made because of its organizational abilities and its influence on international environmental law.²¹

Recent Session

The most recent session of UNEP was also the first universal session of its Governing Council (now UNEA) and was held from the 18 - 22 February 2013 in Nairobi, Kenya.²² The decisions made in this session were heavily influenced by the outcome of the UN Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio +20) entitled *The Future We Want* (A/66/288).²³ Rio +20 was held from 20 – 22 June 2012, as a follow-up to the UN Conference on Environment and Development, in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil in 1992.²⁴ The two main themes of the conference were the institutional framework (also referred to as global governance) of sustainable development and ways in which to shift to a green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication.²⁵ Policy issues discussed during the conference included the state of the environment, international environmental governance, coordination and cooperation within the UN

¹³ United Nations Environment Programme. *Governance Structure* [Website], 2013.

¹⁴ United Nations Environment Programme. *Governance Structure* [Website], 2013.

¹⁵ *The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*, 1987.

¹⁶ *The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*, 1987.

¹⁷ *The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer*, 1987.

¹⁸ United Nations Environment Programme, *2012 Annual Report*, 2012.

¹⁹ United Nations Environment Programme, *2012 Annual Report*, 2012.

²⁰ United Nations Environment Programme, *2012 Annual Report*, 2012.

²¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *Environmental Governance*, 2013.

²² UNEP, *Proceedings of the GC/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*, 2013.

²³ UNEP, *Proceedings of the GC/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*, 2013.

²⁴ United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, *About the Rio +20 Conference*, 2011.

²⁵ United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, *About the Rio +20 Conference*, 2011.



system on environmental matters, coordination and cooperation with major groups, and environment and development.²⁶ Several decisions were adopted of relevance to UNEP: the first of the new rules of procedure, the second of which was a change of designation so that at the next session, they will be referred to as the UNEA.²⁷ The body also made decisions on thematic topics, some of which include international water quality guidelines, oceans, green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication, and climate technology center and network.²⁸

Conclusion

UNEP offers delegates a unique opportunity to advance issues in both policy and practice – developing global norms on the most urgent environmental challenges of our time, while operationalizing those values in programming which can have an immediate impact on lives around the world. UNEP has the responsibility of creating “policies and programmes that will benefit all people – and especially those most at risk from environmental degradation and climate change.”²⁹ This entails collaboration, cooperation and compromise in order to build a strong foundation for the future the world needs and deserves.³⁰ UNEP has had a profound influence on the world and will continue to be relevant as the world faces growing environmental crisis and seeks to build a sustainable future.³¹

²⁶ UNEP, *Proceedings of the GC/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*, 2013.

²⁷ UNEP, *Proceedings of the GC/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*, 2013.

²⁸ UNEP, *Proceedings of the GC/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*, 2013.

²⁹ United Nations Secretary-General, *Message to the 27th Session of the UNEP Governing Council and Global Ministerial Environmental Forum*, 18 February 2013.

³⁰ United Nations Secretary-General, *Message to the 27th Session of the UNEP Governing Council and Global Ministerial Environmental Forum*, 18 February 2013.

³¹ United Nations Secretary-General, *Message to the 27th Session of the UNEP Governing Council and Global Ministerial Environmental Forum*, 18 February 2013.

I. Environment and Development: The Environment in the Post-2015 Development Agenda

“Sustainability, broadly defined, should serve as a fundamental principle for all aspects of development and for all societies. It represents the key challenge for a transformative agenda: how to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions and achieve more equitable and sustainable management and governance of natural resources while promoting dynamic and inclusive economic and human development.”³²

Introduction

In 2011, the Secretary-General reported that it was time to look past the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) 2015 deadline and see what could be done beyond, and there began the process of determining the post-2015 development agenda.³³ In 2015, the MDGs, set in 2000 at the Millennium Summit, will reach their expiry.³⁴ The goal of development is to give all citizens of the world a similar quality of life economically and socially in a way that does not easily diminish.³⁵ The goals of the MDGs include eradicating poverty, reducing maternal and child mortality, increasing education, eliminating HIV/AIDS, and environmental sustainability.³⁶ Development often focuses on the economy because developing countries are typically poor and lack the resources for development.³⁷ Other than the economy is a social aspect, relating to quality of life and equality among groups.³⁸ As developing countries reach certain links in the value added chain, they grow in industries that tend to produce much more waste without the resources like those of the developed countries to reduce that waste.³⁹ Because of the increased pollution from certain forms of production, developing countries often face a decision on what they find more important between economic growth and environmental sustainability.⁴⁰

Although protecting the environment and building a strong economy tend to be considered counter to each other, states are aiming to address both promoting “green growth” and the development of a “green economy.” The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development subscribes to the concept of green growth as “developing economically in a way that is environmentally sustainable.”⁴¹ Green technology and confidence in the global economy are both essential attributes of green growth, however, issues still arise in the implementation of green growth in real situations, and more broadly how green growth and sustainability can be mutually reinforcing and further how that effort can be reflected in the post-2015 development framework.⁴²

³² UN Task Team, *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General*, 2012, p. 25.

³³ UN General Assembly, *Accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals: options for sustained and inclusive growth and issues for advancing the United Nations development agenda beyond 2015 (A/67/257)* [Resolution], 2012.

³⁴ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

³⁵ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

³⁶ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

³⁷ Panayotou, *Economic Instruments for Environmental Management and Sustainable Development*, 1994.

³⁸ Panayotou, *Economic Instruments for Environmental Management and Sustainable Development*, 1994.

³⁹ Kogut, *Designing Global Strategies: Comparative and Competitive Value-Added Chains*, 1985.

⁴⁰ Panayotou, *Economic Instruments for Environmental Management and Sustainable Development*, 1994.

⁴¹ Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, *Towards Green Growth*, 2011.

⁴² Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, *Towards Green Growth*, 2011.

International Framework

In order to create a framework for the post-2015 development agenda, groups use the work of those before them to determine what is considered important, relevant or unresolved. The documents in this section reflect how environmental sustainability have been integrated into development goals in the past and could serve as an example of how environmental sustainability can be integrated into the forthcoming development goals.

Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)

The goals came from a range of development topics and are numbered specifically as follows: Goal 1 (Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger), Goal 2 (Universal primary education), Goal 3 (Gender equality), Goal 4 (Reduce child mortality), Goal 5 (Improve maternal health), Goal 6 (Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases), Goal 7 (Environmental sustainability), and Goal 8 (Global partnership for development).⁴³ Targets for some of the goals, such as extreme poverty reduction, universal primary education and environmental sustainability have been met or are on track for the 2015 deadline, but most are unlikely to be reached.⁴⁴ Because some of the goals will not be realized, sights have been set past 2015 and the possibilities that lie ahead.⁴⁵

As stated previously, the MDGs were established following the adoption of the *Millennium Declaration*, which resulted from the Millennium Conference in 2000.⁴⁶ The MDGs were an ambitious set of objectives meant to make strides in global development with unprecedented vigor.⁴⁷ The 2005 World Summit was attended by 170 countries and reaffirmed the determination to implement the decisions of the Millennium Summit, including the MDGs, in a cooperative, multilateral manner.⁴⁸ The other topics that they discussed, which are linked to development, were peace and collective security, human rights and rule of law, and strengthening the United Nations (UN).⁴⁹ More recently, from 20-22 September 2010, the High-level Plenary Meeting of the General Assembly, also referred to as the “MDG Summit,” resulted in a global action plan called *Keeping the Promise: United to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals*.⁵⁰ Coming off of the global financial crisis and the dwindling time left for the MDGs that had mixed success, those attending the summit made a push to make concrete improvements in the progress of the targets that were not on track.⁵¹ In February of 2013, several leaders met in Bogotá, Colombia for the 2013 Global MDG Conference.⁵² Along with the last ditch effort to get results even on goals that would not be met, discussion started on the post-2015 development agenda.⁵³

Most relevant to the topic of discussion is Goal 7 of the MDGs, which is to ensure environmental sustainability. Goal 7 has four targets: integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programs and reverse the loss of environmental resources, reduce biodiversity loss, halve the proportion of the population without sustainable access to drinking water and basic sanitation, and by

⁴³ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

⁴⁴ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

⁴⁵ UNDP, *2013 Global MDG Conference – Making the MDGs Work*, 2013.

⁴⁶ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals Background*, 2013.

⁴⁷ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals Background*, 2013.

⁴⁸ UN General Assembly, *2005 World Summit Outcome (A/RES/60/1)*, 2005.

⁴⁹ UN General Assembly, *2005 World Summit Outcome (A/RES/60/1)*, 2005.

⁵⁰ United Nations, *Millennium Development Goals* [Website].

⁵¹ UN General Assembly, *Keeping the promise: united to achieve the Millennium Development Goals*, 2010.

⁵² UNDP, *2013 Global MDG Conference – Making the MDGs Work*, 2013.

⁵³ UNDP, *2013 Global MDG Conference – Making the MDGs Work*, 2013.

2020 significantly improve the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers.⁵⁴ The latter two targets have already been reached ahead of schedule.⁵⁵ About 200 million slum dwellers have received significant improvements in quality of life, including safe drinking water, sanitation, and more durable housing.⁵⁶ The population without safe drinking water and basic sanitation was halved between 1990 and 2010, but people still remain without improved drinking water and sanitation, especially in sub-Saharan Africa.⁵⁷ Biodiversity loss continues despite the increase in protected areas and deforestation still happens at a high rate.⁵⁸ Country policies and programs seem to be moving in the desired direction by implementing principles of sustainable development, largely thanks to Rio +20.

Our Common Future

The report, *Our Common Future*, was published in 1987 by the World Commission on Environment and Development, which was established by the General Assembly pursuant to resolution 38/161 upon the suggestion by the UNEP Governing Council.⁵⁹ Chapter 2 of *Our Common Future* is titled “Towards Sustainable Development” and focuses on the necessity of growth, and how that interacts with ecological concerns.⁶⁰ The report states that the quality of growth should change by looking at ecological capital, where, for example, the degradation of forest would be a loss of capital for the timber industry when they overcut.⁶¹ The report urges the international community to consider inter-sectoral linkages as opposed to each industry in isolation.⁶² In the end, the report finds that sustainable development requires effective political, economic, social, production, technological, international, and administrative systems.⁶³

United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio +20)

In 1992, the UN Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) took place in Rio de Janeiro.⁶⁴ Out of the conference came Agenda 21, the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, and the statement of principles for the Sustainable Management of Forests as well as the Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD).⁶⁵ Ten years later, the effort was continued at the World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) in Johannesburg, South Africa, which was dedicated to the full implementation of Agenda 21.⁶⁶ Celebrating the twentieth anniversary of UNCED, the Rio +20 Conference took place in Rio de Janeiro in June of 2012.⁶⁷

The Outcome Document of UNCED is entitled, *The future we want* (A/68).⁶⁸ The common vision of the attendees of the conference is largely set on poverty eradication as a major step in development along with sustainability.⁶⁹ Those in attendance also recognize the importance of peace and security, human

⁵⁴ United Nations, *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website].

⁵⁵ United Nations, *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website].

⁵⁶ United Nations, *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website].

⁵⁷ United Nations, *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website].

⁵⁸ United Nations, *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website].

⁵⁹ UNEP, *Sustainable Development Conferences* [Website].

⁶⁰ UN GA, *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: “Our Common Future”* (A/42/427), 1987, Ch. 2.

⁶¹ UN GA, *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: “Our Common Future”* (A/42/427), 1987, Ch. 2.

⁶² UN GA, *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: “Our Common Future”* (A/42/427), 1987, Ch. 2.

⁶³ UN GA, *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: “Our Common Future”* (A/42/427), 1987, Ch. 2.

⁶⁴ UN DESA, *Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform: Agenda 21, UNCED* [Website].

⁶⁵ UN DESA, *Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform: Agenda 21, UNCED* [Website].

⁶⁶ UN DESA, *Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform: Agenda 21, UNCED* [Website].

⁶⁷ UN DESA, *Conference on Sustainable Development: About the Rio +20 Conference, 2011* [Website].

⁶⁸ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 1.

⁶⁹ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 1.

rights and rule of law.⁷⁰ The states document their commitment to working together and urgently to achieve sustainable development.⁷¹ The document also reaffirmed many of the major steps in sustainable development such as the MDGs and the Rio Principles.⁷²

One of the principles discussed at Rio +20 was the idea of the “green economy.”⁷³ Several models and methods exist for the creation of a green economy, but it is considered a significant step in sustainable development by eradicating poverty as well as enhancing the ability to manage natural resources.⁷⁴ Those present at Rio +20 described a green economy as being consistent with international law, enabling political institutions, and fostering economic growth by innovation.⁷⁵ Otherwise, the properties of a green economy are left to the imagination of each individual state in attempting to implement a green economy policy.⁷⁶

Sustainable development is seen as having three dimensions: economic, social, and environmental.⁷⁷ The institutional framework for implementation reflects these three dimensions by looking to organizations on the global, regional, national and local levels.⁷⁸ As far as the environmental dimension, the leaders from Rio +20 found it necessary to strengthen environmental governance and, therefore, made changes to UNEP and stressed the contribution of multilateral environmental agreements.⁷⁹

Role of the UN System

Many bodies in the UN system are currently working towards the post-2015 agenda in their own specialized ways. These groups produce the results for the MDGs and will also be major contributors in the post-2015 goals.

United Nations Environmental Programme

UNEP is the most active body and the leading authority in the topic of environment and development. In addition to hosting the UN Conference on Sustainable Development in 1992 and 2012 and World Summit (2002), it adopted the World Conservation Strategy in 1980, which popularized the concept of sustainable development.⁸⁰ UNEP is also responsible for the creation of the World Commission on Environment and Development, which produced *Our Common Future*. Along with hosting conferences and summits related to sustainable development, UNEP makes disseminates information about the global environment, coordinates action on environmental issues, and assists countries and regional organizations.⁸¹ Essentially, UNEP is the main source of environmental governance, keeping countries headed in the direction of environmental sustainability and the motto is “environment for development.”⁸²

⁷⁰ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 2.

⁷¹ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 3.

⁷² United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 3.

⁷³ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 10.

⁷⁴ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 10.

⁷⁵ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 11.

⁷⁶ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 13.

⁷⁷ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 14.

⁷⁸ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 15.

⁷⁹ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 15.

⁸⁰ United Nations Environment Programme, *Sustainable Development Conferences* [Website].

⁸¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *What UNEP Does* [Website], 2012.

⁸² United Nations Environment Programme, *UNEP* [Website], 2013.

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

The *United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change* (UNFCCC) (1992) is the global response to climate change and is responsible for the *Kyoto Protocol* (1992), which urges countries to reduce carbon emissions by 2020.⁸³ Article 12 of the *Kyoto Protocol* (1992) established the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) where developed countries can earn emission reduction credits by sponsoring emission reduction projects in the developing world.⁸⁴ The CDM has produced more than 1,650 projects to accomplish two objectives: sustainable development and emissions reduction.⁸⁵ Christopher Sutter and Juan Carlos Parreño argue in 2006 that typically these projects fail to accomplish both objectives, but more typically do accomplish one or the other.⁸⁶

United Nations Development Group

The UN Development Group (UNDG), established in 1997 by the Secretary-General, is a group of UN agencies that coordinates the UN development efforts and agenda.⁸⁷ The strategic priorities for UNDG from 2013 to 2016 are MDG/Internationally Agreed Development Goals (IADG) Achievement, Post-2015 Agenda, Crisis/Post-Crisis Transition, and National Capacity Development and Development Effectiveness.⁸⁸ The UNDG has documented the progress of the MDGs and has also begun to synthesize the results of consultations with various groups on what should be included in the post-2015 agenda.⁸⁹ It has put together what it calls the Global Conversation on the Post-2015 Development Agenda.⁹⁰ A major theme of the conversation is the importance of the MDGs; the MDGs are seen as the standard for development and will likely continue to be that standard post-2015.⁹¹ States are also looking for a broader array of goals to reflect strengthened public accountability, equity, human rights and the changes that have occurred since 2000.⁹² The UNDG also reports on a new perception of the environment in development; growth-led models of development are no longer the standard and people are taking into consideration environmental degradation and inequalities into the development model.⁹³ As one of the ways to widen the agenda, people are also widely asking for more emphasis on environmental sustainability, specifically regarding natural resource scarcity, disaster risk reduction, climate change, waste reduction and government accountability.⁹⁴

UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 United Nations Development Agenda

The United Nations Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda (UNTT) was established by the Secretary-General and consists of over 60 organizations and UN entities.⁹⁵ In May 2012, the UNTT

⁸³ UNFCCC, *Background on the UNFCCC: The international response to climate change* [Website], 2013.

⁸⁴ UNFCCC, *Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)* [Website], 2013.

⁸⁵ UNFCCC, *Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)* [Website], 2013.

⁸⁶ Sutter & Parreño, *Does the current Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An Analysis of officially registered CDM projects*, 2007, p. 75.

⁸⁷ UNDG, *About the UNDG* [Website].

⁸⁸ UNDG, *UNDG Strategic Priorities for 2013-2016*, 2013, p. 2.

⁸⁹ UNDG, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 1.

⁹⁰ UNDG, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 1.

⁹¹ UNDG, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 11.

⁹² UNDG, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 20.

⁹³ UNDG, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 32.

⁹⁴ UN Development Group, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 32.

⁹⁵ UN DESA, *Preparing for the Development Agenda beyond 2015*, n.d.

released a report to the Secretary-General titled *Realizing the Future We Want for All*.⁹⁶ The report states that it is still too early to define concrete goals and targets, but Rio +20 provides some insights for the framework.⁹⁷ It also gives trends that the agenda should respond to, vision, and suggestions for how to shape the agenda.⁹⁸ The UNTT also identifies environmental sustainability as an important challenge to which the post-2015 agenda should respond.⁹⁹

Key Issues

The following section discusses key issues that are likely to be within the post-2015 development framework based on their inclusion in the MDGs or the discussions of the UN Task Team. These issues are directly related to development because they disproportionately affect those that are in most need of development. Though this list is not comprehensive, it is meant to provide some perspective on some of the development indicators that UNEP can approach when discussing the implementation of the new development goals.

Biodiversity

Biodiversity is an important aspect of managing ecosystems.¹⁰⁰ Keeping species alive keeps the food web in check and ensures that an ecosystem can provide services to humans.¹⁰¹ Some effort has been made to protect areas under the first target of MDG 7, but this has done little to reduce biodiversity loss.¹⁰² Biodiversity refers to genetic differences among organisms, whether or not of the same species.¹⁰³ In agriculture, biodiversity is lost when clones of the same organism are used in planting;¹⁰⁴ Losses in biodiversity typically affect the rural poor most because the rural poor benefit most from the regulation of environmental conditions that special ecosystems perform.¹⁰⁵ Those people would benefit economically from preservation of biodiversity.¹⁰⁶ Biodiversity is likely to be included in the post-2015 development agenda because of the benefits it has for the rural poor, but current programs are proving unsuccessful.¹⁰⁷ Reworking or creating new, more successful biodiversity programs would be something UNEP can do to implement a biodiversity goal.

Climate Change

Climate change has become one of the most prominent issues related to the environment.¹⁰⁸ With the adoption of the Kyoto Protocol, states have been working to reduce climate change caused by emissions.¹⁰⁹ Climate change presents a challenge to development because it is suspected to cause natural disasters and land degradation like desertification.¹¹⁰ Desertification, land degradation and drought affect

⁹⁶ UN DESA, *Preparing for the Development Agenda beyond 2015*, n.d.

⁹⁷ UN Task Team., *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General*, 2012, p. 1.

⁹⁸ UN Task Team., *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General*, 2012, p. 11.

⁹⁹ UN Task Team., *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General*, 2012, p. 16.

¹⁰⁰ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 162.

¹⁰¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 162.

¹⁰² United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 164.

¹⁰³ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 165.

¹⁰⁴ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 167.

¹⁰⁵ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 173.

¹⁰⁶ United Nations Environment Programme, *Chapter 5: Biodiversity*, 2007, p. 173.

¹⁰⁷ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 38.

¹⁰⁸ UNFCCC, *Background on the UNFCCC: The international response to climate change*, 2013.

¹⁰⁹ UNFCCC, *Background on the UNFCCC: The international response to climate change*, 2013.

¹¹⁰ United Nations Environment Programme, *Coordinating global action to combat desertification*, 3 March 2011.

about a third of the world population, 90% of which are among the world's poor.¹¹¹ Developing countries also face the problem that development along the value added chain includes production processes that typically produce a lot of greenhouse gases, which makes it much harder for them to cut emissions.¹¹² UNEP partners frequently with the UNFCCC to steadily resolve issues of climate change and a goal combatting climate change would employ that partnership.¹¹³ Like biodiversity, this issue requires a balance between the typical methods of development (i.e. factory production) and the notion of preventing climate change.

Natural Disasters

Natural disasters prevent development in a fairly obvious way: they destroy capital and threaten human security. Earthquakes, tsunamis, mudslides all destroy people's homes, farms, factories, etc. UNEP offers disaster risk reduction specifically to curb some of the destruction.¹¹⁴ People, however, still consider natural disasters to be one of the largest barriers to development.¹¹⁵ Preventing natural disasters is practically impossible; however, it is possible to prepare and mitigate their effects. The poor are disproportionately susceptible to the destruction because of their living conditions and inability to pay for either the preparation before a disaster or the rebuilding after the disaster.¹¹⁶

Human Rights and Security

Human rights and peace and security are included in the development agendas separately from the environment, but environmental sustainability is also a key to ensuring human rights and security.¹¹⁷ All areas of development are inextricably linked together, and this is no exception. Scarcity of natural resources is a common reason for conflict, especially food scarcity.¹¹⁸ Insecurity can also be caused by human rights violations like lack of safe drinking water, sanitation, or durable housing; all of which are consequences of living in slums.¹¹⁹ Human rights and security goals can be implemented by UNEP by addressing environmental sources of conflict like natural resources and quality of life issues.

Conclusion

The MDGs have not only contributed to the advancement of human development worldwide, but they've also served as an effective stepping stone for a future development agenda. The goal has been to create development goals that have all three dimensions, social, economic and environmental, in mind. The world has also changed since 2000, requiring consideration in formulating an agenda after the MDGs. The duty that UNEP holds is to implement the post-2015 goals in the best way it can. Concerns for the environment are reflected both directly and indirectly in many parts of the new development agenda, leaving UNEP with almost endless possibilities in how they can approach them. This might require improving on current efforts, or proposing a creative solution that appeals to the goals in a different way. Either way, the time to make a concrete plan for implementation is now, so that it can all be set into motion in time for 2015.

¹¹¹ United Nations Environment Programme, *Coordinating global action to combat desertification*, 3 March 2011.

¹¹² Kirby, *Least developed countries agree to cut greenhouse gas emissions*, 3 April 2013.

¹¹³ United Nations Environment Programme, *Climate Change Partners*, 2013.

¹¹⁴ United Nations Environment Programme, *Disasters and Conflicts Introduction*, 2013.

¹¹⁵ UN Development Group, *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*, 2013, p. 32.

¹¹⁶ Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, *Disasters and Poverty: Natural Disasters Disproportionately Affect the World's Poor*.

¹¹⁷ United Nations, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)*, 2012, p. 2.

¹¹⁸ Brinkman and Hendrix, *Food Insecurity and Violent Conflict: Causes, Consequences, and Addressing the Challenges*, p. 2.

¹¹⁹ UN Development Group, *Thematic Paper on MDG 7: Environmental Sustainability*, p. 26.



Annotated Bibliography

Panayotou, J. (1994, December). *Economic Instruments for Environmental Management and Sustainable Development*. International Environment Program Harvard Institute for International Development Harvard University.

Written as an article for UNEP, this document goes into detail on the dichotomy between the environment and economics. This paper will be very useful for the beginning section explaining that sometimes development comes at the expense of the environment. This also might lead to the correct combination of the two.

Sutter, C. & J. Parreno. (2007) Does the current Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An Analysis of officially registered CDM projects . *Climatic Change*, 84: 75-90.

The article addresses the Clean Development Mechanism and the current efforts to increase sustainable development in other countries. This article explains what the CDM is and gives an analysis of how successful it is. I will probably need to temper its arguments with other sources to make sure that it is not my only analysis source.

United Nations. (2012, June). *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General* . UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/Post_2015_UNTTreport.pdf

Similar to other documents for the UN Task Team, this document will inform the section on the Task Team as well as the ideals sections. The report emphasizes the need to have a format based in the MDGs while changing a lot about the current system. This document is far more detailed than the others as far as the post-2015 agenda is concerned, keeping in mind the vision, key considerations and proposed road map.

United Nations. (2012, June 22). *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)* . Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/A/CONF.216/16>

This is the outcome document of the Rio Meeting in 2012. Not only does it lay out the ideals of the conference, but also the organizational framework of the conference. Many of the important themes are covered in this document, bringing these topics to the attention of the delegates. This will definitely inform the Rio +20 section of the Background guide.

Vandemoortele, J. (2012). *Advancing the global development agenda post-2015: some thoughts, ideas and practical suggestions* . Retrieved 22 June 2013 from:

http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/jan_vandemoortele_Aug.pdf

This article from the UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda describes the goals for development after 2015 as well as how the process should be designed and carried out by the UN system. It also suggests a role for the High Level Panel of Eminent Persons. Because it is written by a UN body, it contains a perspective that is useful for delegates, who are expected to take the advice of such bodies. This article will likely be used throughout, but mostly in the part about the UN Task Team.

UN General Assembly. (2011). *Accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals: options for sustained and inclusive growth and issues for advancing the United Nations development agenda beyond 2015 (A/67/257)* [Report]. Retrieved 18 July 2013, from: <http://undocs.org/A/67/257>



This report of the Secretary-General is a seminal document in post-2015 development; it is the first time the Secretary-General mentions the need to look past 2015. After this report, the Secretary-General created groups devoted to what the priorities for development would be after the time for the MDGs ran out. This is great for the introduction and even in the framework sections of the guide.

Kogut. (1985). *Designing Global Strategies: Comparative and Competitive Value-Added Chains*. Retrieved 18 July 2013, from: <http://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/designing-global-strategies-comparative-and-competitive-valueadded-chains/>

To discuss environment and development, it is also important to discuss development, an important theory of which is the value added chain, where countries will move up a chain of specific industries on the path of development starting with labor and energy intensive industry and moving towards more advanced technology. This is also important in explaining why environmental concerns and economic concerns tend to be at odds with each other.

United Nations. (n.d.). *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability* [Website]. United Nations Gateway to the UN System's Work on the MDGs <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/envIRON.shtml>.

The MDG website has been a valuable tool in describing the MDGs and their role in the current development framework. The most applicable goal to the topic of environment and development is certainly goal 7, which is about environmental sustainability. This page summarizes the targets of the goal and what progress has or has not been made. Knowing what has not been accomplished is helpful in determining what needs to be addressed again in 2015.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2007). *Global Environmental Outlook 4, Section B, Chapter 5: Biodiversity* [Report]. Retrieved 19 July 2013, from: http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/report/05_Biodiversity.pdf

Biodiversity is an important theme for environmental sustainability; biodiversity loss remains a problem unresolved by the MDGs. Species are still dying off at a very high rate. This little lesson on biodiversity explains what it is why it is so important, and what its biggest threats are. Biodiversity is something that really should be included in the development framework after 2015, and this document makes that clear.

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2011). *Towards Green Growth*. Retrieved 18 July 2013, from: <http://www.oecd.org/greengrowth/48224539.pdf>

Although this might be difficult to fit into the guide, it deserves some attention because it is an idea as to how a sustainable environmental policy and economic growth can work together. The OECD makes a suggestion as to how a country can build its economy in a way that will not harm the environment, which serves as a potential idea for a development framework.

II. Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness in Humanitarian Response

Introduction

An emergency can be defined as “an extraordinary situation, or present or imminent, in which there are serious and immediate threats to human life, dignity and livelihoods.”¹²⁰ An emergency is addressed over the course of several broad phases, which overlap and reinforce each other, with response and preparedness particularly important in the context of emergencies requiring a humanitarian response.¹²¹ Over the past two decades, more than two billion people have been directly impacted by natural hazards (often used interchangeably with disasters) and major conflicts.¹²² These events have a catastrophic impact on not only lives and infrastructure, but they also “fundamentally undermine human security and tear apart the fabric of sustainable development.”¹²³ In 2012 alone, more than 110 million people were impacted by cyclones, floods, droughts, earthquakes and conflict amounting to a cost over \$8.78 billion in humanitarian assistance.¹²⁴ The increase in frequency and severity of these disasters “have serious impacts on lives, livelihoods and the environment,” causing environmental emergencies in addition to all the other impacts.¹²⁵

According to the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), environmental emergencies are defined as:

“Sudden-onset disasters or accidents resulting from natural, technological or human-induced factors, or a combination of these, that causes or threatens to cause severe environmental damage as well as loss of human lives and property. The term includes secondary consequences from natural hazards such as earthquakes, storms, floods, tsunamis, wild land fires, landslides and/or man-made disasters such as industrial accidents, transport accidents, chemical spills, oil spills and a multitude of other types of emergencies.”¹²⁶

To expand upon this definition: there are three distinct ways that the environment is impacted by emergencies. The first is direct impact, which physically damages the natural environment, such as in Afghanistan, where the destruction of thousands of acres of forest over the course of decades of conflict has caused irreparable damage.¹²⁷ The second type of impact is secondary impact, which includes impact on human infrastructure, such as buildings, dams, and infrastructure.¹²⁸ Examples of a secondary emergency includes in 1999, the conflict in Kosovo which caused chemical contamination at multiple

¹²⁰ Catholic Relief Services, *Emergency Preparedness & Response Handbook*, 2002.

¹²¹ Catholic Relief Services, *Emergency Preparedness & Response Handbook*, 2002, p. 24.

¹²² IPCC, *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 2012; UNISDR, *Environment and disaster risk: emerging perspectives*, 2007.

¹²³ UNEP, *Disasters and Conflicts Sub-programme*, 2012.

¹²⁴ OCHA, *Year in Review* [Website], 2013.

¹²⁵ Wilton Park, *Environmental emergencies: strengthening the multilateral humanitarian and environmental response*, 2011; UN GA, *International cooperation on humanitarian assistance in the field of natural disasters, from relief to development: Report of the Secretary-General (A/67/363)*, 2012.

¹²⁶ UN JEU, *Keeping up with Megatrends: The Implications of Climate Change and Urbanization for Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response*, 2012; UNEP, *Further Improvement of Environmental Emergency Prevention, Preparedness, Assessment, Response and Mitigation: Note by the Executive Director (UNEP/GC.22/INF/5)*, 2003.

¹²⁷ UN JEU, *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*, 2009.

¹²⁸ UN JEU, *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*, 2009.

industrial hot spots, threatening health.¹²⁹ The third type of impact is from the relief and recovery operations themselves, examples of which include in Bosnia and Herzegovina, where the humanitarian aid included over 17,000 tons of “expired drugs and medical supplies,” which are now having to be disposed via an “incinerator,” in order to properly remove in an environmentally sound manner.¹³⁰

Capacity at local and national levels to “respond appropriately to environmental emergencies – such as chemical and oil spills, earthquakes, floods and forest fires – are often overstretched, particularly in the poorest and most vulnerable nations, which are disproportionately affected by disasters, as they often have insufficient resources to invest in appropriate preparedness measures.”¹³¹ As a result, bilateral and multilateral support from the international community is often sought. At an international and multilateral level, the Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Section, a partnership between the UN Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), “acts as the focal point for the coordination and mobilization of international assistance.”¹³² Further, UNEP is the “focal point for the cross-cutting issue of environment within the humanitarian response system, as part of the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) cluster approach,” and also the lead on “environmental needs assessments as part of the inter-agency Post-Disaster and Post-Conflict Needs Assessment processes.”¹³³

This topic focuses on ways to strengthen international cooperation on two important and overlapping issues: the way in which countries prepare for and respond to the environmental dimensions of natural and man-made disasters and the environmental implications of humanitarian response efforts. Thus we are looking at not only the actual environmental emergency that can occur in the aftermath of a natural or man-made disaster, but also the risk to the environment that the broader humanitarian response can cause.

International Framework

The framework of international agreements, declarations and conventions which drive this topic draw from both humanitarian assistance and environment-focused scholarship. Importantly, on this topic, there isn’t one integrated framework for international action, which is in itself a challenge moving forward for the international community.

Although a wide range of international and regional bodies work on this topic, the United Nations General Assembly has adopted several resolutions that are of importance on this topic as they serve as a guiding framework on each issue and also represent a turning point for the international community.

The first was adopted in 1991 and focuses on humanitarian assistance. General Assembly resolution 46/182 on “Strengthening the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations,” created a framework and set of principles for humanitarian assistance, representing “a fundamental

¹²⁹ UN JEU, *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*, 2009.

¹³⁰ UN JEU, *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*, 2009, p. 5.

¹³¹ UN JEU, *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note (EU/AG/63)*, 2011.

¹³² UN JEU, *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note (EU/AG/63)*, 2011.

¹³³ UN JEU, *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note (EU/AG/63)*, 2011.

turning point for the humanitarian community.”¹³⁴ The resolution established the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) in collaboration with the United Nations Disaster Relief Coordinator and the Central Emergency Relief Fund (CERF) under the office of the United Nations Secretary-General.¹³⁵ It also provides a policy guide for natural disaster prevention, preparedness, and leadership between United Nations entities, governments and other international organizations.¹³⁶ This resolution, among other things, laid out the role of the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) as the lead entity which coordinates “inter-agency response to natural disasters and other emergencies.”¹³⁷

The second important resolution, General Assembly resolution 44/224, adopted in 1989, is focused on environmental emergencies. The resolution was borne out of an increased awareness generated in the 1980’s regarding the need to establish an “intervention mechanism in the case of emergencies likely to have serious consequences for the environment.”¹³⁸ The resolution “recognizes the need for strengthened international cooperation for monitoring, assessing, and anticipating environmental threats,” encompassing all “potential environmental disasters, whether natural, accidental or caused by human beings.”¹³⁹ This served as a basis for UNEP’s continued exploration of this topic and efforts to improve “the capacity of the United Nations system to respond to such emergencies.”¹⁴⁰ This resolution helped launch the “International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction,” which was called for in General Assembly resolution 44/236; laying the groundwork for concerted, international attention on this topic.¹⁴¹

Looking at the environmental aspect of humanitarian response, the *Malmö Ministerial Declaration* (2000), the *Hyogo Declaration*, the *Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters*, the outcome documents from past World Conferences on Disaster Reduction all are relevant to this topic and provide a foundational moving forward.¹⁴² These documents can provide reference for the broader context of the way in which policies thus far have been drafted, and illuminate opportunities for ongoing codification and clarification as to the legal and operational aspects of addressing environmental emergencies.

¹³⁴ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)*, 1991; Fisdale, *The coordination of actors intervening in crisis following natural disasters: the issues arising in the emergency-development transition: The case of Haiti*, 2012.

¹³⁵ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)*, 1991.

¹³⁶ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)*, 1991.

¹³⁷ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)*, 1991; Nijenhuis and Bruch, *Brief 6: Environmental Emergencies: Challenges and Lessons for International Environmental Governance*, 2012.

¹³⁸ Council of Europe, *International Organizations and Major Hazard Management (AP/CAT (2003))*, 2003, p. 17.

¹³⁹ UN GA, *International co-operation in the monitoring, assessment and anticipation of environmental threats and in assistance in cases of environmental emergency (A/RES/44/224)*, 1989; Nijenhuis & Bruch, *Brief 6: Environmental Emergencies: Challenges and Lessons for International Environmental Governance*, 2012; Merani, *The International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction*, 1991, p. 36.

¹⁴⁰ Council of Europe, *International Organizations and Major Hazard Management (AP/CAT (2003))*, 2003, p. 17.

¹⁴¹ Merani, N. *The International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction*, 1991.

¹⁴² Global Ministerial Environment Forum, *Malmö Ministerial Declaration*, 31 May 2000; UN JEU, *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*, 2009, p. 24; UNEP, *Report of the twenty-second session of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum, 3-7 February 2003*, 2003; IFRC, *Code of Conduct for the ICRC Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief*, 1994; WCDR, *Hyogo Declaration*, 2005; WCDR, *Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters*, 2005.

Additional standards, guidelines and tools for actors on this issue include: the *Sphere Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response* (2004), *Environmental Guidelines for Refugee Operations* (2005), *Handbook on the Safe Management of Wastes from Health-Care Activities* (1999), *Framework for Assessing, Monitoring and Evaluating the Environment in Refugee-Related Operations* (2005), *Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment in Disaster Response* (2005), the *Flash Environmental Assessment Tool (FEAT)* (2007), and the *UNEP Environmental needs assessment for early recovery* (2007).¹⁴³ Finally, the *Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief*, endorsed by more than 400 civil society organizations (also referred to as non-governmental organizations), articulates that they will “pay particular attention to environmental concerns in the design and management of relief programmes.”¹⁴⁴

UN System and Regional Engagement

Committees

The United Nations General Assembly addresses issues related to this topic under its Agenda item 70 entitled “Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian and disaster relief assistance of the United Nations, including special economic assistance: strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations.”¹⁴⁵ Within this topic, the General Assembly adopts resolutions on issues ranging from humanitarian personnel safety and security; coordination between development and humanitarian relief; and coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance.¹⁴⁶ Further, the General Assembly receives annual reports along with the Economic and Social Council pursuant to resolutions 46/182, 67/87, and 67/231 on all three primary sub-topics.¹⁴⁷

The United Nations Economic and Social Council addresses humanitarian coordination on an annual basis, and of relevance has regularly addressed natural disasters under the topic “Special economic, humanitarian and disaster relief assistance,” with a specific focus on “strengthening coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations.”¹⁴⁸ Further, every year, ECOSOC has a segment of its annual session entirely focused on humanitarian affairs. The most recent segment had as its focus, “The future of humanitarian affairs: towards greater inclusiveness, coordination, interoperability and effectiveness.”¹⁴⁹ The segment provides a “platform for discussing the activities and issues related to strengthening the coordination of the humanitarian assistance of the United Nations,” which in turn “enables Member States to engage with the UN and non-UN humanitarian and development community,

¹⁴³ Sphere Project, *Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response*, 2004; UNHCR, *Environmental Guidelines for Refugee Operations*, 2005; WHO, *Handbook on the Safe Management of Wastes from Health-Care Activities*, 1999; UNHCR, *Framework for Assessing, Monitoring and Evaluating the Environment in Refugee-Related Operations*, 2005; Benfield Hazard Research Centre, et. al., *Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment in Disaster Response*, 2005; UN JEU, *Flash Environmental Assessment Tool*, 2007; UNEP, *UNEP Environmental needs assessment for early recovery*, 2007.

¹⁴⁴ Barrett, *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*, 2007, p. 1.

¹⁴⁵ IFRC, *Code of Conduct for the ICRC Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief*, 1994.

¹⁴⁶ UN GA, *Safety and security of humanitarian personnel and protection of United Nations personnel (A/RES/67/85)* [Resolution], 2012; UN GA, *Participation of volunteers in the activities of the United Nations in the field of humanitarian relief, rehabilitation and technical cooperation for development (A/RES/67/84)* [Resolution], 2013.

¹⁴⁷ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations: Report of the Secretary-General (A/68/84)*, 2013; UN GA, *International cooperation on humanitarian assistance in the field of natural disasters, from relief to development: Report of the Secretary-General (A/68/89)*, 2013.

¹⁴⁸ UN Economic and Social Council, *Proposed basic programme of work of the Council for 2013 and 2014: Note by the Secretary-General (E/2013/1)*, 21 December 2012.

¹⁴⁹ UN Economic and Social Council, *Humanitarian Affairs Segment* [Website], 2013.

the private sector, affected people and other actors from a range of geographic groups on current humanitarian challenges.”¹⁵⁰ The report of the Secretary-General, prepared by OCHA, which was used as the basis for discussions in 2013 articulates that environmental hazard management that addresses technological and industrial hazards is an essential part of disaster risk reduction and thus fundamental to the “resilience” approach which is widely used within the humanitarian community.¹⁵¹

Specialized Agencies, Programmes and Funds

The United Nations Environment Programme and the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs are the primary entities within the UN system which address this issue in terms of programming and policy norm setting.

The United Nations Environment Programme has addressed this topic on a policy and programmatic level. In terms of policy, the Governing Council of the UNEP has adopted several resolutions under the subject heading “Strengthening international cooperation on the environmental aspects of emergency response and preparedness,” including resolution 26/15 on 24 February 2011, and resolutions 21/17 of 9 February 2001 and 22/8 of 7 February 2003. The 2001 UNEP *Strategic Framework on Emergency Prevention, Preparedness, Assessment, Mitigation and Response* is part of the overall long and medium-term response to environmental emergencies, helping to frame UNEP’s activities and provide guidance which is preventative.¹⁵² The framework lays out the following goals: to “reinforce the importance of environmental concerns in emergency prevention, preparedness, assessment, mitigation and response,” as well as to “integrate environmental concerns” into humanitarian and development processes, and “bring to the attention of the United Nations and its partners and national governments the transnational and global environmental aspects of emergencies.”¹⁵³

On this topic, the primary actors involved in humanitarian affairs, the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) and UNEP work in partnership to prevent and manage the environmental aspects of humanitarian response through the Joint UNEP / OCHA Environment Unit (JEU).¹⁵⁴ The JEU is particularly active during the emergency phase of a response, with the UNEP Post-Conflict and Disaster Management Branch.¹⁵⁵

The JEU, located within the OCHA Emergency Services Branch, is the mechanism that mobilizes to “coordinate emergency assistance to countries affected by environmental emergencies and natural disasters with significant environmental impact.”¹⁵⁶ Established in 1993 following the adoption of United Nations General Assembly resolution 44/224 several years prior, the JEU is described as a combination of “UNEP’s technical expertise” and “OCHA’s strong humanitarian response coordination structure,” which allows an “integrated approach in responding to environmental emergencies,” which can result from a natural disaster, conflict, or technological and industrial accident.¹⁵⁷ The JEU provides direct support to

¹⁵⁰ UN Economic and Social Council, *Humanitarian Affairs Segment* [Website], 2013.

¹⁵¹ UN GA, *Strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations: Report of the Secretary-General (A/68/84)*, 2013.

¹⁵² UNEP, *Environmental Management and Disaster Preparedness: Building a multi-stakeholder partnership*, 2005.

¹⁵³ UNEP, *Environmental Management and Disaster Preparedness: Building a multi-stakeholder partnership*, 2005.

¹⁵⁴ UN OCHA, *Environmental Emergencies* [Website].

¹⁵⁵ UNEP, *Environmental Management and Disaster Preparedness: Building a multi-stakeholder partnership*, 2005.

¹⁵⁶ UN OCHA, *Environmental Emergencies* [Website]; UN Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, *Guidelines for Environmental Emergencies*, Vol. 1, 2009, p. 4.

¹⁵⁷ UN JEU, *Guidelines for Environmental Emergencies*, Vol. 1, 2009, p. 4.

Member States by “coordinating international efforts and mobilizing partners to aid affected countries requesting assistance.”¹⁵⁸

One of the JEU’s functions is to serve as the secretariat to the International Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies (AGEE), which is a forum held every two years comprised of policy makers and experts from governments, academic institutions, industry and civil society, that aims to “improve prevention, preparedness, response and overall resilience to environmental emergencies.”¹⁵⁹ In 2013, the AGEE had its 10th meeting, attended by over 120 participants.¹⁶⁰

Regional

On a regional level, there are multiple organizations engaged on this topic, with regional entities in the Asia-Pacific region setting the standard for coordination and cooperation, particularly following the earthquake and tsunami of 26 December 2004. The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), for example, adopted the *Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response* to provide a “framework for the development of operational procedures to respond collectively and expeditiously to disasters.”¹⁶¹ Similarly, the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Ministerial Meeting in 1997 led to the adoption of the *APEC Framework for Capacity Building Initiatives on Emergency Preparedness*.¹⁶² Additional relevant entities include the Centre for Risk Management of Natural Disasters established by the Economic Cooperation Organization in 2006; and the Pacific Islands Forum Regional Natural Disaster Relief Fund.

In Africa, the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) has been the primary channel for “communicating the importance of greater preparedness for environmental emergencies in the West African region, and advocating formal policy development and implementation in this area.”¹⁶³ The Regional Committee for Disaster Management in West Africa (GECEAO) is managed by ECOWAS and focuses on strengthening coordination within the region.¹⁶⁴ Additional regional organizations active include African Union and the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) and the *Africa Regional Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction* (2004); the Southern African Development Community (SADC) and a comprehensive disaster management strategy.

In Latin America and the Caribbean, the relevant organizations and policy frameworks include the Organization of American States (OAS) and the *Inter-American Convention to Facilitate Disaster Assistance* (1991); the *Agreement Establishing the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Response Agency* (CDERA) (1991); the Coordination Centre for Natural Disaster Prevention in Central America (CEPRE-DENAC).¹⁶⁵ Further guidance can be found in the *Agreement between Member States and Associate*

¹⁵⁸ UN JEU, *Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit: Preparedness, Response & Environment in Humanitarian Action* [Note], 2013; UN JEU, *Environmental Emergencies Section (EES)* [Note], 2013.

¹⁵⁹ UN JEU, *Environmental Emergencies: Events* [Website].

¹⁶⁰ UN Environmental Emergencies Centre, *Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies Forum starts today* [Article], 2013.

¹⁶¹ UN Environmental Emergencies Centre, *Global Community* [Website], 2013; ASEAN, *Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response*, 2005.

¹⁶² IFRC, *Law and legal issues in international disaster response: a desk study*, 2007.

¹⁶³ UN Environmental Emergencies Centre, *Global Community* [Website], 2013.

¹⁶⁴ Panapress, *West Africa: ECOWAS emergency disaster management meeting*, 2013; Jimoh, *NEMA DG elected president, ECOWAS committee on disaster management*, 20 June 2013.

¹⁶⁵ OAS, *Inter-American Convention to Facilitate Disaster Assistance*, 1991; CARICOM, *Agreement Establishing the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Response Agency*, 1991; SICA, *Coordination Centre for Natural Disaster Prevention in Central America (CEPRE-DENAC)*.

Members of the Association of Caribbean States for Regional Cooperation on Natural Disasters (1999); and the Andean Committee for the Prevention and Response to Disasters (CAPRADE).¹⁶⁶

International support for capacity-building and awareness raising

Capacity-building and awareness raising are important components of addressing this issue – and a central area of focus for the international community. There are several different opportunities for international cooperation: local capacity building; strengthening regional systems; conducting training; and institutionalizing technical assistance and capacity-building.¹⁶⁷

There is a concerted effort within the international community to strengthen regional systems for responding to environmental emergencies in order to better respond to emergencies should they occur. There are several approaches to doing this, some of which has been taken on by the JEU and called for by the UNEP Governing Council, including creating “a more robust and sustainable network” of “readily-available pool of diverse experts; equipment to support operations; and excellent bilateral and multilateral cooperation.”¹⁶⁸ This is complemented by ongoing calls to create “a worldwide donor network that is more equitable and more distributed (decentralised),” and in addition efforts to partner with a range of organizations across academia, civil society and the private sector.¹⁶⁹ One major policy gap, in line with this is a lack of formalization – the UN can provide formal organization and coordination for regional efforts to address environmental emergencies and this is one area which UNEP can have an important and long lasting influence.¹⁷⁰

The second area of action includes conducting training and raising awareness, which has the overall goal of increasing the awareness of “stakeholders and encourage their engagement in environmental emergency preparedness and response through increased communication and advocacy activities.”¹⁷¹ Examples of efforts which can be scaled up and reinforced by UNEP include the successful “Green Star Awards” which is a “peer recognition forum to increase awareness,” and “raise the profile of environmental emergencies, and to underline the connection between environmental impacts of natural disasters, technological accidents and complex emergencies, and their consequences for affected populations and providers of humanitarian assistance.”¹⁷² Other opportunities for action on this include supporting and scaling up training on managing and addressing environmental emergencies, as has been carried out by JEU over the past several years.¹⁷³

The final area of activity on this sub-topic and a gap in current efforts is the institutionalization of technical assistance and capacity-building through the creation, codification and promotion of guidance materials and training. Examples of past activities in this regard include: the Hazard Identification Tool (HIT); the Flash Environmental Assessment Tool (FEAT); and the Environmental Assessment Module (EAM).¹⁷⁴

¹⁶⁶ ACS, *Agreement between Member States and Associate Members of the Association of Caribbean States for Regional Cooperation on Natural Disasters*, 1999; Andean Community, *Andean Committee for the Prevention and Response to Disasters (CAPRADE)*.

¹⁶⁷ Nightingale, *Building the future of humanitarian aid: Local capacity and partnerships in emergency assistance*, 2012.

¹⁶⁸ UN JEU, *Regional Approaches: Note by the Secretariat (EU/AG/64)*, 2011, p. 2.

¹⁶⁹ UN JEU, *Regional Approaches: Note by the Secretariat (EU/AG/64)*, 2011, p. 2.

¹⁷⁰ UN JEU, *Regional Approaches: Note by the Secretariat (EU/AG/64)*, 2011, p. 2.

¹⁷¹ UN JEU, *International Environmental Emergency Response: Major Activities 2007-2009*, 2009, p. 42.

¹⁷² UN JEU, *International Environmental Emergency Response: Major Activities 2007-2009*, 2009, p. 43.

¹⁷³ UN JEU, *Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies: Proposed Workplan: Note by the Secretariat*, 2011.

¹⁷⁴ UN JEU, *International Environmental Emergency Response: Major Activities 2007-2009*, 2009, p. 28.

Now that these tools have been created, the ongoing revision and updating is necessary as is the continued gathering of good practice – this presents an opportunity for future efforts as the collection of information on any topic as technical as this is essential in building capacity.¹⁷⁵ The role of UNEP in providing a space for the development of standard operating procedures and management plans is essential. Ideally, should such a plan be developed, it would include:

Emergency preparedness and contingency planning

Emergency preparedness has the goal of strengthening capacity at multiple levels – local, national and global – in order to minimize the impact of a crisis or conflict – on the population, both in terms of loss of life and livelihoods.¹⁷⁶ Activities encompassed in emergency preparedness are ones that aim to “protect lives and property from an immediate threat, to promote rapid reaction in the immediate aftermath of a disaster, and to structure the response to both the emergency and longer-term recovery operations.”¹⁷⁷ An emergency preparedness plan – regardless if focused on the environment or not – must have the following elements: political resolve and support; defined partner agencies and partnerships; strong legal framework; mechanisms for rapid decision-making; resources (financial, human and material); internal management mechanisms; and defined contingency plans.¹⁷⁸

Some of the key gaps and challenges in this area remain a lack of coordination and capacity at a local and national level in terms of how best to develop such a plan, but also, on an operational level – response plans often fail to provide for proper notification procedures, “ambiguous ownership” of environmental emergency management, and lack of clear responsibilities and overarching governance.¹⁷⁹ While these issues all are central to an effective response – these questions must be answered first in any emergency plan in order to ensure its comprehensiveness and effectiveness when the time comes to implement it. This is an opportunity for delegates moving forward.

Mainstreaming the environment in humanitarian response

Relief and recovery operations undertaken as part of humanitarian response can have a negative impact on the environment, undermining the recovery process, and cause “additional loss of life, displacement, aid dependency and increased vulnerability.”¹⁸⁰ Addressing the environmental element across all sectors of humanitarian response – or mainstreaming – is therefore critical in order to comprehensively address this issue both in the short term, but also in the long term, as part of sustainable development.¹⁸¹

International coordination of the emergency response process has led to the development of a “cluster approach” which divides up the work of humanitarian organizations, both UN and non-UN, into groups based around the main sectors of humanitarian action, as defined by the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC).¹⁸² At present, the clusters are as follows: health; food security; emergency

¹⁷⁵ UN JEU, *Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies: Proposed Workplan: Note by the Secretariat*, 2011.

¹⁷⁶ UN OCHA, *Coordination to Save Lives: History and Emerging Challenges*, 2012.

¹⁷⁷ Catholic Relief Services, *Emergency Preparedness & Response Handbook*, 2002, p. 36; UN OCHA, *Coordination to Save Lives: History and Emerging Challenges*, 2012.

¹⁷⁸ UN JEU, *Establishing a National Environmental Emergency Response Mechanism*, 2001; Catholic Relief Services, *Emergency Preparedness & Response Handbook*, 2002, p. 36.

¹⁷⁹ UN JEU, *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note (EU/AG/63)*, 2011.

¹⁸⁰ UNICEF, *Global Nutrition Cluster: Cross-cutting issues* [Website].

¹⁸¹ Barrett, *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*, 2007.

¹⁸² UN OCHA, *What is the Cluster Approach?* [Website].

telecommunications; education; early recovery; camp coordination and camp management; water, sanitation and hygiene; shelter; protection; nutrition; and logistics.¹⁸³ There are additionally, cross-cutting issues that impact all sectors – including gender, and the environment – which is led by UNEP.¹⁸⁴

Opportunities for UNEP in terms of mainstreaming the environment in humanitarian response includes strengthening coordination within the humanitarian sector on the environment, ranging from developing capacity and expertise to raising awareness on the relationship between humanitarian action and the environment. An additional area that is gaining increasing attention is the role of the private sector in humanitarian response, and thus the ways in which to minimize environmental impact in the activities of the business community is an important new area of policymaking and potential area for partnerships.¹⁸⁵ The experience that businesses have in terms of a wide range of “skills, experience and technological innovation to address the environmental impacts of their operations and their suppliers,” could be harnessed by the international community, which would not only strengthen their engagement in emergency situations, but also provide an “opportunity for ‘greening’ private sector involvement.”¹⁸⁶

Case Study: Mainstreaming the Environment into Refugee and Resettlement Operations

Environment-related impacts of refugee operations include natural resources degradation, irreversible impacts on natural resources, impacts on the health of the refugee population, and strain on social relations with the host community.¹⁸⁷ The Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has a long history of engagement on this issue. They established an Environment Unit in 1995 with the goal of monitoring environmental activities in relation to their work with refugees and returnees.¹⁸⁸ The environment is relevant to many aspects of camp management. In the selection of displacement camp locations, it is important that environmental sustainability is taken into consideration in terms of the available natural resource base and risk of depletion, as well as potential friction with host communities over natural resources.¹⁸⁹ If, for instance, food and water are not available readily without causing environmental degradation or due to degradation or contamination as a result of the emergency, that must be taken into consideration.¹⁹⁰ In developing livelihood opportunities for displaced persons, efforts should be made to ensure the livelihoods are sustainable and positively impact the environment, for example reforestation programs, which can lead to the added benefit of assisting in preventing future human-made disasters.¹⁹¹ Developing appropriate waste management services in camps – specifically in regards to the disposal site – is critical in order to prevent pollution.¹⁹² The size of the camp population itself is an issue

¹⁸³ IASC, *Guidance Note on Using the Cluster Approach to Strengthen Humanitarian Response*, 2006; Barrett, *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*, 2007.

¹⁸⁴ UNEP, *Key things to Know About Environment as a “Cross Cutting” Issue In Early Recovery*; 2008; UNICEF, *Global Nutrition Cluster: Cross-cutting issues* [Website].

¹⁸⁵ Kelly, *Mainstreaming environment into humanitarian interventions – A synopsis of key organisations, literature and experience*, 2013.

¹⁸⁶ Kelly, *Mainstreaming environment into humanitarian interventions – A synopsis of key organisations, literature and experience*, 2013.

¹⁸⁷ UNHCR, *Environment: Looking After the Land* [Website]; UNHCR, *Refugee Operations and Environmental Management: A Handbook of Selected Lessons Learned from the Field*, 2002.

¹⁸⁸ Barrett, *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*, 2007; UNHCR and CARE, *Module 1 - FRAME Toolkit: Framework for Assessing, Monitoring and Evaluating the environment in refugee-related operations*, 2009.

¹⁸⁹ Brookings Institution et. al., *IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons*, 2010, p. 18-19;

¹⁹⁰ Brookings Institution et. al., *IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons*, 2010, p. 32; Norwegian Refugee Council, *The Camp Management Toolkit*, 2008.

¹⁹¹ Brookings Institution et. al., *IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons*, 2010, p. 30.

¹⁹² Norwegian Refugee Council, *The Camp Management Toolkit*, 2008, p. 4.

which has an impact on the environment both in terms of physical space but also energy consumption; an ideal camp size sits at under 20,000.¹⁹³

Good practice in managing the environment in camp operations include the development of an environmental management plan through an inclusive and consultative process; undertaking a rapid environmental assessment prior to camp selection; ongoing implementation of an environmental management plan; coordination with and support from the national and local authorities, with assistance from the international community for ongoing capacity building.¹⁹⁴ Opportunities for the international community on this topic include scaling up and supporting good practice, strengthening collaboration between various departments and agencies within the UN system on this topic to avoid duplication, ensuring the policy framework is strong and integrated within all humanitarian assistance guidelines, and supporting the ongoing development of technical expertise.

Conclusion

Capacity at local and national levels to “respond appropriately to environmental emergencies – such as chemical and oil spills, earthquakes, floods and forest fires – are often overstretched, particularly in the poorest and most vulnerable nations, which are disproportionately affected by disasters, as they often have insufficient resources to invest in appropriate preparedness measures.”¹⁹⁵ UNEP has the opportunity to focus on ways to strengthen international cooperation on two important and overlapping issues: the way in which countries prepare for and respond to the environmental dimensions of natural and man-made disasters and the environmental implications of humanitarian response efforts. Thus it is essential to look not only at the actual environmental emergency that can occur in the aftermath of a natural or man-made disaster, but also the risk to the environment that the broader humanitarian response can cause.

Remaining questions for delegates to consider include: How can UNEP provide support for capacity-building and awareness raising? What opportunities are there for building local capacity-building through existing partnerships? How can UNEP facilitate the organization of regional networks of stakeholders focused on preventing and responding to environmental emergencies? What gaps can UNEP fill in terms of institutionalizing technical assistance and capacity-building? Where is there still room for improvement in providing guidance and support on emergency preparedness and contingency planning? What can UNEP do to better mainstream the environment in humanitarian response by advancing the existing normative framework? How can partnering with the business community, civil society and other non-traditional entities advance the integration of the environment into humanitarian action?

¹⁹³ Norwegian Refugee Council, *The Camp Management Toolkit*, 2008, p. 3; UNHCR, *Handbook of Experiences in Energy Conservation and Alternative Fuels: Cooking Options in Refugee Situations*, 2002.

¹⁹⁴ Norwegian Refugee Council, *The Camp Management Toolkit*, 2008, p. 57.

¹⁹⁵ UN JEU, *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note (EU/AG/63)*, 2011.

Annotated Bibliography

Barrett, E., S. Murfitt, and P. Venton. (2007, November). *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*. Environmental Resources Management. Retrieved 1 August 2013, from:

http://postconflict.unep.ch/humanitarianaction/documents/01_01-03.pdf

This publication is the result of a cross-disciplinary research project which aimed to explore the multiple opportunities and issues associated with mainstreaming the environment into humanitarian response activities. The core findings were explored, broken into several sections: linkages and benefits; challenges; and summary and next steps. Delegates will find the section on recommendations particularly relevant as it provides elements of policies that can be drafted in committee.

Council of Europe. (2003). *International Organisations and Major Hazard Management (AP/CAT (2003))*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/majorhazards/ressources/Apcat2003/APCAT-2003-12-e.pdf>

This document is a comprehensive overview over the major programs and activities that are undertaken by international organizations both regionally and globally in the field of hazard response. Published by the Council of Europe in 2003, although it is a decade old, it is extremely thorough in its review and thus helpful for delegates. Of particular relevance is the section on regional organizations as it provides delegates with concrete examples of relevant entities that can be partnered with in enhancing international and regional cooperation.

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2007). *Law and legal issues in international disaster response: a desk study*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://www.ifrc.org/PageFiles/41194/113600-idrl-deskstudy-en.pdf>

The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies produced this document as part of its work on researching and providing guidance on existing international laws and norms in order to allow stakeholders to ensure better implementation of said norms. The publication is a comprehensive survey of related laws and legal issues in the field of international disaster response which not only provides details on the legal framework from multiple fields, but also provides helpful context. Delegates will find the section on “Regional law and norms” particularly useful as it will help provide context for the existing obligations each state has and the ways in which they can take that good practice forward.

United Nations, General Assembly, Forty-fourth session. (1989, December 22). *International co-operation in the monitoring, assessment and anticipation of environmental threats and in assistance in cases of environmental emergency (A/RES/44/224)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/44/224

The United Nations General Assembly adopted resolution 44/224 on 2 December 1989 by consensus in order to advance the work of the United Nations and the greater international community in terms of how they address environmental emergencies. The resolution underlined the need to strengthen international cooperation and also ensure there is sufficient capacity to monitor environmental threats and further respond in the case of emergencies. Delegates will find the resolution helpful as a foundational document for this topic and also find it illuminative in terms of what the General Assembly has historically requested from UNEP.



United Nations, General Assembly, Forty-sixth session. (1991, December 19). *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/46/182

The United Nations General Assembly adopted resolution 46/182 on 19 December 1991 as a way to strengthen the role of the United Nations as the entity providing coherence and coordination in times of humanitarian emergencies. The resolution contains specific provisions for the ways in which national and local resources should be used in cases of emergencies and lays out elements of emergency preparedness and response strategies. This would be particularly helpful for delegates in order to understand cornerstone of the most recent reforms in the area of humanitarian assistance.

Nijenhuis, R. and C. Bruch. (2012). *Brief 6: Environmental Emergencies: Challenges and Lessons for International Environmental Governance*. Governance and Sustainability Issue Brief Series, Paper 6. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://scholarworks.umb.edu/cgs_issue_brief_series/6

This brief examines existing instruments and institutions, and assesses for strengths and weaknesses in order to identify gaps in efforts to improve coordination in the context of environmental emergencies. The result of a collaboration between two experts in the field as part of a series of governance and sustainability focused issue briefs published by University of Massachusetts, Boston, there are some interesting analytical points made by the authors, particularly in terms of what are the main gaps are and what lessons can be drawn. Delegates will find this extremely helpful as it lays out policy proposals and provides clear options for action which can be undertaken by any international actor, but particularly by UNEP.

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council, Twenty-second session. (2002, November 13). *Further Improvement of Environmental Emergency Prevention, Preparedness, Assessment, Response and Mitigation: Note by the Executive Director (UNEP/GC.22/INF/5)*. Nairobi, 3-7 February 2003. Retrieved 2 August 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/gc/gc22/Document/k0263253.pdf>

This document was produced by the Executive Director of the UN Environment Programme in 2003 as requested in UNEP Governing Council decision 21/17 on environmental emergencies. The document reviews UNEP action on environmental issues and reflects on the way that UNEP can strengthen efforts in this regard. Although a decade old, delegates will find it helpful to review the way UNEP views its role in addressing environmental emergencies and thus formative for the way that the topic is approached in committee.

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-seventh session. (2012). *International cooperation on humanitarian assistance in the field of natural disasters, from relief to development: Report of the Secretary-General (A/67/363)*. Retrieved 8 August 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/67/363

This is the most recent report of the Secretary-General under this agenda item, and provides an overview of recent “natural hazards” over the previous year. Of particular focus is the idea of “resilience” and how humanitarian and other actors contribute to strengthening the resilience of communities. Delegates will find it helpful as it is a comprehensive snapshot of the humanitarian field and provides concrete recommendations that, if relevant, can be taken on by UNEP.

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2012). *Keeping up with Megatrends: The Implications of Climate Change and Urbanization for Environmental Emergency Preparedness and*



Response. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/Keeping%20up%20with%20Megatrends.pdf>

This report, published by the Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, focuses on two important global trends affecting environmental emergency preparedness and response: climate change and urbanization. The document frames recommendations as “opportunities,” which is extremely useful for delegates in identifying concrete policy options on this topic. The document is considered essential reading in the field of environmental emergencies and thus a “must-read” for delegates.

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2013). *Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit: Preparedness, Response & Environment in Humanitarian Action* [Information Note]. OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/JEU%20info%20sheet.pdf>

This short information sheet, published by the Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, provides an overview of the relationship between the environment and humanitarian assistance. Although it is brief, the document provides an overview of the key actors on this topic and some salient concepts and definitions. Delegates will find this information note relevant as a starting off point for future research.

Wilton Park. (2011). *Conference report: Environmental Emergencies: strengthening the multilateral humanitarian and environmental response, 12-15 September 2011, Held in Glion sur Montreux, Switzerland*. Retrieved 3 July 2013 from: <https://www.wiltonpark.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/wp1093-report.pdf>

Wilton Park held a meeting from 12 – 15 September 2011 focused on the ways in which to strengthen the multilateral humanitarian and environmental response in advance of the Rio+20 conference. The report of the meeting reviews lessons learnt from past emergencies and discusses emerging themes in this field, including the role of the private sector and long-term emergency preparedness. Delegates will find this resource helpful as it brings together threads of discourse from across a wide range of fields and thus is helpful in identifying what the current important issues are as potential areas for action.

IV. Water Policy and Strategy: Addressing Transboundary Waters

“Inaction on transboundary waters can carry a high price. Tensions can hurt regional integration, trade, and stability, thereby limiting the potential for sustainable development. However, when the management of shared water is handled with the right tools and done through cooperation, tolerance, and mutual respect, it can pave the way toward sustainable and peaceful development from every angle: political, social, economic, cultural, and ecological.”¹⁹⁶

Introduction

Currently, there are 1.2 billion people live in areas with water scarcity and 500 million more people in a state of extreme insecurity verging on scarcity.¹⁹⁷ A further 1.6 billion people presently live in countries that do not have adequate infrastructure to efficiently manage the water supply.¹⁹⁸ By 2020, it is estimated that the number of people at risk worldwide due to water scarcity or other related issues will be between 0.4 billion and 1.7 billion.¹⁹⁹ The number at risk continues to increase and by 2080, between 1.1 billion and 3.2 billion people will be impacted.²⁰⁰

Partially a result of climate change and partially a result of human-induced means, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), water scarcity can be aggravated by four factors: 1) population growth; 2) increased urbanization; 3) high consumption levels; and 4) climate change which shrinks resources and has other detrimental impacts.²⁰¹ Addressing this crisis requires dealing with a range of issues, including insufficient quantity of fresh water; poor quality water that is saline or heavily polluted; and competing political demands on the same water sources.²⁰²

As water grows scarcer, the challenge of managing water becomes more important. For almost 40% of the population, this challenge is made even more difficult due to the fact that their rivers and lake basins are shared between two or more countries.²⁰³ The 263 transboundary lakes and river basins identified globally provide almost 60% of the world’s freshwater for almost 145 countries partially, and 30 countries entirely.²⁰⁴ For the 2 billion people who depend on groundwater, 300 of these aquifer systems are transboundary.²⁰⁵ These sources are referred to as “transboundary water sources.” Transboundary waters are “rivers, lakes, other surface freshwater bodies, and groundwater aquifers that overlap political

¹⁹⁶ UNDP Water Governance Facility, *The World Water Forum: High Level Roundtables – Transboundary Waters*, 2012, p. 1; UNESCO, *Water: A Shared Responsibility* [Report], 2006.

¹⁹⁷ UN Security Council, *Maintenance of international peace and security: Impact of climate change (S/PV.6587)* [Meeting], 2011.

¹⁹⁸ UN Security Council, *Maintenance of international peace and security: Impact of climate change (S/PV.6587)* [Meeting], 2011.

¹⁹⁹ UN Security Council, *Climate change and its possible security implications: Report of the Secretary-General (A/64/350)*, 2009.

²⁰⁰ UN Security Council, *Climate change and its possible security implications: Report of the Secretary-General (A/64/350)*, 2009.

²⁰¹ Bates, *Climate Change and Water*, 2008; UNESCO, *Water: A Shared Responsibility* [Report], 2006.

²⁰² Brooks, *Fresh Water in the Middle East and North Africa: Source of Conflict/Base for Cooperation*, 2007, p. 34-5; UNESCO, *Water: A Shared Responsibility* [Report], 2006.

²⁰³ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 1.

²⁰⁴ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 1; UNESCO, *Water: A Shared Responsibility* [Report], 2006.

²⁰⁵ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 1.

boundaries.”²⁰⁶ Usually, “the term is used to refer to national boundaries between countries, but it may also refer to state or community level jurisdictions.”²⁰⁷

Countries that share transboundary waters with neighboring states “face increasing water demand, hydrological variability, unilateral basin development and, in some cases, increased tensions as well,” which is compounded by, on a national level, “few institutions which promote joint management of shared water resources and dispute resolution.”²⁰⁸ If institutions do exist, “they are often ad-hoc, disparate, and poorly financed,” and further, on an international level, lack a common “global platform to advance joint management of transboundary waters and a lack of coordinated approaches among development partners.”²⁰⁹ By not taking action on managing transboundary waters, tension can rise between countries, damaging stability regionally, and limiting trade and integration, thus limiting the potential for full, inclusive and sustainable development.²¹⁰

The challenge for the international community, including UNEP, is to situate the conversation on transboundary water in alignment with three important issues: 1) strengthening international cooperation and agreements on transboundary water sources; 2) the role of water in the post-2015 development framework and sustainable development processes; and 3) addressing transboundary water issues in the context of climate change. UNEP has the ability to develop normative frameworks and establish programmes in partnership with a wide array of organizations that drive the conversation on this topic, providing a strong opportunity for concrete change.

International and Regional Framework

There are several important international agreements and policy documents that frame this topic, including the *Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses* (1997), General Assembly resolution 63/124, which contains the *Law of Transboundary Aquifers*, and the UN Economic Commission for Europe’s *Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes* (1992), also referred to as the “Water Convention.”²¹¹

The UN Economic Commission for Europe’s *Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes* (1992), also referred to as the “Water Convention”, promotes “cooperation on transboundary surface and ground waters and strengthens their protection and sustainable management.”²¹² The Convention has, since its adoption, “played a crucial role in the pan-European region in supporting the establishment and strengthening of cooperation,” and served as a platform for academic, scientific and programmatic work on this topic for the last two decades.²¹³ Originally, the Water Convention was only regional in focus, but as of February 2013 it is a global instrument as per a recent amendment that allowed non-UNECE members to accede to the Convention.²¹⁴

²⁰⁶ Global Water Forum, *Topics: Transboundary* [Website], 2013.

²⁰⁷ Global Water Forum, *Topics: Transboundary* [Website], 2013.

²⁰⁸ UNDP, *The World Water Forum: High Level Roundtables – Transboundary Waters* [Report], 2012, p. 1.

²⁰⁹ UNDP, *The World Water Forum: High Level Roundtables – Transboundary Waters* [Report], 2012, p. 1.

²¹⁰ UNDP, *The World Water Forum: High Level Roundtables – Transboundary Waters* [Report], 2012, p. 1.

²¹¹ UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013.

²¹² UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013.

²¹³ UNECE, *UNECE convenes first workshop of the global network of river basins on climate change adaptation* [Press Release], 3 July 2013.

²¹⁴ UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013.

The *Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses* (1997) was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly as an annex to resolution 51/229 on 21 May 1997 and is still several ratifications short of coming into force.²¹⁵ The Convention laid out three main principles for countries to follow in the context of shared watercourses: “equitable and reasonable use, the obligation to prevent significant harm to neighbors, and the obligation of cooperation.”²¹⁶ Following on this, in May 2008, the United Nations International Law Commission drafted articles on the “law of transboundary aquifers,” which were forwarded on to the General Assembly.²¹⁷ This led to General Assembly resolution 63/124, which contains the *Law of Transboundary Aquifers* as an annex and was adopted on 11 December 2011.²¹⁸ The resolution “calls for cooperation among States to prevent, reduce and control pollution of shared aquifers and could offer the basis for a future binding legal instrument on transboundary aquifers.”²¹⁹

Additional important frameworks on this issue include the *Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, Agenda 21*, the *Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21*, the *Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development, Plan of Implementation of the World Summit on Sustainable Development* (“Johannesburg Plan of Implementation”) and the outcome document of the High-level Plenary Meeting of the General Assembly on the Millennium Development Goals.²²⁰

Role of the United Nations System

The United Nations (UN) System is highly engaged on this topic in several ways. Broadly, UN system activities are framed in the context of the “International Decade for Action ‘Water for Life’” which began in 2005 and will continue to 2015, as laid out in UN General Assembly resolution 58/217.²²¹ Transboundary waters were the focus of World Water Day in 2009 under the theme “Shared Waters, Shared Opportunities,” and more significantly, 2013 was declared the “International Year of Water Cooperation,” pursuant to General Assembly resolution 65/154.²²²

Water has been a primary focus for UNEP since its inception.²²³ In 1992, the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development served as a catalyst for a stronger focus institutionally on freshwater resources management, triggering conversations on freshwater in not only UNEP, but also the Millennium Development Goals process, the World Summit on Sustainable Development and the Commission on Sustainable Development, among others.²²⁴ UNEP’s Water Policy and Strategy

²¹⁵ UN General Assembly, *Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses (A/RES/51/229)*, 21 May 1997.

²¹⁶ UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013; UN General Assembly, *Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses (A/RES/51/229)*, 1997; UNESCO, *UN General Assembly Resolution adopts resolution on the Law of Transboundary Aquifers*, 2011.

²¹⁷ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 5.

²¹⁸ UN General Assembly, *The law of transboundary aquifers (A/RES/63/124)* [Resolution], 15 January 2009.

²¹⁹ UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013; UN General Assembly, *The law of transboundary aquifers (A/RES/63/124)* [Resolution], 15 January 2009.

²²⁰ UN General Assembly, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I))*, 12 August 1992. UN General Assembly, *Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I))*, 12 August 1992.

²²¹ UN DESA and UN-Water, *International Decade for Action ‘Water for Life’ 2005-2015* [Website], 2013.

²²² UN-Water, *International Year of Water Cooperation* [Website], 2013; UN-Water, *About World Water Day* [Website], 2009.

²²³ UNEP, *Updated water policy and strategy of the United Nations Environmental Programme (GC/24/16)*, 9 February 2007; UNEP, *Governing Council Decisions on Water* [Website]; UNEP, *Water Policy & Strategy* [Website].

²²⁴ UNEP, *Updated water policy and strategy of the United Nations Environmental Programme (GC/24/16)*, 9 February 2007.

document, reapproved in 2007 drives the organization's water-focused programming.²²⁵ Within the Water Policy and Strategy, transboundary water resources management falls under the promotion of ecosystem-based approaches strategic approach.²²⁶ Generally, UNEP advocates for "integrated water resources management with a focus on environmental aspects," specifically focusing on "appropriate adaptation measures to climate change, and mitigation and management of water-related disasters."²²⁷ At present, UNEP's program on transboundary water includes: developing methodologies for assessing and sustaining transboundary waters.²²⁸ Regionally, UNEP supports initiatives for exchanging information and experiences on transboundary waters and establishing agreements focused on transboundary basins.²²⁹

UN-Water is the system-wide mechanism, established by the UN System Chief Executive Board for Coordination in 2004, with the mandate to "coordinate relevant agencies and programmes involved with water-related issues," including the activities of the Decade.²³⁰ From its inception, "transboundary water issues," was defined as a thematic initiative of focus for UN-Water.²³¹ The UN-Water Task Force on Transboundary Waters serves as a platform for "coherence and coordination of activities by UN-Water members and partners in the area of transboundary waters."²³² The Task Force is coordinated by the UN Economic Commission for Europe and UNESCO and consists members ranging from UN programmes to independent research institutions.²³³

The United Nations Education, Social and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) also plays an important role on the topic of water cooperation and water issues generally. Through its Natural Sciences Sector, UNESCO implements the key international programmes on freshwater, marine, ecological, earth and basic sciences, with the focus on water within its International Hydrological Programme (IHP). The IHP is a "scientific cooperative programme for water research and water resources management, education and capacity-building," which has two specific programs focused on transboundary waters: "From Potential Conflict to Cooperation Potential" (PCCP) which contributes to the World Water Assessment Programme (WWAP), by facilitating "multi-level and interdisciplinary dialogue to foster peace, cooperation and development of shared water resources management."²³⁴ The second program, the International Shared Aquifer Resources Management (ISARM) program is working to establish a "network of specialists and experts to compile a global inventory of transboundary aquifers and develop wise practices and guidance tools for shared groundwater resources management."²³⁵

The final important entity of note is the United Nations Office to support the International Decade for Action "Water for Life" (UNO-IDfA) is the office established to coordinate activities under the umbrella

²²⁵ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15; UNEP, *Governing Council Decisions on Water* [Website]; UNEP, *Water Policy & Strategy* [Website].

²²⁶ UNEP, *Updated water policy and strategy of the United Nations Environmental Programme (GC/24/16)*, 9 February 2007.

²²⁷ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15.

²²⁸ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15; UNEP, *Governing Council Decisions on Water* [Website].

²²⁹ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15.

²³⁰ UN General Assembly, *Actions taken in organizing the activities of the International Decade for Action, "Water for Life", 2005-2015: Report of the Secretary-General (A/60/158)*, 25 July 2005.

²³¹ UN General Assembly, *Actions taken in organizing the activities of the International Decade for Action, "Water for Life", 2005-2015: Report of the Secretary-General (A/60/158)*, 25 July 2005.

²³² UN-Water, *UN-Water Activities: Task Force on Transboundary Waters* [Website].

²³³ UN-Water, *UN-Water Activities: Task Force on Transboundary Waters* [Website].

²³⁴ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15.

²³⁵ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 16.

of the International Decade, and is housed within UN-Water.²³⁶ This office works in conjunction with the UN-Water Decade Programme on Capacity Development (UNW-DPC).²³⁷

Additional UN system entities which contribute to addressing transboundary water at an international and regional level include: the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the United Nations University (UNU), the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia (ESCWA), the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), and the United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (ECA).²³⁸

Recent events of relevance include World Water Week, hosted by the Stockholm International Water Institute, focused this year on “Water Cooperation-Building Partnerships,” the outcome of which is the *2013 Stockholm Statement*, and is focused on sustainable development and water.²³⁹ Following this conference, from 8-11 October 2013, the Budapest Water Summit was held in the context of discussing water as part of the post-2015 development agenda.²⁴⁰ Alongside the forthcoming World Water Summit in 2014, these represent some of the most recent gatherings of policymakers on water policy, with a focus on cooperation and therefore importance in the conversation on transboundary water.

Water cooperation at the international, regional and sub-regional level

Cooperation at the international, regional and sub-regional levels is an important strategy for addressing transboundary water resources in order to ensure both optimal and sustainable use of water resources.²⁴¹ The importance of this is emphasized with the declaration that 2013 is the “International Year of Water Cooperation,” by the UN General Assembly in resolution 65/154.²⁴² Some of the primary opportunities for cooperation include (1) focusing on shared or cooperative management strategies as part of overall “integrated water resource management;” (2) establishing enforcement and monitoring mechanism; (3) widening the focus of the agreements; and (4) situating water cooperation within overall conflict prevention and peace promotion efforts.

Although there is a “proliferation” of both bi-lateral and multilateral agreements on transboundary water management, at present, 158 of 263 international river basins and transboundary aquifer system “lack any type of cooperative management framework,” meaning only roughly 41% of these basins are covered.²⁴³ This highlights some of the existing weaknesses in current agreements – they often don’t sufficiently “promote integrated water resources management,” and have “inadequate management structures and weak capacities to implement the agreements.”²⁴⁴

²³⁶ UN-Water, *Water for Life Decade: News Archives for 2009* [Website], 2009; UN-Water, *Water for Life Decade: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)* [Website].

²³⁷ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 15.

²³⁸ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 12-15.

²³⁹ Stockholm International Water Institute, *2013 Stockholm Statement*, 2013.

²⁴⁰ Budapest, *Overview of the Budapest Water Summit* [Website], 2013.

²⁴¹ Institute for Security & Development Policy, *Summary of the Asia Forum “Transboundary Water Resources: Why are they Important and how can they be processed?”*, 4 June 2013.

²⁴² UN General Assembly, *International Year of Water Cooperation, 2013 (A/RES/65/154)*, 11 February 2011.

²⁴³ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6; UN-Water, *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*, 2013; Gerlak, *Regional Water Governance and Institutional Arrangements around Transboundary Waters*, 2007.

²⁴⁴ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6.

Some of the most important recommendations for strengthening existing agreements include the need to take into consideration issues such as: water quantity and quality, hydrological events, shifting basin dynamics, societal values and also the potential impacts of climate change.²⁴⁵ Further recommendations include the incorporation of dispute resolution mechanism, various ways in which to share the benefits of the water, allocation of water and development of consistent water-quality standards.²⁴⁶ Agreements should also include provisions for joint monitoring, “information exchange and public participation as well as mutual assistance in case of extreme events,” ways to factor in risk and uncertainty (for example related to climate change), for encouraging water-related joint economic development activities (e.g. cost-sharing arrangements).²⁴⁷ Other shortcomings include “inadequate integration of aspects such as the environment, the lack of enforcement mechanisms, limited - sectoral – scope and non-inclusion of important riparian States).”²⁴⁸ This is a diverse array of issues and components, but the primary point is that agreements must be more robust and broader in scope and not just address limited aspects of the situation at hand.

UNEP’s role in this conversation is one of developing an overarching framework for key components of water agreements, and further developing programs that strengthen the capacity of organizations on the ground to draft, negotiate, and implement these agreements. Further, UNEP has the potential to serve as a clearinghouse for information and an organizational focal point for monitoring of relevant agreements.

Addressing transboundary water issues in the post-2015 development framework

Water has long been recognized as an essential “ingredient” for development. Through the achievement of improvement management of water resources via an integrated approach, development goals would be more attainable, communities would be healthier and more secure, and countries would be able to provide the support needed by their population for basic needs.²⁴⁹

Water is an important component of the ongoing discussion feeding into the establishment of a framework for development after 2015 upon the expiration of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), as well as within the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) consultation process. First, water is discussed in the context of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio +20) in terms of the way water and sanitation are reflected in the SDGs which are feeding into the eventual overarching post-2015 development framework.²⁵⁰ Broadly, there is a push for a stand-alone water goal, shaped by Rio +20, in order to “ensure that water is managed” in a way which contributes to “poverty eradication, gender equality and universal human development, while conserving Earth’s finite and vulnerable water resource base for current and future generations.”²⁵¹ The second way in which water is broadly part of the conversation around the future development framework is in the thematic consultation on water, led by UN-Water, as part of the UN Development Group’s consultations entitled

²⁴⁵ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6.

²⁴⁶ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6.

²⁴⁷ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6.

²⁴⁸ UN-Water, *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report], 2008, p. 6.

²⁴⁹ Global Water Partnership, *National stakeholder consultations on water: supporting the post-2015 development agenda*, 2013.

²⁵⁰ UN-Water, *Water in the post-2015 process* [Website], 2013.

²⁵¹ Harlin, *Emerging UN-Water Advice Regarding a Potential Global Goal on Water*, 2013.

the “World We Want 2015,” where transboundary waters arose as a common theme in both country and global consultations.²⁵²

The priorities for the post-2015 development framework in the context of transboundary waters centered on the establishment of and compliance with bi-lateral and multilateral agreements between countries that share basins and aquifers.²⁵³ Further, effective resource management and information exchange were also highlighted as important issues.²⁵⁴ The post-2015 development agenda should have water as an integral portion of all relevant parts, ranging from energy to food security.²⁵⁵ As a result of the “centrality” of water to individuals and communities, “water is a powerful tool for cooperation across borders, sectors and communities. A dedicated goal on water is necessary for a world where all people can live in safety and dignity.”²⁵⁶ Exploring options for ways to ensure water, and transboundary water is addressed in the development conversation is an exciting opportunity for UNEP to highlight its relevance in the global conversation surrounding these processes.

Adaptation to Climate Change in Transboundary Basins and Aquifers

The negative impact of climate change on water resources is already visible: increasingly frequency and intensity of floods and droughts, worsening water scarcity, erosion and sedimentation, reduction of glaciers and snow covers, a rise in sea level and damage to water quality and ecosystems.²⁵⁷ As mentioned earlier, it is critical to act now to address the impact of climate change on water – but almost half of the world’s total land surface is comprised of international river basins, which result in complex interdependencies within regions.

In response to this concern, this issue is being addressed within existing intergovernmental mechanisms, primarily by parties to the UNECE *Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes* and other regional frameworks. Within UNECE, not only is an existing Working Group on Integrated Water Resources Management discussing this topic, but further a task force has been established to serve as “a network of basins working on adaptation to climate change in transboundary basins.”²⁵⁸ The task force was established as an outcome of the sixth World Water Forum and met for the first time in September 2013.²⁵⁹ The main goal of the network is to share information and compare approaches in order to “foster exchange of experience and promote a shared vision between the participating basins,” and operates within the context of the UNECE Water Convention’s 2013-2015 programme of work.²⁶⁰

²⁵² UN-Water, *Water in the post-2015 process* [Website], 2013; Global Water Partnership, *National Stakeholder Consultations on Water*, 2013; Gesti, *The Water Thematic Consultation & The JMP Proposal for Post-2015 WASH Targets and Indicators*, 2013.

²⁵³ Global Water Partnership, *National stakeholder consultations on water: supporting the post-2015 development agenda*, 2013.

²⁵⁴ Global Water Partnership, *National stakeholder consultations on water: supporting the post-2015 development agenda*, 2013.

²⁵⁵ Stockholm International Water Institute, *2013 Stockholm Statement*, 2013.

²⁵⁶ Stockholm International Water Institute, *2013 Stockholm Statement*, 2013.

²⁵⁷ UNECE, *Guidance on Water and Adaptation to Climate Change*, 2009.

²⁵⁸ UNECE, *UNECE convenes first workshop of the global network of river basins on climate change adaptation* [Press Release], 3 July 2013.

²⁵⁹ UNECE, *Concept note on the collection of good practices and lessons learned on water and adaption to climate change in transboundary basins (ECE/IMP.WAT/WG.1/2013/3)*, 2013.

²⁶⁰ UNECE, *UNECE convenes first workshop of the global network of river basins on climate change adaptation* [Press Release], 3 July 2013; UNECE, *Concept note on the collection of good practices and lessons learned on water and adaption to climate change in transboundary basins (ECE/IMP.WAT/WG.1/2013/3)*, 2013.

In the context of climate change, building capacity at a local and regional level is essential in order to ensure that national capacity aligns with the need for concrete cooperation on transboundary waters.²⁶¹ Outcomes of recent studies on the topic concluded that:

“effective transboundary cooperation depends upon national capacity to give effect to the obligations of international law and agreements between riparian countries. Processes to facilitate cooperation between riparian countries must therefore involve targeted national institutional capacity building initiatives to ‘level the playing field’ and ensure national alignment with the prerequisites for effective transboundary cooperation.”²⁶²

Although this is the same for transboundary cooperation at any time, in the context of climate change – the urgency is great for strengthening national capacity to address this issue in a constructive way – the opportunity for conflict grows as water supply dwindles. UNEP’s role in this aspect is one of direct capacity-building, education and training, partnering across a range of projects and aiming to scale-up existing good practice.²⁶³

Case Study: Middle East

The Middle East in particular faces significant challenges in dealing with the water crisis, as it is the region that has the fewest natural renewable freshwater resources of any region in the world, an amount that will be halved by 2050 according to the World Bank.²⁶⁴ An additional factor complicating resource management includes the fact that around “60% of the region’s water flows across international borders.”²⁶⁵ The reason this complicates the situation is that water sources, which are transboundary, require an agreement and management by multiple countries. Recent projections related to the water resource implications of climate change highlight that by the end of the 21st century, the present day “Fertile Crescent” would lose “its current shape and could disappear altogether,” which would have devastating effects on the entire region.²⁶⁶ Related to this is the projection that in that same time period, the annual discharge of the Euphrates River will “decrease significantly (29%-73%), as will the stream-flow in the Jordan River,” which will make dealing with water shortages extremely difficult.²⁶⁷

The distribution of water resources within the region serves as important context that allows us to understand the landscape of water politics in the Middle East. There are three broad categories which countries can fall into based on their “primary water management challenges.”²⁶⁸ First, the “variability” group, Iran, Lebanon and the West Bank, are countries or territories which have an adequate quantity of renewable water, however there is variation within the country and over time and primarily concern themselves with ensuring their internal distribution is equal.²⁶⁹ Second, the “hyper-aridity” group, Gaza Strip, Jordan, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Yemen, are countries or territories which have “consistently low levels of renewable water resources,” and thus rely on

²⁶¹ Bigas, et. asl. *High-Level Roundtable Discussion on “Water, Peace and Security,”* 2012.

²⁶² INBO & GWP, *The Handbook for Integrated Water Resources Management in Transboundary Basins of Rivers, Lakes and Aquifers*, 2012.

²⁶³ UNECE, *Progress report of the pilot projects on climate change adaptation in transboundary basins (WGIWRM/2013/Inf.3)*, 2013.

²⁶⁴ World Bank, *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results in MENA*, 2007, p. xxi.

²⁶⁵ World Bank, *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results in MENA*, 2007, p. xxi.

²⁶⁶ Trondalen, *Climate Changes, Water Security and Possible Remedies for the Middle East*, 2009, p. 8.

²⁶⁷ Trondalen, *Climate Changes, Water Security and Possible Remedies for the Middle East*, 2009, p. 9.

²⁶⁸ World Bank, *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results MENA*, 2007, p. 7.

²⁶⁹ World Bank, *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results MENA*, 2007, p. 7.

“nonrenewable groundwater” and desalination processes.²⁷⁰ Third, and finally, the “transboundary water” group comprised of Egypt, Iraq and Syria, all have a “sizeable share of their water resources coming” from external sources.²⁷¹ These countries are therefore greatly affected by the decision-making processes of other countries, and thus international agreements are critical.²⁷² One other important fact is that three-quarters of the Middle East’s fresh water is located in Iran, Iraq and Syria, which illuminates the important role these countries play in conversations regarding water management.²⁷³

The principal sources of the water supply in the region are the Jordan, the Nile, the Tigris and Euphrates rivers.²⁷⁴ Over the past several decades, disagreement over control of water resources has sprung up, particularly in regards to the Jordan River. In terms of water policy, most governments in the Middle East have focused on the supply-side of the “water equation” in an effort to meet rising demand; however the result is that the “regulatory” aspect of water use, which includes establishing institutional mechanisms to monitor use and allocate the scarce resources, has been neglected.²⁷⁵

Focusing specifically on Israel’s occupation of Palestine, water is a fundamental issue that is at the heart of the ongoing conflict. Second only to Kuwait, the Gaza Strip is poorest in terms of water resources of any other country or territory globally, while simultaneously is also one of the most densely populated areas in the world.²⁷⁶ Palestinians living in these areas share all water sources with Israel, and their access to those resources has been tightly controlled since 1967.²⁷⁷ The primary water resources available to the West Bank and the Gaza Strip are groundwater resources which are transboundary. For the West Bank, they share the Mountain Aquifer with Israel, and the Gaza Strip shares the Coastal Aquifer with Israel.²⁷⁸ The Jordan River, additionally, should be legally accessible to residents of the West Bank; however Palestinians have been denied access to the water resources through physical barriers and diversion of the flow of the tributaries for decades.²⁷⁹

The amount of water allowed to Palestinians, according to most major human rights organization, environmental groups, and regional actors, “does not meet [Palestinian] needs and does not constitute a fair and equitable share of the shared water resources.”²⁸⁰ In 2010, the Arab Water Council calculated that Israel uses 89% of all water from the aquifer, while the remaining 11% is used by Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza.²⁸¹ Residents of the Gaza Strip, which is home to 1.5 million Palestinians, 80% of whom live below the poverty line, are also only able to drink 5-10% of water available to them, partially due to the occupation which prevents the development of water and wastewater infrastructure.²⁸² The 2.3 million Palestinians living in the West Bank use only 20% of the water extracted from their primary water source, while Israel extracts up to 1.8 times its share of the aquifer.²⁸³ A portion of this water, as well as all of the

²⁷⁰ World Bank, *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results MENA*, 2007, p. 7.

²⁷¹ Roudi-Fahimi, *Finding the Balance: Population and Water Scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa*, 2002.

²⁷² Roudi-Fahimi, *Finding the Balance: Population and Water Scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa*, 2002.

²⁷³ Brooks, *Fresh Water in the Middle East and North Africa: Source of Conflict/Base for Cooperation*, 2007, p. 36.

²⁷⁴ Brooks, *Fresh Water in the Middle East and North Africa: Source of Conflict/Base for Cooperation*, 2007, p. 37.

²⁷⁵ Middle East Economic Survey, *Water: A Strategic Middle East Resource*, 2011, p. 11.

²⁷⁶ Gray, et. al., *Water and Security for Palestine: The water crisis in the Palestinian Territories: challenges and opportunities for development*, 2007, p. 109.

²⁷⁷ Amnesty International, *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*, 2009, p. 12.

²⁷⁸ Gray, et. al., *Water and Security for Palestine: The water crisis in the Palestinian Territories: challenges and opportunities for development*, 2007, p. 100.

²⁷⁹ Amnesty International, *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*, 2009, p. 12.

²⁸⁰ Amnesty International, *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*, 2009, p. 4.

²⁸¹ Palestinian Water Authority, *An overview of water and sanitation in the Opt (PWA.2011)*, 2011.

²⁸² World Bank, *Assessment of Restrictions on Palestinian Water Sector Development*, 2009, p. v.

²⁸³ World Bank, *Assessment of Restrictions on Palestinian Water Sector Development*, 2009, p. v.

available resources from the Jordan River which are denied Palestinians, are diverted to the 450,000 settlers living in the West Bank.²⁸⁴

As a result of Israel's policies dealing with natural resources management, Palestinians are not allowed to dig new wells in order to accommodate population growth, which exacerbates the already grave water crisis.²⁸⁵ Thus the water crisis is caused by not only the restrictions on the amount of water accessible to Palestinians, but also the minimal investment in water infrastructure.²⁸⁶ In order to protect Israeli settlers from water supply issues, during the summer, "the Israeli water company Mekorot closes the valves which supply Palestinian towns and villages so as not to affect Israeli supplies."²⁸⁷ This allows Israeli settlers to have their "swimming pools topped up and lawns watered while Palestinians living next to them, on whose land the settlements are situated, do not have enough water for drinking and cooking."²⁸⁸

Over the past several years, across the West Bank, settlers have begun to attack Palestinian villages, targeting specifically water supply. Examples include the community of Yanoun, Nablus governorate, located next to the Itamar settlement, where in October 2002, "masked settlers charged into the village with dogs and caused significant damage to the water network, several roof tanks, and the local spring, which is considered to be the main source of water for the community."²⁸⁹ Further, "the main line supplying water to the community from the main spring, as well as the pump, reservoir, fittings and valves were all damaged by settlers," which forced residents of the community to "buy water from tankers from the neighboring community, however tanker access was very difficult due to Israeli closures and checkpoints as well as settler threats and terror which included shootings, beatings, and harassment."²⁹⁰ In recent years, in the village of Madama, which is 50 km north of Jerusalem, "settlers from Yizhar settlement have repeatedly vandalized the villager's only source of water," by pouring concrete into it and vandalizing "the connecting pipes and even dropped disposable diapers and other hazardous waste into the springs," and further attacked villagers when repairs were attempted.²⁹¹

Water thus serves as an issue that is a prerequisite for peace and stability in the region, which if it goes unaddressed will not only contribute to further deterioration of the political situation, but will also continue to deprive Palestinians of their right to water and thus have a destructive and long lasting impact on the human security of the population. Although the conflict between Israel and Palestine is much more complex than simply a fight over water resources, without addressing and settling the water conflict, peace between the two countries will not be achieved. This is illustrative of the centrality of natural resources to a country's own identity and sense of sovereignty – and also indicative of the lengths to which countries will go to protect what they believe to be theirs, and take action, diplomatic or even military, if they feel they are threatened. This is even more the case in *transboundary* water sources, which represent opportunities for both conflict and cooperation.

Within the broader context of the situation, ultimately the situation will not be resolved until Israel ends their occupation of Palestine, as called for within multiple General Assembly resolutions. However, in the

²⁸⁴ Amnesty International, *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*, 2009, p. 5.

²⁸⁵ Amnesty International, *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*, 2009, p. 5.

²⁸⁶ Gray, et. al., *Water and Security for Palestine: The water crisis in the Palestinian Territories: challenges and opportunities for development*, 2007, p. 100; B'Tselem, *The Shared Water Sources and the Control over Them*, 2010.

²⁸⁷ Joseph, *A Peace of Water for Gaza: Bringing Palestine's RO project to life*, 1 March 2013.

²⁸⁸ PHG, *Water For Life: Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene During the Intifada*, 2004.

²⁸⁹ PHG, *Water For Life: Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene During the Intifada*, 2004; Hass, *Liquid asymmetry: How the PA is forced to support water projects for West Bank settlements*, 2013.

²⁹⁰ PHG, *Water For Life: Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene During the Intifada*, 2004.

²⁹¹ PHG, *Water For Life: Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene During the Intifada*, 2004.

context of water issues there are some opportunities for making traction, specifically in encouraging cooperation on mutual interests, such as agricultural development and production; technology sharing and capacity-building on water related technology; training and education for local communities on the role of water in conflict resolution efforts; and reestablishing joint water committees to provide a framework for cooperation.²⁹² Development of local knowledge of the water sector is particularly important in the context of the Middle East, and further promotion of the use of desalination, which UNEP has publically supported, is a boon to situations where that is the only option.²⁹³ UNEP, the World Bank and the UN Children's Fund have partnered on developing that capacity and knowledge.²⁹⁴ The situation in Israel and Palestine represent the range most negative aspect of transboundary waters – and also the challenges associated with managing them.²⁹⁵

Conclusion

The challenge for the international community, including UNEP, is to situate the conversation on transboundary water in alignment the broader international conversation on strengthening international water cooperation, development of a post-2015 development framework and addressing climate change. UNEP has the ability to develop normative frameworks and establish programmes in partnership with a wide array of organizations that drive the conversation on this topic, providing a strong opportunity for concrete change.

Remaining questions for delegates to consider, include: Are there existing UNEP programs that can be scaled up to address some of the challenges related to transboundary waters? What existing organizations can UNEP partner with to address facets of this issue? Where is the gap in policy – is it in organization or existing frameworks, lack of monitoring, and follow-up, or all of the above and how can UNEP fill that gap? What concrete programs can be implemented to address the relationship between climate change and transboundary waters? How can UNEP support capacity-building on water cooperation at a local, national and regional level?

²⁹² Udasin, *Israel and PA to resume agricultural cooperation after 13-year hiatus*, 2013; FOE-ME, *July Environmental Peacemaking Newsletter*, 2013; Bryant, *How water could bring Israelis, Palestinians together*, 2012; The Economist, *Boosting the West Bank's economy*, 2013.

²⁹³ Joseph, *A Peace of Water for Gaza: Bringing Palestine's RO project to life*, 1 March 2013.

²⁹⁴ Joseph, *A Peace of Water for Gaza: Bringing Palestine's RO project to life*, 1 March 2013.

²⁹⁵ EU, *PERA project comes to a conclusion after 3 years of EU – Palestinian S&T cooperation*, 2013; Joseph, *A Peace of Water for Gaza: Bringing Palestine's RO project to life*, 1 March 2013.



Annotated Bibliography

United Nations Development Programme, Global Environment Facility, White & Case, and the University of British Columbia. (2011, April 5). *International Waters: Review of Legal and Institutional Frameworks*. UNDP-GEF International Waters Project. Retrieved 28 August 2013 from: http://www.undp.org/content/dam/aplaws/publication/en/publications/environment-energy/www-ee-library/water-governance/international-waters-review-of-legal-and-institutional-frameworks/TW_Review_of_Legal_Instl_Frameworks_Project_Report.pdf

This report was published by the United Nations Development Programme Global Environment Facility (UNDP-GEF) as part of its project entitled “Good Practices and Portfolio Learning in GEF Transboundary Freshwater and Marine Legal and Institutional Frameworks.” It is comprehensive and reviews the legal and institutional frameworks that are currently applied to 28 international water bodies. Although it is broken up by specific water body, and thus represents a case study approach, for delegates interested in potential water bodies that are relevant for their country it is enormously helpful. Further, from a regional perspective, delegates can examine which agreements are in effect in their region and draw good practice that can contribute to policy development.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, World Water Assessment Programme. (2006). *Water: A Shared Responsibility*. United Nations World Water Development Report No. 2. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001454/145405e.pdf>

The World Water Assessment Programme (WWAP) releases its flagship publication “World Water Development Report,” annually, which provides a comprehensive picture of water resources through a particular topic focus. This report is focused on transboundary water in particular, and identifies the way in which water-related development goals have been met and the challenges facing countries around the world. Particularly helpful for delegates due to its wide-ranging scope, the recommendations and conclusions can also be viewed as a starting point for developing concrete policy proposals.

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-third session. (2009, January 15). *The law of transboundary aquifers (A/RES/63/124)* [Resolution], Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/63/124

The Law of Transboundary Aquifers was adopted by consensus in the annex of UN General Assembly resolution 63/124, following years of work by various UN entities on the topic. The law has 19 articles and was prepared largely by UNESCO’s International Hydrological Programme and the UN International Law Commission, following extensive consultation with experts over several years in an attempt to develop common language to be used in the formulation of future laws at an international, regional and local level on shared water resources. The law provides delegates with a starting point for the way the international community envisions sustainable and peaceful management of transboundary aquifers and is an essential document for all delegates in this committee.

United Nations, General Assembly, Fifty-first session. (1997, May 21). *Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses (A/RES/51/229)*. Retrieve 1 October 2013 from: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/8_3_1997.pdf



The Convention on the Law of Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses was adopted in the annex to General Assembly resolution 51/229 on 21 May 1997 and represents an important milestone in the elaboration of international law on water governance. Drafting the convention began in 2008, led by the International Law Commission, and focuses on ways in which to conserve waters that are transboundary in the context of increasing demands for water globally. The convention has not yet entered into force, however once it does, it will oblige all parties to consider the way in which they utilize water resources which are shared.

United Nations Environment Programme.(2007, February 9). *Water Policy and Strategy of UNEP*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

http://www.unep.org/Themes/freshwater/Documents/Water_Policy_Strategy.pdf

This document is the guiding framework for UNEP's action on water and as such one of the most important resources for delegates on this topic. The document provides an overview of UNEP's mandate on water and its conceptual and operational principles alongside core goals and objectives. The document then breaks down UNEP's work on water into several thematic sections. This is a must-read for delegates and an excellent jumping off point on this topic.

UN-Water. (n.d.). Transboundary Waters. *International Decade for Action 'Water for Life' 2005-2015* [Website]. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from:

http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/transboundary_waters.shtml

The web page entitled "Transboundary Waters," is contained on the general web site for the "International Decade for Action 'Water for Life' 2005-2015 and is managed by UN-Water and the UN Department for Economic and Social Affairs. This page provides a helpful overview of the key concepts and data related to transboundary waters and a substantive list of key documents published recently on this particular issue from across the UN system and from a variety of perspectives. This page will be a very helpful initial source for delegates as they begin exploring this topic – particularly due to the inter-agency nature of the site and the diversity of UN entities represented in the authors of the reports that are presented on the site, resulting in an excellent picture of the multi-faceted nature of this issue.

UN-Water. (2010, May). *Transboundary Water Cooperation Reader*. UN-Water Decade Programme on Advocacy and Communication (UNW-DPAC). Retrieved 4 July 2013 from:

http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/pdf/05_2010_reader_transboundary_waters_eng.pdf

This "reader" is one of the most helpful documents produced on this topic and is illustrative of the coherent and organized fashion in which this topic is approached within the UN system and particularly by UN-Water. This document is essentially a long annotated bibliography of key resources on this topic – from general international frameworks to specific sub-topics, such as biodiversity. From this document, delegates can access almost any other major document published on this topic and in that sense is an absolute must-read.

UN-Water. (2013). *Water Cooperation: Making it Happen: Conference summary report*. International Annual UN-Water Zaragoza Conference 2012/2013 "Preparing for the 2013 International Year. Retrieved 20 July 2013 from:

http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/water_cooperation_2013/pdf/water_cooperation_in_action_approaches_tools_processes.pdf



The annual UN-Water conference was held in Zaragoza, Tajikistan, in preparation for the 2013 International Year of Water Cooperation, which was declared by the General Assembly in 2011. The document provides a comprehensive overview of the concept of water cooperation, with a particular focus on how this looks in practice. As water cooperation between countries is undertaken as a result of transboundary waters, this report provides delegates with helpful background on what is an essential element of dealing with shared water resources.

UN-Water. (2013). *2013: International Year of Water Cooperation* [Website]. Retrieved 20 July 2013 from: <http://www.unwater.org/water-cooperation-2013>

This website serves as the focal point for the United Nations' activity surrounding recognition of the 2013 International year of Water Cooperation. A range of resources, including reports and brochures, as well as interactive areas for users to find relevant events and activities, are provided. Delegates will find the information in the resources section most useful as it is updated regularly and is a great starting point for research on this topic.

UN-Water, Task Force on Transboundary Waters. (2008). *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.unwater.org/downloads/UNW_TRANSBOUNDARY.pdf

Published by UN-Water's Task Force on Transboundary Waters, this document is essential reading on this topic as it lays out the primary benefits of transboundary water cooperation and what characteristics of the cooperation lead to long-term and sustainable use of shared resources. Additionally, the document introduces the various UN entities that engage on this topic, presenting a helpful map of key stakeholders and helpful sources of additional material on this topic. This document is absolutely fundamental for any delegate's research and should be the starting point for additional in-depth examination of this issue.



Bibliography

Committee History

United Nations, Governing Council of the United Nations Environment Program. (2012, March 12). *Proceedings of the Governing Council, Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session*. Retrieved July 20, 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/GC/GC27/Docs/Proceedings/K1350945.pdf>.

Ivanova, M. (2012). Institutional design and UNEP reform: historical insights on form, function and financing. *International Affairs*, 88(3): 565-584.

Peterson, J. (1982). *Ten Years after Stockholm: UNEP Look and Forth*. *Ambio*, Vol. 11, No. 1: 71.

The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. (1987). United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1522, p. 3. Retrieved 20 July 2013, from: http://ozone.unep.org/new_site/en/montreal_protocol.php

United Nations. (2013). *Message to the 27th Session of the UNEP Governing Council and Global Ministerial Environmental Forum* [Publication]. Retrieved 8 September 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/GC/GC27/Docs/Ban_Ki-moon_GC_18_feb_2013.pdf

United Nations, Conference on Sustainable Development. (2011). *About the Rio +20 Conference* [Website]. Retrieved July 19, 2013 from: <http://www.uncsd2012.org/about.html>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2012). *2012 Annual Report* [Report]. Retrieved 29 September 2013, from: http://www.unep.org/gc/gc27/docs/UNEP_ANNUAL_REPORT_2012.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2013). *Environmental Governance* [Website]. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/environmentalgovernance/>

United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). *UNEP Organizational Profile* [Website]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/PDF/UNEPOrganizationProfile.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *GC.26/GMEF* [Website]. Retrieved 29 September 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/gc/gc26/>

United Nations Environment Program. (2007). *UNEP Governing Structure* [Website]. Retrieved 2 July 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/PDF/AnnualReport/2007/1_AnnualReport2007_en_Introduction.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. *Governance Structure* [Publication]. Retrieved 20 July 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/sgb/Documents/Governance%20Structure.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2013). *United Nations Environment Program* [Website]. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2000). *Global Ministerial Environmental Forum, Malmö* [Website]. Retrieved 29 September 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/malmo/Default.asp>



United Nations Environment Programme. (2013). *Governance Structure* [Website]. Retrieved 2 July 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/sgb/GovernanceStruc.asp>

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council, Twenty-seventh session. (2013, March 12). *Proceedings of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its first universal session (UNEP/GC.27/17)*. Nairobi, 18-22 February 2013. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/GC/GC27/Docs/Proceedings/K1350945.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). *What UNEP Does* [Website]. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/Documents.Multilingual/Default.asp?DocumentID=493&ArticleID=5391>

I. Environment and Development: The Environment in the Post-2015 Development Agenda

Brinkman, H. and C. Hendrix. (2011). *Food Insecurity and Violent Conflict: Causes, Consequences, and Addressing the Challenges*. Retrieved 7 September 2013 from: <http://documents.wfp.org/stellent/groups/public/documents/newsroom/wfp238358.pdf>

United States, State of California, Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress. (n.d.) *Disasters and Poverty: Natural Disasters Disproportionately Affect the World's Poor*. Retrieved 7 September 2013 from: <http://www.dmh.ca.gov/disaster/docs/DisastersandPoverty.pdf>

Kirby, A. (2013, April 3). *Least developed countries agree to cut greenhouse gas emissions*. The Guardian. Retrieved 20 July 2013 from: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2013/apr/03/climate-change-greenhouse-gas-emissions>

Kogut. (1985). *Designing Global Strategies: Comparative and Competitive Value-Added Chains*. Retrieved July 18, 2013 from: <http://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/designing-global-strategies-comparative-and-competitive-valueadded-chains>

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2011). *Towards Green Growth*. Retrieved 18 July 2013 from: <http://www.oecd.org/greengrowth/48224539.pdf>

Panayotou, J. (1994, December). *Economic Instruments for Environmental Management and Sustainable Development*. International Environment Program Harvard Institute for International Development Harvard University.

Sutter, C. & J. Parreno. (2007). *Does the current Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An Analysis of officially registered CDM projects*. *Climatic Change*, Iss. 84: 75-90.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs Development Policy and Analysis Division. (n.d.). *Preparing for the Development Agenda beyond 2015* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/untaskteam_undf/index.shtml

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-seventh session. (2011). *Accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals: options for sustained and inclusive growth and issues for advancing the United Nations development agenda beyond 2015 (A/67/257)*. Retrieved 18 July 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/A/67/257>



United Nations System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda. (2012 June). *Realizing the Future We Want for All: Report to the Secretary-General* [Report]. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/Post_2015_UNTTreport.pdf

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2011). *United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development: About the Rio +20 Conference* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://www.uncsd2012.org/about.html>

United Nations Development Group. (2010). *Thematic Paper on MDG 7: Environmental Sustainability*. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: http://www.undg.org/docs/11421/MDG7_1954-UNDG-MDG7-LR.pdf

United Nations Development Group. (2013). *The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda*. Retrieved 20 July 2013 from: <http://www.undp.org/content/dam/undp/library/MDG/english/global-conversation-begins-web.pdf>

United Nations Development Group. (2013). *UNDG Strategic Priorities for 2013-2016* [Website]. Retrieved 18 July 2013 from: <http://www.undg.org/docs/12870/UNDG%20Strategic%20Priorities%202013-2016.pdf>

United Nations Development Group. (n.d.). *About the UNDG* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: http://www.undg.org/content/about_the_undg

United Nations Development Program. (2013). *2013 Global MDG Conference – Making the MDGs Work* [Press Release]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/presscenter/pressreleases/2013/02/27/2013-global-mdg-conference-making-the-mdgs-work/>

United Nations Economic and Social Council. *Millennium Development Goals* [Website]. Retrieved 22 June 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/en/ecosoc/about/mdg.shtml>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2013). *Climate Change Partners* [Website]. Retrieved 7 September 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/climatechange/Partners.aspx>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2013). *Disasters and Conflicts Introduction* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/disastersandconflicts/Introduction/tabid/51921/Default.aspx>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2007). *Global Environmental Outlook 4, Section B, Chapter 5: Biodiversity* [Report]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/report/05_Biodiversity.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2012). *What UNEP Does* [Website]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/Documents.Multilingual/Default.asp?DocumentID=493&ArticleID=5391>

United Nations Environment Programme. (3 March 2011). *Coordinating global action to combat desertification* [Press Release]. Retrieved July 20, 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/Documents.Multilingual/Default.asp?DocumentID=664&ArticleID=6918&l=en>



United Nations Environmental Program. (n.d.). *Sustainable Development Conferences. United Nations Environment Programme* [Website]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/newyork/IntergovernmentalPolicyCoordination/GeneralAssembly/EnvironmentalGovernance/SustainableDevelopmentConferences/tabid/52274/Default.aspx>

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2013). *Background on the UNFCCC: The international response to climate change* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013, from: http://unfccc.int/essential_background/items/6031.php

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2013). *Clean Development Mechanism* [Website]. Retrieved June 28, 2013 from: http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/mechanisms/clean_development_mechanism/items/2718.php

United Nations General Assembly. (2005). *2005 World Summit Outcome (A/RES/60/1)*. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/womenwatch/ods/A-RES-60-1-E.pdf>

United Nations General Assembly. (2010). *Keeping the promise: united to achieve the Millennium Development Goals* [Outcome Document]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: http://www.un.org/en/mdg/summit2010/pdf/outcome_documentN1051260.pdf

United Nations Population Fund. (2007). *Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability. In: Potential contributions to the MDG Agenda from the perspective of ICPD: a reference guide to evidence for policy dialogue in the LAC region* [Report]. Retrieved July 1, 2013 from: <http://www.unfpa.org.br/lacodm/arquivos/mdg7.pdf>

United Nations Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform. (n.d.). *Agenda 21, UNCED* [Website]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/index.php?page=view&nr=23&type=400&menu=35>

United Nations, Economic and Social Council. (2012). *Millennium Development Goals and Post-2015 Agenda* [Website].

United Nations, Economic and Social Council. (2013). *Annual Ministerial Review* [Website]. United Nations

Economic and Social Council [Website]. Retrieved July 1, 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/en/ecosoc/amr/index.shtml>

United Nations. (2013). *Millennium Development Goals Background* [Website]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/bkgd.shtml>

United Nations, Secretary-General. (2012). *The Secretary General's High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 Development Agenda* [Website]. United Nations Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/sg/management/hlppost2015.shtml>

United Nations. (1987). *Our Common Future, Chapter 2: Towards Sustainable Development* [Outcome Document]. Retrieved 19 July 2013 from: <http://www.un-documents.net/ocf-02.htm#1>



United Nations. (2012 June 22). *Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (A/CONF.216/16)* [Report]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.uncsd2012.org/content/documents/814UNCSD%20REPORT%20final%20revs.pdf>

United Nations. (n.d.) Goal 7: *Ensure Environmental Sustainability*. *United Nations Gateway to the UN System's Work on the MDGs* [Website]. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/environ.shtml>

Vandemoortele, J. (2012). *Advancing the global development agenda post-2015: some thoughts, ideas and practical suggestions* [Journal Article]. UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda. Retrieved June 22, 2013 from: http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/jan_vandemoortele_Aug.pdf

World Health Organization. (2013). *MDG 7: ensure environmental sustainability*. World Health Organization. Retrieved July 1, 2013 from: http://www.who.int/topics/millennium_development_goals/mdg7/en/

II. Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness in Humanitarian Response

Association of Southeast Asian Nations. (2005). *Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.ifrc.org/Docs/idrl/N112EN.pdf>

Association of Caribbean States. (1999). *Agreement between Member States and Associate Members of the Association of Caribbean States for Regional Cooperation on Natural Disasters*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.acs-aec.org/index.php?q=documents/osg/1999/agreement-between-member-states-and-associate-members-of-the-association-of-carib>

Andean Community. (n.d.). *Andean Committee for the Prevention and Response to Disasters (CAPRADE)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.caprade.org/>

Barrett, E., S. Murfitt, and P. Venton. (2007, November). *Mainstreaming the Environment into Humanitarian Response: An Exploration of Opportunities and Issues*. *Environmental Resources Management*. Retrieved August 1, 2013, from: http://postconflict.unep.ch/humanitarianaction/documents/01_01-03.pdf

Benfield Hazard Research Centre, et. al. (2005). *Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment in Disaster Response*, 2005. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.preventionweb.net/english/professional/publications/v.php?id=8267>

Brookings Institution and University of Bern. (2010, April). *IASC Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.brookings.edu/~media/Research/Files/Reports/2010/4/durable%20solutions/04_durable_solutions.PDF

Bruch, C. and L. Goldman. (2012). *Implications of Climate Change and Urbanization for Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response*. The Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, Advisory Group on



Environmental Emergencies. Retrieved 3 July 2013 from:

<https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/Keeping%20up%20with%20Megatrends.pdf>

Caribbean Community. (1991). *Agreement Establishing the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Response Agency*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

http://www.caricom.org/jsp/secretariat/legal_instruments/agreement_cdera.jsp

Catholic Relief Services. (2002). *Emergency Preparedness & Response Handbook*. Retrieved 15

September 2013 from: http://www.medicalteams.org/docs/learning-zone/CRS_Handbook.pdf?sfvrsn=0

Council of Europe. (2003). *International Organizations and Major Hazard Management (AP/CAT (2003))*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from:

<http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/majorhazards/ressources/Apcat2003/APCAT-2003-12-e.pdf>

Fiscale, A. (2012). *The coordination of actors intervening in crisis following natural disasters: the issues arising in the emergency-development transition: The case of Haiti*. Università Commerciale Luigi

Bocconi. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://relief2recovery.files.wordpress.com/2012/04/anna_fiscale-coord-of-humanitarian-actors.pdf

Inter-Agency Standing Committee. (2006, November 24). *Guidance Note on Using the Cluster Approach to Strengthen Humanitarian Response*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://clusters.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/IASC%20Guidance%20Note%20on%20using%20the%20Cluster%20Approach%20to%20Strengthen%20Humanitarian%20Response%2028November%202006%29.pdf>

Inter-Agency Standing Committee. (2010). *Handbook for RCs and HCs on Emergency Preparedness and Response*. Retrieved 3 July 2013 from:

<http://clusters.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/Handbook%20for%20RCs%20and%20HCs%20on%20Emergency%20Preparedness%20and%20Response-2010.pdf>

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2012). *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved 8 August 2013 from: http://ipcc-wg2.gov/SREX/images/uploads/SREX-All_FINAL.pdf

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (1994). *Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief*. Retrieved 1 August

2013 from: <http://www.ifrc.org/en/publications-and-reports/code-of-conduct/>

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2007). *Law and legal issues in international disaster response: a desk study*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://www.asean.org/communities/asean-socio-cultural-community/item/the-asean-agreement-on-disaster-management-and-emergency-response>

Jimoh, A. (2013, June 20). *NEMA DG elected president, ECOWAS committee on disaster management*.

People's Daily. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://peoplesdailyng.com/nema-dg-elected-president-ecowas-committee-on-disaster-management/>



- Kelly, C. (2013). *Mainstreaming environment into humanitarian interventions – A synopsis of key organisations, literature and experience*. Evidence on Demand, UK. Retrieved 10 October 2013 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.12774/eod_hd053.jul2013.kelly
- Merani, N. (1991). The International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction. In: *Managing Natural Disasters and the Environment*. World Bank. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://econ.worldbank.org/external/default/main?pagePK=64165259&theSitePK=469372&piPK=64165421&menuPK=64166093&entityID=000178830_98101901505489
- Nightingale, K. (2012, March). *Building the future of humanitarian aid: Local capacity and partnerships in emergency assistance*. Christian Aid. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.christianaid.org.uk/images/building-the-future-of-humanitarian-aid.pdf>
- Nijenhuis, R. and C. Bruch. (2012). *Brief 6: Environmental Emergencies: Challenges and Lessons for International Environmental Governance*. Governance and Sustainability Issue Brief Series, Paper 6. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://scholarworks.umb.edu/cgs_issue_brief_series/6
- Norwegian Refugee Council. (2008). *Environment: The Camp Management Toolkit*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://www.nrc.no/arch/img/9293557.pdf>
- Norwegian Refugee Council. (2008, May). *The Camp Management Toolkit*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://postconflict.unep.ch/humanitarianaction/documents/02_02-05.pdf
- Organization of American States. (1991). *Inter-American Convention to Facilitate Disaster Assistance*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.oas.org/juridico/english/treaties/a-54.html>
- Panapress. (2013, June 4). West Africa: ECOWAS emergency disaster management meeting [Article]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.panapress.com/ECOWAS-emergency-disaster-management-experts-meet-in-Abuja--15-873017-27-lang2-index.html>
- Central American Integration System. (n.d.). *Coordination Centre for Natural Disaster Prevention in Central America (CEPRE-DENAC)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.sica.int/cepredenac/>
- Sphere Project. (2004). *Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.sphereproject.org/handbook/>
- United Nations Children's Fund. (n.d.). *Global Nutrition Cluster: Cross-cutting issues* [Website]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.unicef.org/nutritioncluster/index_crosscutting.html
- United Nations, Economic and Social Council. (2012, December 21). *Proposed basic programme of work of the Council for 2013 and 2014: Note by the Secretary-General (E/2013/1)*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/E/2013/1>
- United Nations, Economic and Social Council. (2013). *Humanitarian Affairs Segment* [Website]. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/en/ecosoc/julyhls/has2013.shtml>



United Nations Environment Programme. (2008). *Key things to Know About Environment as a “Cross Cutting” Issue In Early Recovery*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://postconflict.unep.ch/humanitarianaction/documents/01_01-02_03-02.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2012, December). *Disasters and Conflicts Sub-programme*. Retrieved 8 August 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/pdf/brochures/UNEP_DC_flyer.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2005). *Environmental Management and Disaster Preparedness: Building a multi-stakeholder partnership*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: http://www.unep.or.jp/ietc/Publications/DM/wcdr_session_report.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2003, February 26). *Report of the twenty-second session of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum, 3-7 February 2003*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/gc/gc22/REPORTS/K0360710English.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council, Twenty-sixth session. (2011, February 24). *Proceedings of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its twenty-sixth session*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/gc/gc26/docs/Proceedings/K1170817_E-GC26-19_Proceedings.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council, Twenty-second session. (2002, November 13). *Further Improvement of Environmental Emergency Prevention, Preparedness, Assessment, Response and Mitigation: Note by the Executive Director (UNEP/GC.22/INF/5)*. Nairobi, 3-7 February 2003. Retrieved 2 August 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/gc/gc22/Document/k0263253.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council, Sixth Special Session. (2000, May 31). *Malmö Ministerial Declaration*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/malmo/malmo_ministerial.htm

United Nations, Environmental Emergencies Centre. (2013). *Global Community* [Website]. Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.eccentre.org/Global-Community.aspx>

United Nations, Environmental Emergencies Centre. (2013, May 15). *Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies Forum starts today* [Article]. Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit, OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.eccentre.org/NewsDetails.aspx/id/3>

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-eighth session. (2013). *International cooperation on humanitarian assistance in the field of natural disasters, from relief to development: Report of the Secretary-General (A/68/89)*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/68/89

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-eighth session. (2013). *Strengthening of the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance of the United Nations: Report of the Secretary-General (A/68/84)*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/68/84

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-seventh session. (2012). *International cooperation on humanitarian assistance in the field of natural disasters, from relief to development: Report of the*



Secretary-General (A/67/363). Retrieved 8 August 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/67/363

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-seventh session. (2013). *Participation of volunteers, “White Helmets”, in the activities of the United Nations in the field of humanitarian relief, rehabilitation and technical cooperation for development (A/RES/67/84)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/A/RES/67/84>

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-seventh session. (2012). *Safety and security of humanitarian personnel and protection of United Nations personnel (A/RES/67/85)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/A/RES/67/85>

United Nations, General Assembly, Forty-sixth session. (1991, December 19). *Strengthening of the coordination of humanitarian emergency assistance of the United Nations (A/RES/46/182)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/46/182

United Nations, General Assembly, Forty-fourth session. (1989, December 22). *International co-operation in the monitoring, assessment and anticipation of environmental threats and in assistance in cases of environmental emergency (A/RES/44/224)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/44/224

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2011). *Regional Approaches: Note by the Secretariat (EU/AG/64)*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: https://ochanet.unocha.org/p/Documents/Agenda%20item%204_Regional%20Approaches_EU-AG-64.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2011). *Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies: Proposed Workplan: Note by the Secretariat*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: https://ochanet.unocha.org/p/Documents/Agenda%20item%206_Proposed%20Workplan_EU-AG-66.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2011). *Implementing the UNEP Governing Council Decision on Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness: Information Note prepared by UNEP (EU/AG/63)*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: https://ochanet.unocha.org/p/Documents/Agenda%20item%203_Implementation%20of%20the%20UNEP%20GC%20Decision_EU-AG-63.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2007). *Flash Environmental Assessment Tool*. Retrieved 15 September 2013: https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/FEAT_Version_1.1.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2011, August). *9th Meeting of the Advisory Group on Environmental Emergencies (AGEE): Executive Summary Report*. Retrieved 3 July 2013 from: https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/1101195_OCHA_ESB_2011_6_AGEE9_Web.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2009). *Humanitarian Action and the Environment: Essential Guidance for Humanitarian Actors*. Retrieved 1 September 2013 from: http://postconflict.unep.ch/publications/IASC_leaflet.pdf



United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2012). *Keeping up with Megatrends: The Implications of Climate Change and Urbanization for Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from:

<https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/Keeping%20up%20with%20Megatrends.pdf>

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2001). *Establishing a National Environmental Emergency Response Mechanism*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

http://www.eecentre.org/Modules/EssentialTools/UploadFile/Attachment/Guidelines_for_the_Development_of_a_National_Environmental_Contingency_Plan.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2013). *Environmental Emergencies Section (EES)* [Note]. OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

http://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/JEU_Factsheet.pdf

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (2013). *Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit: Preparedness, Response & Environment in Humanitarian Action* [Note]. OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://www.humanitarianresponse.info/system/files/documents/files/JEU%20info%20sheet.pdf>

United Nations, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit. (n.d.). *Environmental Emergencies: Events* [Website]. OCHA Emergency Services Branch. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<http://www.unocha.org/what-we-do/coordination-tools/environmental-emergencies/events>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (n.d.). *What is the Cluster Approach?* [Website]. Humanitarian Response. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<https://clusters.humanitarianresponse.info/about-clusters/what-is-the-cluster-approach>

United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. (2007). *Environment and disaster risk: emerging perspectives. United Nations Environment Programme*. Retrieved 8 August 2013 from:

http://www.unisdr.org/files/624_EnvironmentanddisasterriskNov08.pdf

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2013). *Year in Review* [Website].

Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://unocha.org/annualreport/2012/year-in-review>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2012). *Coordination to Save Lives: History and Emerging Challenges*. Policy and Studies Series. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from:

<https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/Documents/Coordination%20to%20Save%20Lives%20History%20and%20Emerging%20Challenges.pdf>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2005). *Environmental Guidelines for Refugee Operations*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/3b03b2a04.html>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (n.d.). *Environmental Emergencies* [Website]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unocha.org/what-we-do/coordination-tools/environmental-emergencies>

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs & CARE. (2009, August 28). *Module I - FRAME Toolkit: Framework for Assessing, Monitoring and Evaluating the environment in refugee-related operations*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/4a968ec59.html>



United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees. (2005). *Framework for Assessing, Monitoring and Evaluating the Environment in Refugee-Related Operations*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/4a97d1039.html>

United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees. (2002). *Handbook of Experiences in Energy Conservation and Alternative Fuels: Cooking Options in Refugee Situations*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/406c368f2.html>

United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees (2002). *Refugee Operations and Environmental Management: A Handbook of Selected Lessons Learned from the Field*. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/406c38bd4.html>

United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees (n.d.). *Environment: Looking After the Land* [Website]. Retrieved 1 August 2013 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/pages/49c3646c10a.html>

Wilton Park. (2011). *Conference report: Environmental Emergencies: strengthening the multilateral humanitarian and environmental response, 12-15 September 2011, Held in Glion sur Montreux, Switzerland*. Retrieved 3 July 2013 from: <https://www.wiltonpark.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/wp1093-report.pdf>

World Health Organization. (1999). *Handbook on the Safe Management of Wastes from Health-Care Activities*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/9241545259.pdf>

World Conference on Disaster Reduction. (2005). *Hyogo Declaration*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://www.unisdr.org/2005/wcdr/intergover/official-doc/L-docs/Hyogo-declaration-english.pdf>

World Conference on Disaster Reduction. (2005). *Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters*. Retrieved 15 September 2013 from: <http://www.unisdr.org/we/inform/publications/1037>

III. Water Policy and Strategy: Addressing Transboundary Waters

Amnesty International. (2009). *Troubled Waters – Palestinians Denied Fair Access to Water*. London: Amnesty International. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/MDE15/027/2009/en/e9892ce4-7fba-469b-96b9-c1e1084c620c/mde150272009en.pdf>

B'Tselem. (2011, January 1). *The Shared Water Sources and the Control over Them*. Retrieved 15 July 2013 from: http://www.btselem.org/water/shared_sources

Bates, B., Z. Kundzewicz, W. Shaohong, & J. Palutikof, Eds. (2008). *Climate Change and Water*. Technical Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/technical-papers/climate-change-water-en.pdf>

Bigas, H., C. Chaitovitz & K. Sucher. (2012, December 3). *High-Level Roundtable Discussion on “Water, Peace and Security”: Summary Report*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.unwater.org/downloads/UNGA_High-level_Panel_25-Sept-2012_Final_report.pdf



- Brels, S., D. Coates & F. Loures. (2008). *Transboundary water resources management: the role of international watercourse agreements in implementation of the CBD*. CBD Technical Series No. 40. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://www.cbd.int/doc/publications/cbd-ts-40-en.pdf>
- Brooks, D. (2007). Fresh Water In The Middle East And North Africa: Source Of Conflict/Base For Cooperation. In: C. Lipchin et al. (Eds). *Integrated Water Resources Management and Security in the Middle East*, 33–64.
- Bryant, C. C. (2012, November 14). *How water could bring Israelis, Palestinians together*. The Christian Science Monitor. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.csmonitor.com/World/Middle-East/2012/1114/How-water-could-bring-Israelis-Palestinians-together>
- Budapest, Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2013). *Overview of the Budapest Water Summit* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.budapestwatersummit.hu/budapest-water-summit/overview/>
- European Commission. (2013, October 9). *PERA project comes to a conclusion after 3 years of EU – Palestinian S&T cooperation*. Retrieved 12 October 2013 from: http://ec.europa.eu/research/iscp/pdf/pera_conference.pdf
- Friends of the Earth Middle East. (2013). *July Environmental Peacemaking Newsletter*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://foeme.wordpress.com/2013/07/14/july-environmental-peacemaking-newsletter/>
- Gerlak, A. (2007). *Regional Water Governance and Institutional Arrangements around Transboundary Waters*. Retrieved 15 July 2013 from: http://citation.allacademic.com/meta/p_mla_apa_research_citation/1/7/9/0/2/pages179029/p179029-1.php
- Gesti, J. (2013, September 1). *The Water Thematic Consultation & The JMP Proposal for Post-2015 WASH Targets and Indicators*. Presentation from the 2013 World Water Week in Stockholm. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://programme.worldwaterweek.org/sites/default/files/gesti-final_unicef_www_2013_post_2015_presentation.pdf
- Giordano, M. A. & A. T. Wolf. (2003). Sharing waters: Post-Rio international water management. *Natural Resources Forum* 27: 163-171. Retrieved 7 July 2013 from: http://www.transboundarywaters.orst.edu/publications/abst_docs/narf_051_Giordano.pdf
- Global Environment Facility & United Nations Development Programme. (2011). *Reference and Training Manual: Lessons Learned and Experiences with International Waters and Governance including Experiential Learning Exercises*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://governance-iwlearn.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/10/Reference-and-Training-Manual-Oct-2011.pdf>
- Global Environmental Facility & United Nations University Institute for Water, Environment and Health. (2012, September). *Science-Policy Bridges Over Troubled Waters. Making Science Deliver Greater Impacts in Shared Water Systems. Synthesis Report*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://inweh.unu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Bridge-Over-Troubled-Waters-Synthesis-Report.pdf>
- Global Water Forum. (2013). *Topics: Transboundary* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.globalwaterforum.org/discussion-topics/transboundary/>



Global Water Partnership. (2013). *National stakeholder consultations on water: supporting the post-2015 development agenda*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1815nationalstakeholder.pdf>

Global Water Partnership. (2013). *National Stakeholder Consultations on Water*. Presentation from the 2013 World Water Week in Stockholm. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

http://programme.worldwaterweek.org/sites/default/files/water_week_national_stakeholder_consultations_on_water.pdf

Gray, A., et. al. (2008). Water and Security for Palestine: The water crisis in the Palestinian Territories: challenges and opportunities for development. In: Lipchin et al. (Eds.), *Integrated Water Resources Management and Security in the Middle East*, 99–117.

Harlin, J. (2013). *Emerging UN-Water Advice Regarding a Potential Global Goal on Water*. Presentation from the 2013 World Water Week in Stockholm. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<http://programme.worldwaterweek.org/sites/default/files/harlin-emerging-un-water-advice-on-a-water-goal-jh-august-2013.pdf>

Hass, A. (2013, April 6). *Liquid asymmetry: How the PA is forced to support water projects for West Bank settlements*. Haraatz. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.haaretz.com/weekend/week-s-end/liquid-asymmetry-how-the-pa-is-forced-to-support-water-projects-for-west-bank-settlements.premium-1.513694>

Institute for Security & Development Policy. (2013, June 4). *Summary of the Asia Forum “Transboundary Water Resources: Why are they Important and how can they be processed?”*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.isdp.eu/archive/1-isdp-news/1126-summary-of-the-asia-forum-transboundary-water-resources-why-are-they-important-and-how-can-they-be-processed.html>

International Groundwater Resources Assessment Centre. (n.d.). *ISARM - Internationally Shared Aquifer Resources Management* [Website]. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://www.isarm.org/>

International Network of Basin Organizations & the Global Water Partnership. (2012). *The Handbook for Integrated Water Resources Management in Transboundary Basins of Rivers, Lakes and Aquifers*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.gwp.org/Global/About%20GWP/Publications/INBO-GWP%20Transboundary%20Handbook/MGIREB-UK-2012_Web.pdf

International Water Law Project. (n.d.). *International Water Law Project* [Website]. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://internationalwaterlaw.org/>

Joseph, J. (2013, March 1). *A Peace of Water for Gaza: Bringing Palestine's RO project to life*. WaterWorld, 28(1). Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<http://www.waterworld.com/articles/wwi/print/volume-28/issue-1/regional-spotlight/middle-east-africa/a-peace-of-water-for-gaza-bringing.html>

Kim, K. & K. Glaumann. (2012). *Transboundary Water Management: Who Does What, Where?*. Swedish Water House. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from:

http://www.sivi.org/Resources/Reports/2012_TWM_REPORT.pdf



McKinney, D. (2010, January). *CE 397 – Transboundary Water Resources: Climate Change Reading List*. Retrieved 15 July 2013 from:

<http://www.ce.utexas.edu/prof/mckinney/ce397/Climate%20Change%20Reading%20List.html>

McKinney, D. (n.d.). *CE 397 Transboundary Water Resources: Reading List*. Retrieved 15 July 2013

from: <http://www.caee.utexas.edu/prof/mckinney/internationalreadinglist.html>

Middle East Economic Survey. (2011). Water: A Strategic Middle East Resource. *Energy & Geopolitical Risk*, 2(1).

Palestinian Hydrology Group. (2004). *Water For Life: Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene During the Intifada*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

http://www.phg.org/data/files/publications/general_reports/Reports/Older/waterforlife_04.pdf

Palestinian Water Authority. (2011). *An overview of water and sanitation in the Opt (PWA.2011)*.

Ramallah: Palestinian Water Authority.

Rahaman, M. M. (2009, March). Principles of Transboundary Water Resources Management and Ganges Treaties: An Analysis. *Water Resources Development*, 25(1): 159-173.

Roudi-Fahimi, F., et. al. (2002). *Finding the Balance: Population and Water Scarcity in the Middle East and North Africa*. Washington, DC: Population Reference Bureau.

Sherk, G., P. Wouters, & S. ROchford. (n.d.). Water Wars in the Near Future? Reconciling Competing Claims for the World's Diminishing Freshwater Resources - The Challenge of the Next Millennium. *The CEPMLP On-Line Journal*, 3(2). Retrieved 4 July 2013 from:

http://webworld.unesco.org/water/wwap/pccp/cd/pdf/educational_tools/course_modules/reference_documents/issues/waterwarsinthefuture.pdf

Stockholm International Water Institute. (2013). *2013 Stockholm Statement*. 2013 World Water Week in Stockholm. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://stockholmstatement.siw.org/the-2013-stockholm-statement/>

The Economist. (2013, June 1). *Boosting the West Bank's economy*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

<http://www.economist.com/news/middle-east-and-africa/21578722-businessmen-try-making-peace-through-economic-co-operation-boosting-west-banks>

Udasin, S. (2013, September 15). *Israel and PA to resume agricultural cooperation after 13-year hiatus*,

2013. The Jerusalem Post. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.jpost.com/Diplomacy-and-Politics/Israel-and-PA-to-resume-agricultural-cooperation-after-13-year-hiatus-326197>

United Nations Development Programme – Global Environment Facility, International Waters. (2011).

International Waters: Review of Legal and Institutional Frameworks. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from:

<http://www.zaragoza.es/contenidos/medioambiente/onu/177-eng.pdf>

United Nations Development Programme, Water Governance Facility. (2013). *The World Water Forum: High Level Roundtables – Transboundary Waters*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from:

http://www.inbo-news.org/IMG/pdf/HLR06_Background_TW_Final.pdf



United Nations Development Programme. (2006). *Beyond scarcity: Power, poverty and the global water crisis*. Human Development Report. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr2006/>

United Nations Development Programme. (2006). *Beyond scarcity: Power, poverty and the global water crisis*. Chapter 6. In: *Human Development Report 2006*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/HDR_2006_Chapter_6.pdf

United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia. (2012, July 3). *Glossary of Shared Water Resources: Technical, Socioeconomic and Legal Terminology (E/ESCWA/SDPD/2012/Glossary)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.zaragoza.es/contenidos/medioambiente/onu/943-eng-ara.pdf>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, *Guidance on Water and Adaptation to Climate Change*, 2009. http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/publications/documents/Guidance_water_climate.pdf

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, *UNECE convenes first workshop of the global network of river basins on climate change adaptation* [Press Release], 3 July 2013. <http://www.unece.org/index.php?id=33102>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. (2012). *International Roundtable on Transboundary Water Resources Management in the Southern Mediterranean: Roundtable Report*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.gwp.org/GWP-Mediterranean/gwp-in-action1/News-List-Page/International-Roundtable-on-Transboundary-Water-Resources-Management-in-the-Southern-Mediterranean-26---27-November-2012--Rome-Italy/>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. (2013). *Concept note on the collection of good practices and lessons learned on water and adaptation to climate change in transboundary basins (ECE/IMP.WAT/WG.1/2013/3)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/meetings/wgiwrm/2013/ECE.MP.WAT.WG.1.2013.3.eng.pdf>

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. (2013). *Progress report of the pilot projects on climate change adaptation in transboundary basins (WGIWRM/2013/Inf.3)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/water/meetings/wgiwrm/2013/Informal_doc_3_Overview_pilot_projects_progress_EN.pdf

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, World Water Assessment Programme. (2006). *Water: A Shared Responsibility*. United Nations World Water Development Report No. 2. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001454/145405e.pdf>

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2011). *UN General Assembly adopts resolution on the Law of Transboundary Aquifers* [Article]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.unesco.org/water/news/transboundary_aquifers.shtml

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (n.d.). *From Potential Conflict to Cooperation (PCCP)*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: <http://webworld.unesco.org/water/wwap/pccp/>



United Nations Environment Programme, DHI Centre for Water and the Environment. (2011). *Water Policy and Strategy of UNEP*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.unepdhi.org/~media/Microsite_UNEPDHI/Publications/documents/unep/Water_Policy_Strategy.ashx

United Nations Environment Programme, Governing Council. (2004, February 20). *Addressing environmental aspects of the water agenda: Activities of the United Nations system: Contribution of the Environmental Management Group to the eighth special session of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum and the twelfth session of the Commission on Sustainable Development: Note by the Executive Director (UNEP/GCSS.VIII/INF/5)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unep.org/GC/GCSS-VIII/K0470535.pdf>

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). Methodology for the GEF Transboundary Waters Assessment Programme, Volume 4. In: *Methodology for the Assessment of Transboundary River Basins*. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.unepdhi.org/~media/Microsite_UNEPDHI/Publications/documents/unep/TWAP%20Volume%204%20Methodology%20for%20River%20Basins.ashx

United Nations Environment Programme. (2007, February 9). *Updated water policy and strategy of the United Nations Environmental Programme (GC/24/16)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/themes/Freshwater/Documents/pdf/WPS_adpoted_at_GC%2024.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). *Governing Council Decisions on Water*. Retrieved 12 October 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/themes/Freshwater/Policy_And_Strategy/GC/GCDecisions.asp

United Nations Environment Programme. (n.d.). *Water Policy & Strategy*. Retrieved 12 October 2013 from: http://www.unep.org/themes/Freshwater/Policy_And_Strategy/

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs & UN-Water. (2013). *International Decade for Action 'Water for Life' 2005-2015* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <https://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/> <http://www.unwater.org/waterforlife.html>

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2004). *Water Without Borders: Backgrounder*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/pdf/waterborders.pdf>

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2013). *International Decade for Action 'Water for Life' 2005-2015: Transboundary Waters* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/transboundary_waters.shtml

United Nations, General Assembly. (1992, August 12). *Report of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I), 12)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/documents/ga/conf151/aconf15126-1annex1.htm>

United Nations, General Assembly, Fifty-first session. (1997, May 21). *Convention on the Law of the Non-navigational Uses of International Watercourses (A/RES/51/229)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/conventions/8_3_1997.pdf



United Nations, General Assembly, Sixtieth session. (2005, July 25). *International Decade for Action, “Water for Life”, 2005-2015: Report of the Secretary-General (A/60/158)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/60/158

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-third session. (2009, January 15). *The law of transboundary aquifers (A/RES/63/124)* [Resolution]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/63/124

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-fourth session. (2009). *Climate change and its possible security implications: Report of the Secretary-General (A/64/350)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/A/64/350>

United Nations, General Assembly, Sixty-fifth session. (2011, February 11). *International Year of Water Cooperation, 2013 (A/RES/65/154)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/65/154

United Nations, Security Council. (2007). *Letter dated 5 April 2007 from the Permanent Representative of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/2007/186)*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/S/2007/186>

United Nations, Security Council. (2011). *Maintenance of international peace and security: Impact of climate change (S/PV.6587)* [Meeting]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://undocs.org/S/PV.6587>

UN-Water. (2008). *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities*. Task Force on Transboundary Waters. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.unwater.org/downloads/UNW_TRANSBOUNDARY.pdf

UN-Water. (2009). *Water for Life Decade: News Archives for 2009* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/newsarchive_2009_2006.shtml

UN-Water. (2010, May). *Transboundary Water Cooperation Reader*. UN-Water Decade Programme on Advocacy and Communication (UNW-DPAC), International Decade for Action ‘Water for Life’ 2005-2015. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/pdf/05_2010_reader_transboundary_waters_eng.pdf

UN-Water. (2013). *2013: International Year of Water Cooperation* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unwater.org/water-cooperation-2013/water-cooperation/en/>

UN-Water. (2013). *Promoting Water Cooperation Legal frameworks and institutional arrangements: Information brief*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.zaragoza.es/contenidos/medioambiente/onu/947-eng.pdf>

UN-Water. (2013). *Water Cooperation: Making it Happen: Conference summary report, International Annual UN-Water Zaragoza Conference 2012/2013 “Preparing for the 2013 International Year*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/water_cooperation_2013/pdf/25_04_2013_draft_summary_report.pdf



UN-Water. (2013). *Water in the post-2015 process* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unwater.org/post2015.html>

UN-Water. (n.d.). *Transboundary Waters* [Website]. International Decade for Action 'Water for Life' 2005-2015. Retrieved 4 July 2013 from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/transboundary_waters.shtml

UN-Water. (n.d.). *UN-Water Activities: Task Force on Transboundary Waters* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unwater.org/TFtrans.html>

UN-Water. (n.d.). *Water for Life Decade: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/faqs.shtml>

UN-Water. (n.d.). *Water Scarcity. International Decade for Action: Water for Life, 2005 – 2015*. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/scarcity.shtml>

UN-Water. (n.d.). *World Water Day 2009: About* [Website]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: <http://www.unwater.org/wwd09/about.html>

UN-Water, Task Force on Transboundary Water. (2008). *Transboundary Waters: Sharing Benefits, Sharing Responsibilities* [Report]. Retrieved 1 October 2013 from: http://www.unwater.org/downloads/UNW_TRANSBOUNDARY.pdf

World Bank. (2007). *Making the Most of Scarcity: Accountability for Better Water Management Results in the Middle East and North Africa*. Washington, DC: International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank.

World Bank. (2009). *Assessment of Restrictions on Palestinian Water Sector Development*. Washington, DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank.