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United Nations System at NMUN•NY

This diagram illustrates the UN system simulated at NMUN•NY and demonstrates the reportage and relationships between entities. Examine the diagram alongside the Committee Overview to gain a clear picture of the committee's position, purpose, and powers within the UN system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AfDB</td>
<td>African Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRM</td>
<td>African Peer Review Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>African Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBR</td>
<td>Community-based rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEECIS</td>
<td>Central Eastern European Commonwealth of Independent States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPD</td>
<td>Committee on the Rights of People with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSocD</td>
<td>Commission for Social Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESA</td>
<td>Department of Economic and Social Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPD</td>
<td>Division for Social Policy and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECA</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOSOC</td>
<td>Economic and Social Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>Foreign direct investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IASG</td>
<td>Inter-Agency Support Group for the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICF</td>
<td>International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO</td>
<td>International Labour Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>Lagos Plan of Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDG</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDP</td>
<td>Motor Industry Development Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPAD</td>
<td>New Partnership for Africa’s Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAU</td>
<td>Organization of African Unity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>Official development assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAA</td>
<td>Office of the Special Adviser on Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP</td>
<td>The Hague Process on Refugees and Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDHR</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNNADAF</td>
<td>United Nations New Agenda for the Development of Africa in the 1990s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNPAAERD</td>
<td>United Nations Programme of Action for African Economic Recovery and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNRISD</td>
<td>United Nations Research Institute for Social Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Committee Overview

Introduction

The Commission for Social Development (CSocD) is a key actor in the United Nations (UN) system to review and advise on matters of social development, which, in its most simple form, can be understood as “people-centred sustainable development.” Since the 1995 World Summit for Social Development, the Commission has been tasked with monitoring the implementation of the Copenhagen Declaration on Social Development (Copenhagen Declaration) and Programme of Action of the World Summit for Social Development (Programme of Action). These documents further define social development and emphasize that, while intertwined with economic development and environmental protection, social development is about considering the needs of people as the core component of development. They further highlighted poverty, unemployment, and social exclusion as key components that must be addressed in social policy and development. The UN Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) further defines social development as “processes of change that lead to improvements in human well-being, social relations and social institutions, and that are equitable, sustainable, and compatible with principles of democratic governance and social justice.” These definitions help demonstrate that CSocD has a wide purview in the international system and as an advisor to the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) on matters within its mandate.

Social progress, justice, and protections have been a priority of the UN since its inception in 1945 and were highlighted in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) in 1948. The UN reiterated its commitment to social development in 1969 with the Declaration on Social Policy and Development, which states that importance must be placed on individual human lives. Further, it goes on to address that social development cannot exist so long as cultural genocide and other injustices remain. These early documents highlight the UN’s commitment to social policy and development throughout its history, something that CSocD strives to further within its mandate.

CSocD, originally called the Social Commission, was established in 1946 by ECOSOC resolution 10 (II) as a functional commission and expert body to advise on social policy. Since then, both the Commission’s mandate and membership have been expanded to address a greater variety of issues and it has become a forum for high-level panels concerning development. The Commission has viewed the newly adopted 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (2015) as an opportunity to enhance its role within the UN system in promoting international development and monitoring and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030. The body and its Bureau have identified poverty as an especially important issue that the Commission must address in the near future.

---

4 Ibid., p. 2.
6 UN CSocD, Commission for Social Development (CSocD).
7 UN General Assembly, Universal Declaration of Human Rights (A/RES/217 A (III)), 1948.
8 UN General Assembly, Declaration on Social Progress and Development (A/RES/2342 (XXIV)), 1969.
9 Ibid.
10 UN CSocD, Commission for Social Development (CSocD).
12 UN CSocD, Commission for Social Development (CSocD).
13 Benson, Commission on Social Development Discusses Role in Advancing SDGs, Sustainable Development Policy and Practice, 2016; UN General Assembly, Transforming our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (A/RES/70/1), 2015.
14 UN DPI, Poverty Remains World’s Biggest Challenge, Social Development Commission Chair Says as Session Concludes with Approval of 3 Texts, Election of New Vice-Chairs (SOC/4837), 2016.
**Governance, Structure, and Membership**

CSocD is a functional commission of ECOSOC and thus reports directly to the Council. Its main responsibility is to address and advise on the more technical aspects of policy making for ECOSOC in the area of social development, and it recommends draft resolutions for adoption by ECOSOC. CSocD is serviced by the Division for Social Policy and Development (DSPD) of the UN Secretariat which supports the Commission in collecting information on the effectiveness of international policy concerning social development. Further, the DSPD resolves the logistical challenges that are necessary in organizing meetings and forums for the Commission. In accordance with a strategy that was reaffirmed in 2012, the Commission operates on a two-year review and policy cycle in which one session focuses primarily on analyzing policy and how it has shaped global social development, while the following set of meetings focuses on creating policy recommendations and draft resolutions.

CSocD has traditionally had a Bureau made up of one chair and four vice-chairs to help set the agenda for the entire two-year policy cycle pursuant to ECOSOC decision 2002/210. In order to improve the efficacy of the body, Bureau members are now elected at the conclusion of each session and serve for two consecutive meetings, instead of two calendar years. The Commission begins the next session immediately following the conclusion of the previous one only to elect the new Bureau before going into recess until the next year to begin the substantive work. Presently, the Bureau chair is Ion Jinga of Romania; he is joined by two vice-chairs in the Bureau who were elected at the beginning of the 55th session in February 2016. The remaining officials are to be selected by February 2017 when the session resumes. Increasing the effectiveness and legitimacy of the Bureau has been a priority as the Commission continues to establish itself within the UN system.

The original membership of the Commission was 18, but it has grown multiple times since the inaugural session, with its last expansion in 1996. The current membership sits at 46 Member States, giving voice to a wider range of Member States as the UN itself continues to grow in size. Membership is based on proportional regional representation, with 12 seats for African states, 10 for Asia-Pacific, 5 for Eastern Europe, 9 for Latin America and the Caribbean, and 10 for Western Europe and Other States.

**Mandate, Functions, and Powers**

The current mandate of CSocD is primarily to examine existing policy and proposing new framework for the purposes of sustainable social development. While the Commission’s original mandate was to advise ECOSOC on matters related to social policy, CSocD has gone under significant transformation since then. The most notable expansion of its mandate came in 1995 at the World Summit for Social Development in Copenhagen, as it is now the main body responsible for the implementation and monitoring of its outcome documents, the *Copenhagen*
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24 Ibid.
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30 Ibid.
Declaration and Programme of Action. The Programme of Action made a renewed call for a review of CSocD and for it to be strengthened as a policy advisory body that would examine and recommend changes in international framework concerning social development. The three main themes of the Summit were poverty eradication, productive employment, and social integration. Another outcome of the Summit was for ECOSOC to consistently review the Commission’s role and prioritize strengthening its capacity.

In addition to its follow-up on the Copenhagen Declaration and Programme of Action, CSocD’s mandate further includes providing policy advice on issues of social development more broadly; anticipating potential issues in social development and making recommendations accordingly; promoting information exchange to better understand social development; and advising ECOSOC on how coordination can be improved on issues of social development. This mandate may continue to expand as the Commission looks towards defining its role in implementation of the SDGs; it has been endorsed by the Secretary-General as valuable to achieving the SDGs through its specialized review and policy cycle.

As included in its mandate, the Commission serves as a forum for important discussions about social development in the global community. As a committee, it has often invited field experts to participate in high-level discussion panels aimed at producing action-oriented recommendations to ECOSOC concerning policy. A prime example of this was when Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon spoke during the 54th session aiming to help shape the global agenda and promote themes relevant to the entire global community, including the post-2015 development agenda.

Recent Sessions and Current Priorities

The most recent review and policy biennium for CSocD began in 2015 during its 53rd session, considering the theme “Rethinking and strengthening social development in the contemporary world.” The meetings featured two expert panels, the first to discuss the theme of the 53rd and 54th sessions while the second examined the importance of social development in the transition from the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to the SDGs.

In February 2016, CSocD met for its 54th session and adopted three draft resolutions for consideration by ECOSOC, including one on “Social Dimensions of the New Partnership for Africa’s Development” as it completed the 2015/16 review and policy biennium. Emphasis was placed on removing barriers to equality in order to enhance opportunity for all, and the Commission noted that strong social progress is important for creating sustainable financial growth. Cultural success was defined as diminishing social inequalities between groups, something that the CSocD identified as pivotal for economic growth. Unusual for the Commission, this draft resolution required a vote instead of being adopted by consensus due to debate over the phrase “right to development.” The session concluded with remarks from Ion Jinga in addition to Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon, who emphasized the importance of poverty reduction as a significant challenge that the international community still faces.
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comments continued a main discussion point of the CSocD, which had discussed economic inequality and prioritized poverty reduction during the 2014 session as well. 47

The 54th session was significant as it marked the first meeting since the adoption of the SDGs, which CSocD intends to have a significant role in implementing. 48 The Secretary-General addressed the Commission’s significant work during the 54th session and highlighted its efforts in making progress in achieving food security, gender equality, education, and the rights of persons with disabilities. 49 He went on to promote CSocD as a driving force in building momentum for the SDGs. 50 During the 54th session, there were calls to implement policy across the global community that would support the SDGs that promote social justice. 51 Much of the most recent biennium saw the Commission note that social development was paramount to creating sustainable economic progress. 52

The 55th session is scheduled to resume February 2017 and will begin the Commission’s next review and policy cycle with the theme of “Strategies for the eradication of poverty to achieve sustainable development for all.” 53 This theme seeks to renew the Commission’s dedication to eliminating not only social, but also economic inequality across the globe, and also aims to refocus the role of CSocD towards the priorities determined at the 1995 Copenhagen Summit. 54 While the Commission is named for social development, it often analyzes economic matters as they pertain to the larger mandate of the committee, since economic and social development are highly interconnected. 55 Most recently pointing to poverty as the greatest challenge facing the global community, the Commission focused on economic inequality as a priority for social progress in 2014, and has consistently noted that the two are codependent in improving lives around the world. 56 During the next two sessions, CSocD is expected to analyze implementation of the SDGs. 57

Conclusion

CSocD has seen its mandate expand since first being established in 1946, which has allowed for greater capacity to affect the global community. 58 Further, with the implementation of the SDGs, the Commission has an opportunity to recommend policies that will have a long lasting impact on the entire international community by using the review and policy cycle. 59 Through being serviced by the DSPD, the Commission is able to address a wide scope of issues pertaining to social development and have a significant impact on the entire global community. 60 CSocD can address both economic and social development needs through reviewing, analyzing, and recommending international policy to ECOSOC. 61
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Annotated Bibliography


This news article highlights what the role of the Commission will be in regards to the SDGs and their implementation. The comments from the UN Secretary-General indicate that the Commission will be vital in implementation of the 2030 Agenda. Further, CSocD will prioritize the SDGs during the next review and policy cycle. Delegates can use this source to understand what the Commission will have as their main priority for the next session and also to understand its role in implementation of the SDGs.


This is the main overview from the ECOSOC website on the Commission for Social Development. Here, relevant information can be found on the history of the committee including its membership, governance, and mandate. In addition, a full list of recent sessions and draft resolutions can be found at this link. This source should be used primarily to understand the Commission’s work and historical development.


This website details the proposed agenda and the relevant themes for the 2017 session of CSocD, which is scheduled to take place in February 2017 at the UN headquarters in New York. The theme “Strategies for the eradication of poverty to achieve sustainable development for all” specifies what the Commission’s priorities will be in the future. Delegates can also look to the notes from expert group meetings in June on poverty eradication to better understand what CSocD focuses on.


This page from the website of DSPD, as part of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs, clearly lays out the mandate of CSocD and highlights the different facets of its work. It also links to the Commission’s Programme of Work. This should help delegates better understand what the committee can and should do, which will be critical in its simulation.


This news article depicts some of the main points for how the CSocD hopes to fulfill its role in achieving the SDGs. It also gives the Secretary-General’s view on the role of the Commission and how it might look to expand itself in the future. It is a good indicator of what the key themes and issues that will be looked at as part of the agenda for the committee in the near future.


This document is a report on the proceedings and contains the agreements from the 1995 Copenhagen Summit. The summit was monumental in discerning how the UN would address social development in the future. It includes an agreement stating not only agreed upon beliefs but how it would use those to improve social development throughout the global community. Because this summit has played a significant role in shaping CSocD’s mandate, delegates may find this report useful for understanding how the Commission approaches social development.
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I. Ensuring Equal Opportunities for Persons with Disabilities in Society and Development

“We have a moral duty to remove the barriers to participation, and to invest sufficient funding and expertise to unlock the vast potential of people with disabilities. Governments throughout the world can no longer overlook the hundreds of millions of people with disabilities who are denied access to health, rehabilitation, support, education and employment, and never get the chance to shine.”

Introduction

Around the world, over one billion people live with some form of disability and over 190 million people are faced with severe disabilities, according to a 2011 report by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Bank. Further, as the average lifespan continues to grow around the world, the population of persons with disabilities will increase due to debilitations that often occur as an effect of ageing. Accordingly, the United Nations (UN) Commission for Social Development (CSocD) continues to prioritize this topic and promote the inclusion of persons with disabilities in social development.

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health, defines disability as “a limitation in a functional domain that arises from the interaction between a person’s intrinsic capacity, and environmental and personal factors.” Persons with disabilities are a population that often struggle for social integration, decent work, and acceptable standards of living. Policies and frameworks that should apply to this population are often poorly implemented and ineffective, leaving policy gaps that range from a lack of education opportunities and discrimination in the workforce to grave violations of basic human rights. On a societal level, negative stigma also plays a significant role in limiting opportunities for participation and integration.

It is the mandate of CSocD to create an economic, social, cultural, legal, and political environment that will allow all people to succeed and ensure that people are the focus of international development efforts. Although the responsibility to fulfill international commitments ultimately rests with Member States, the Commission supports them in doing so by providing advice and guidance. This guide will first provide an overview of the international and regional frameworks in place and the work of international actors in regards to the creation of equal opportunities for persons with disabilities in society and development. It will then introduce the topics of addressing stigma and eliminating discrimination towards people with disabilities, ensuring more inclusive educational opportunities, and promoting employment opportunities for persons with disabilities.

International and Regional Framework

International and regional frameworks play a critical role in ensuring equal opportunity for persons with disabilities by setting the expectations and guidelines for governments to adhere to. CSocD has adopted several draft resolutions discussing inequalities facing persons with disabilities that have been adopted by the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). Most recently, during the Commission’s 54th session, the body called for the inclusion of persons with
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disabilities in decision-making, tending to the needs of women and children, improving data collection, mobilizing resources, and increasing cooperation.\textsuperscript{72} CSocD has published draft resolutions regarding the rights of persons with disabilities in nearly all of its sessions since 2005.\textsuperscript{73}

The overarching document in equality and human rights, the \textit{Universal Declaration of Human Rights} (UDHR) (1948), entitles everyone to the rights and freedoms it sets forth without distinction of any kind.\textsuperscript{74} Despite this, persons with disabilities face discrimination, exclusion, and dehumanization, and they regularly find themselves in non-inclusive environments, violating their rights as established in the UDHR.\textsuperscript{75} Addressing this, the General Assembly adopted the \textit{Declaration on the Rights of Disabled Persons} in 1975, which reinforced and emphasized the rights of persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{76} In 1982, the General Assembly adopted resolution 37/52, which created the \textit{World Programme of Action Concerning Disabled Persons} to promote effective measures to ensure integration of persons with disabilities into social life and development.\textsuperscript{77} The General Assembly also proclaimed the UN Decade of Disabled Persons from 1982 to 1992, which raised awareness on the topic.\textsuperscript{78} In 2006, the General Assembly adopted the \textit{Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities}, a key document in international law to promote the rights and inclusion of people with disabilities.\textsuperscript{79} This Convention does not give persons with disabilities any additional rights other outside of those included in the UDHR; rather, it highlights the fact that human rights also apply to persons with disabilities, who are often more vulnerable to human rights violations.\textsuperscript{80}

The \textit{Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities} seeks to counter human rights violations often encountered by persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{81} Emphasis is placed upon equal recognition before the law, non-discrimination, and access to justice, as well as additional protections for women and children with disabilities as they face additional risks of abuse.\textsuperscript{82} The Convention also focuses on raising awareness to combat stereotypes and increasing education so that persons with disabilities can participate in public and political life, find employment, and enjoy an adequate standard of living.\textsuperscript{83} To implement and enforce these rights, the Convention established the Committee on the Rights of People with Disabilities (CRPD). By mandating action instead of simply recommending it, the adoption of the Convention established that the rights of persons with disabilities need reinforcement and that inclusion and equal opportunities are a key part of human rights and development.\textsuperscript{84}

The objectives of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were one such example where persons with disabilities were not expressly included in the implementation process despite a clear need for inclusion.\textsuperscript{85} To better include persons with disabilities in the development agenda, the General Assembly adopted resolutions 62/127 in 2008 and 65/186 in 2011 on realizing the MDGs for persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{86} In 2015, the General Assembly

\textsuperscript{74} UN General Assembly, \textit{Universal Declaration of Human Rights} (A/RES/217 A (III)), 1948.  
\textsuperscript{80} UN DESA, \textit{Why do we need a convention for persons with disabilities? Don’t they have the same rights as everyone else?}, 2006, p. 1.  
\textsuperscript{82} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{83} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{84} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{86} UN General Assembly, \textit{Implementation of the World Programme of Action concerning Disabled Persons: Realizing the Millennium Development Goals for persons with disabilities} (A/RES/62/127), 2007, p. 1; UN General Assembly,}
adopted the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as a comprehensive approach to international development after the expiration of the MDGs.\textsuperscript{87} Unlike the MDGs, the SDGs were created to be inclusive of persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{88} SDG 4 on ensuring quality education calls for inclusion of students with disabilities, as they are often excluded in education systems.\textsuperscript{89} SDGs 8 and 10 promote inclusive employment and the reduction of inequality to counter the discrimination many persons with disabilities face in the workforce.\textsuperscript{90} SDG 11 emphasizes the role of sustainable communities, while SDG 17 calls for stronger partnerships in accomplishing these tasks.\textsuperscript{91} The SDGs highlight issues that marginalized groups face and encourage inclusivity when working towards these goals.\textsuperscript{92}

**Role of the International System**

The UN has focused on mainstreaming disability in its work in all agencies and organizations, but much work remains to be done. A number of entities play an especially important role in these efforts and are working towards realizing the rights of person with disabilities.\textsuperscript{93} CSocD has played a critical role in promoting and including the rights of persons with disabilities in the international development agenda and continues to work towards inclusion for all.\textsuperscript{94} This is included in its mandate as a body tasked with monitoring, reviewing, and appraising the implementation of the *Copenhagen Declaration and Programme of Action* (1995), which specifically mentions persons with disabilities as a disadvantaged group.\textsuperscript{95} As an advisory body, it focuses on writing resolutions that guide Member States to ensure that individuals are at the heart of development and policies, including marginalized populations, and takes a “social and rights-based approach.”\textsuperscript{96} The Commission also serves as a critical forum to discuss these issues, such as in its 54\textsuperscript{th} session in 2016, where it held a multi-stakeholder panel discussion on disability and the SDGs.\textsuperscript{97} ECOSOC, to which CSocD reports, works with its many subsidiaries towards ensuring social progress and development for all, including people with disabilities.\textsuperscript{98}

Additional UN organizations also work on this topic, but with a focus specified by their own mandate. For example, WHO assesses overall health trends while also providing research and leadership in building sustainable institutional capacity.\textsuperscript{99} The Secretariat for the *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*, part of the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA), is a “Focal Point on Disability issues” and has been actively promoting accessibility for all.\textsuperscript{100} DESA sits as co-chair of the Inter-Agency Support Group for the *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities* (IASG) and the Inter-Departmental Task Force on Accessibility.\textsuperscript{101} The IASG focuses on aiding its 25 UN agencies better cooperate to achieve the goals of the Convention.\textsuperscript{102}

To support the Convention, WHO, in collaboration with the World Bank, published the *World Report on Disability* in 2011.\textsuperscript{103} The report offers estimated statistics on disabilities for the first time in nearly 40 years, finding that over...
one billion people in the world live with some form of disability, more than double the previous number.\textsuperscript{104} This surprising statistic brought attention to the issues faced by people with disabilities and spurred large-scale action.\textsuperscript{105} One such action was the creation of the Special Rapporteur on the rights of persons with disabilities by Human Rights Council (HRC) resolution 26/20 in 2014.\textsuperscript{106} The Special Rapporteur works with Member States to identify and resolve human rights violations facing persons with disabilities, while also promoting best practices and concrete solutions to better safeguard said rights.\textsuperscript{107} The Special Rapporteur works closely with UN bodies discussing the topic of disability and reports annually to the HRC and the General Assembly, in addition to informing CSocD.\textsuperscript{108} Also reporting to the HRC is the CRPD, which is made up of 18 independent experts and monitors the implementation of the \textit{Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities}.\textsuperscript{109} All Member States who ratified the Convention report regularly to the CRPD.\textsuperscript{110}

Beyond the UN, hundreds of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) work locally and globally on the inclusion of persons with disabilities by cooperating between Member State governments and international bodies to create better opportunities for persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{111} NGOs, particularly disability rights organizations, also play an important role on the local level by aiding persons with disabilities in achieving better standards of living and countering the stigma that exists surrounding disability.\textsuperscript{112}

\textbf{Combatting Stigma and Discrimination}

Defined as a set of negative and unfair beliefs that a society or group of people has about something, stigma is a serious problem that permeates nearly every aspect of disability.\textsuperscript{113} Negative societal attitudes regarding persons with disabilities have been and continue to be a powerful obstacle to ensuring equal opportunities for persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{114} Persons with disabilities are regularly victims of discrimination, violence, and bullying, resulting in lowered self-confidence and reduced societal participation.\textsuperscript{115} The \textit{Multinational Study of Attitudes toward Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities} found that general populations across ten Member States held considerable misconceptions about disability and underestimated the capabilities of persons with disabilities.\textsuperscript{116} Without addressing these misunderstandings through education, the stigma surrounding disability cannot be resolved.\textsuperscript{117}

As part of the global effort to combat stigma and misconceptions, advocates for persons with disabilities use “person-first language,” using the term “persons with disabilities” or “people with disabilities.”\textsuperscript{118} Language plays an important role in shaping individual and societal views, and it can perpetuate negative stereotypes and stigma against people with disabilities.\textsuperscript{119} The idea is that an individual is not defined by their disability and that they are recognized as a person before any mention of their disability.\textsuperscript{120}
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Education to Raise Awareness

Negative attitudes and behaviors towards persons with disabilities can be adjusted through improving education on what disability entails.¹²¹ Among the most powerful method of combating these issues is ensuring full social integration of persons with disabilities.¹²² Community-based rehabilitation (CBR) projects are community-based programs that promote social integration for persons with disabilities.¹²³ CBR projects have shown to be a powerful method of reforming societal mindsets by bringing people together.¹²⁴ Due to simplicity, CBR can be implemented in rural areas with little infrastructure potentially making it an accessible option for all Member States.¹²⁵ Over a three- to four-year period, a CBR project in rural India saw a 30-40% increase in meeting attendance by persons with disabilities alongside a similar increase in general community participation.¹²⁶ Increased interaction between persons with disabilities, community members, and medical personnel also spurred increased medical rehabilitation and intervention throughout the community.¹²⁷ Increasing the number of CBR projects can better integrate persons with disabilities into society and aid in providing equal opportunities in society and development.¹²⁸

Well-planned informational or social media campaigns can also educate populations on a large-scale basis.¹²⁹ In Norway, duration of untreated mental illness fell from an average of 114 weeks in 1997 to 20 weeks in 1999 through a series of public informational campaigns on the signs of mental illness.¹³⁰ More recently, social media campaigns have played a role in raising awareness on disability and related issues. The UN #DrawDisability campaign focused on student artist renditions of disability and their reflections on the issue.¹³¹ Similar campaigns focusing on combatting stigma through facts and knowledge have the potential to spread new messages and move towards equal opportunities for persons with disabilities.¹³²

Education System Reform

Equitable access to education is critical to the fulfillment of all children’s human rights, highlighting the necessity of Member States to make education more accessible for students with disabilities.¹³³ Unfortunately, students with disabilities often face exclusion and discrimination by societies and schools.¹³⁴ This can be seen in school attendance rates of students with disabilities in relation to that of other students.¹³⁵ In 2011, differences in primary school attendance rates between students with disabilities and those without ranged from 10% in India to 60% in Indonesia.¹³⁶ Similar disparities were found with secondary school attendance rates with variances of 15% in Cambodia and 58% in Indonesia.¹³⁷

The type of impairment also plays a role in determining school attendance: those with physical impairments tend to face fewer obstacles than students with sensory or intellectual impairments, as physical limitations can sometimes be more easily addressed.¹³⁸ In Burkina Faso, only 10% of deaf children ages seven through twelve were enrolled in school, in comparison to 40% of physically-impaired students.¹³⁹ With an estimated 93 to 150 million youth with disabilities, it is imperative that action be taken to improve education rates for this population as mandated by SDG
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On the policy level, there is much debate regarding the best method of handling education for persons with disabilities. Education can be mainstreamed and handled by a national department of education, or it can be specialized for persons with disabilities and handled by a department of health or social welfare. Proponents of specialized education argue that by tailoring approaches to students with disabilities, a better quality education can be provided. However, separate and specialized institutions are usually limited to urban areas and students with disabilities living in rural communities are often forced to forego education altogether. Another unintended consequence of this approach is that children with disabilities are segregated and excluded from society and the dynamic interaction between students with and without disabilities is limited. Due to such concerns, the argument for mainstreaming education for students with disabilities has gained traction. The increased participation in communities can help provide students with disabilities with equal opportunities in society and development. Education mainstreaming also has the benefit that integrating students with disabilities into standard education systems, rather than specialized separate institutions can be a cost saving measure. Regardless of which approach is taken, it remains critical that there be strong cooperation between departments of education, health, social welfare, and employment to ensure that students with disabilities do not fall through policy gaps.

While systemic educational issues should be addressed by governments, schools are also important actors in ensuring equal opportunities for young people with disabilities. WHO has recognized the importance of individual assessment of students with disabilities to identify their individual needs. A proper assessment can reveal what support systems the student might need, what type of teaching styles would be most effective in reaching the student, and what kind of environment might best allow the student to thrive. Once recognized, programs can be tailored to maximize chances of success. Early assessment, identification, and intervention can greatly reduce the level of education support students with disabilities might need in the future. Acting upon such assessment requires teachers trained to work with students with disabilities and adapt teaching styles and learning environments to accommodate student needs, which in turn requires building training programs for teachers. This will pose more of a challenge in rural areas due to lack of access to such specialists. Furthermore, providing classrooms with learning support assistants can provide students with disabilities with increased attention and help increase participation. Least developed countries (LDCs) will face financial obstacles to establish such education programs; as outlined in SDG 17, a strong partnership between Member States, NGOs, and intergovernmental organizations (IGOs) can play a pivotal role in realizing such programs.

4 and article 24 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The need for strong policies that adequately address the needs of persons with disabilities is apparent mainly on two levels: government and school.
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Employment Opportunities for Persons with Disabilities

Creating equal opportunities for persons with disabilities to participate in society and development requires improved employment opportunities, as this population faces significant disadvantages in accessing employment. Per the 2011 World Health Survey, which examined 51 countries, the average employment rate for men with disabilities was 52.8%, while it was 19.6% for women with disabilities. This is a sharp contrast to the employment rates of 64.9% for men without disabilities and 29.9% for women without disabilities. Vocational and rehabilitation programs can play a major role in combating this variance in employment rates. A 2007 International Labour Organization (ILO) study in Malawi, South Africa, and Zambia found training drastically improved employment rates, with 70% of persons with disabilities who received training in Zambia finding employment. Training programs have the potential for great successes but require increased research to understand what training methods are most effective for what disabilities and why. Barriers to such training must also be addressed; these include training fees, awareness of training providers, and accessibility of training centers, transportation, family responsibilities, and unwillingness by persons with disabilities to undergo such training.

Societal misconceptions also play a considerable role in low employment rates for persons with disabilities. Stigmas surrounding persons with disabilities promote discrimination against them in the workforce. Per the ILO study, 31% of persons with disabilities in Zambia and 38% of persons with disabilities in South Africa believed discrimination to be the reason they were unable to find employment. Addressing such prejudices through community education can enable change in societal attitudes and, alongside national anti-discrimination legislation, contribute to implementation of the Convention on Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Supported employment programs can help persons with disabilities find employment. Businesses can also help employees with disabilities reach their potential by training managers in best practices on how to manage persons with disabilities. Another option available for persons with disabilities is self-employment. Especially in rural areas, persons with disabilities trained with entrepreneurship and self-employment programs can find great success.

Conclusion

Article 1 of the UDHR declares, “All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.” With one in seven people living with a disability, and with that number growing ever larger, ensuring equal rights for this population is a critical issue facing CSocD. Improving education systems can ensure that students with disabilities are better equipped and prepared for the world ahead. At the same time, efforts must be made to increase opportunities for gainful employment for people with disabilities. In addressing education and employment, the negative stigmas that surround disability must also be addressed. CSocD will continue to play an important role in advising Member States and other UN entities on these issues.
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Further Research

How can CSocD promote equal opportunities for persons with disabilities in society and development within its mandate? How can CSocD promote the mainstreaming of persons with disabilities within the UN system? How can the implementation of the SDGs be more inclusive of people with disabilities in a coherent and cohesive way? What steps can be taken to fill current gaps in the implementation of international agreements? How can CSocD improve its function as a monitoring body, including strengthened measuring and evaluation mechanisms? How can negative stigmas regarding persons with disabilities be reduced? What should the role of CSocD be in realizing full equality and reducing discrimination against persons with disabilities? What partnerships can be created to establish a more inclusive education system for students with disabilities? What types of policy options can CSocD recommend to further employment for persons with disabilities?

Annotated Bibliography


This source provides analysis on case studies in vocational training and rehabilitation centers for persons with disabilities throughout South East Asia. It is published by the ILO, a specialized agency of the UN that deals with labor standards. It also reviews self-employment possibilities for persons with disabilities and case studies in what was and was not successful. Delegates will find this report helpful in addressing the employment issues persons with disabilities face.


This report was written by the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) and takes a detailed look at the challenges in ensuring access to education for children with disabilities in the Central Eastern European Commonwealth of Independent States (CEECIS) region. Although this study is regional in nature, its findings are relevant for all Member States as certain aspects of disability are universal. It reviews improving early assessment of disability, combatting stigmas and prejudice against those with disabilities, and improving educational systems for increased inclusion of students with disabilities. When designing solutions, this source gives valuable insight into what has and has not worked in the CEECIS region. Taking into consideration the region’s political, social, and economic landscape, delegates can consider adjusting and utilizing its findings in any region.


This report discusses approaches to mainstreaming disability in the international development agenda. Delegates can gain a much better understanding of the challenges in mainstreaming disability in regional policy frameworks, bilateral cooperation, and multilateral cooperation, as well as recommendations on how to overcome them. Delegates will find this report helpful as they search for cooperation-based solutions as well as a financing measure for LDCs.


This is the report by CSocD on its 53rd session, held in 2015. Although CSocD regularly reviews the topic of disability, this report goes over the latest draft resolution CSocD proposed on mainstreaming disability. It offers a relevant and recent glimpse into what CSocD believes needs to be done on the subject and can be a valuable resource for delegates to see what has been proposed and done in the past.

This report compiles and analyzes a vast array of data on disability and was published by DESA. DESA is an important actor in discussing issues around disability in the UN, and works to ensure equal opportunities for persons with disabilities in society and development. Delegates can gain a stronger understanding of the overall issue of inclusion, and what major challenges Member States face in ensuring equal opportunities for persons with disabilities in society and development.
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II. Promoting Social and Economic Inclusion of Refugees

“...the lives of millions of fellow human beings will be further diminished if they languish in camps or on the margins of cities without access to basic needs, livelihoods and income opportunities.”

Introduction

Today, the world faces a refugee crisis of proportions unprecedented since the establishment of the United Nations (UN) after World War II. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) estimates that there are currently 65.3 million people forcibly displaced worldwide, of which 21.3 million have refugee status. The crisis is caused, in part, by protracted intra-state conflicts, making it unlikely that large refugee movements will come to a rapid halt or that those forcibly displaced will have an opportunity to be safely repatriated to their homes in the near future. The international community’s efforts to mitigate the suffering of a growing refugee population in the wake of these circumstances have thus far been inadequate, with little planning for long-term displacement and insufficient support for host communities. Consequently, greater international cooperation is necessary to prevent the exacerbation of this humanitarian crisis and to address the needs of displaced persons.

Among those forcibly displaced, a refugee is defined by the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (the Refugee Convention) as any person who, “… owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality and is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country.”

The writing and adoption of the Refugee Convention (and later its 1967 Protocol) was instigated by the large movement of refugees in the aftermath of World War II and the need to define the social and legal rights of refugees, as well as their duties towards their host countries. At the time, the UN Secretary-General envisioned the post-World War era as a period of assimilation of refugees, wherein they would have the means to provide for themselves with dignity, rather than relying on aid from international organizations for their subsistence. This vision is reflected in the text of the Refugee Convention, which sets forth mechanisms to enable self-reliance and social integration for refugees. However, the state of refugees today indicates that intentions and principles of the Refugee Convention in this regard have not been fully realized; to the contrary, it is more likely for refugees to be residing in camps or informal settlements and living off humanitarian aid than to be integrated into society.

The UN facilitates three response mechanisms to protect and assist refugees: voluntary repatriation, integration into the host community, or resettlement into another country. Voluntary repatriation, while an oft sought after goal, is usually not possible in the immediate aftermath of displacement due to ongoing conflicts in the refugees’ states of origin. The latter two responses, on the other hand, are predicated upon the assimilation of refugees into their states of destination, both socially and economically. Today, there is a prevalence of “protracted” refugee situations, loosely defined by the UNHCR as a refugee situation that extends beyond five years; refugees in these instances are left for years with their basic economic, social, and psychological needs unfulfilled. Despite of
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facing no direct threat to their lives, refugees in these contexts live in a state of stagnation with limited, if any, access to wage-earning employment opportunities, education, healthcare, or other services.\textsuperscript{188} UNHCR estimates that it takes an average of 17 years before refugees can be safely repatriated.\textsuperscript{189}

The Commission for Social Development (CSocD) has repeatedly emphasized that social and economic inclusion for all is a necessary precondition for social development.\textsuperscript{190} The Commission has a history of advocating for the most vulnerable and marginalized groups to be fully integrated into their communities through inclusive policies adhering to a human rights-based approach.\textsuperscript{191} Thus, CSocD’s advisory role for Member States can work towards achieving social development by promoting the inclusion of refugees into their host communities.

\textit{International and Regional Framework}

The UN has been a proponent of the inclusion of refugees since its inception. This is most clearly highlighted in the 1951 Refugee Convention and its 1967 Protocol, which continue to act as the primary source of international law relating to refugees.\textsuperscript{192} The Refugee Convention recognizes refugees’ entitlement to basic human rights outlined in the \textit{Universal Declaration of Human Rights} (UDHR) (1948) and endeavors to ensure that refugees have “the widest possible exercise of these rights and freedoms.”\textsuperscript{193} As such, it consistently affords refugees the most favorable treatment possible in their host communities.\textsuperscript{194} This is demonstrated by the legal entitlements of refugees in the Convention, which grant them rights at least as favorable as those given to any foreign resident in a state, and in some cases, rights identical to those of nationals of a state.\textsuperscript{195} Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of the Refugee Convention outline the rights of refugees lawfully staying in a state regarding their access to courts, property rights ownership, gainful employment, housing, and public education, all of which constitute essential components for the social integration of refugee populations.\textsuperscript{196}

The UN has advocated for greater social development internationally, urging Member States to adopt people-centered development policies that focus on the material as well as the spiritual needs of individuals to ensure higher living standards for all people worldwide.\textsuperscript{197} In 1995, the \textit{Report of the World Summit for Social Development}, in which the \textit{Copenhagen Declaration on Social Development} was first published, expressed the commitment of the UN to take actions for the “promotion of social progress… based on full participation by all,” with an emphasis on empowering the most vulnerable groups.\textsuperscript{198} The fourth commitment of the Copenhagen Declaration focuses on including social integration based on the principles of non-discrimination against anyone, particularly disadvantaged and vulnerable groups.\textsuperscript{199} Article 16 of the Copenhagen Declaration identifies refugees as being among those vulnerable groups and acknowledges that their displacement and subsequent marginalization has tragic consequences for the social development of both their home and host states.\textsuperscript{200} In addition, the ninth commitment explicitly pledged to provide resources to states facing challenges meeting the needs of their refugee populations.\textsuperscript{201}

Reaffirming its commitment to economic prosperity and social development for all human beings worldwide, the UN adopted the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in the \textit{2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development} in September 2015.\textsuperscript{202} While declaring the UN’s efforts to “ensure that all human beings can fulfill their potential in dignity and equality” the Agenda also pledged that, in this process, no one would be left behind, including
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refugees. Among the 17 SDGs and the 169 affiliated targets of 2030 Agenda, many goals and targets call for action to achieve the social and economic inclusion of all people. Specifically, SDG 1 advocates for equal rights to economic resources and equal access to basic services for all people, particularly the vulnerable. SDG 4 calls for the provision of inclusive quality education for all, and Target 4.5 specifically highlights the importance equal educational access for children in vulnerable situations. SDG 8 focuses on promoting inclusive economic growth and decent work and the protection labor rights for all, regardless of citizenship. In the context of refugee populations, this encompasses supporting refugees’ entrepreneurial activities, generating productive employment opportunities, preventing discrimination and exploitation, and securing safe working environments. Finally, SDG 10 calls for reducing inequalities between and among Member States, and Target 10.2 in particular aims to promote the social and economic inclusion irrespective of race, ethnicity, origin or other status.

Guided by its commitment to implement the Copenhagen Declaration, ECOSOC, on the recommendation of CSocD, adopted resolution 2010/12 on “Promoting social integration” in 2010. The resolution calls for the social integration of all groups, highlighting the need to remove barriers that persistently prevent people from fully participating in society. The resolution identifies poverty and unemployment as key barriers to social integration and recognizes that social integration policies should include securing basic needs, such as education, housing, and healthcare for marginalized groups. In its 54th session in 2016, CSocD recognized that the goals of the 2030 Agenda and the commitments made in the Copenhagen Declaration are mutually reinforcing; this is elaborated in the draft resolution “Rethinking and Strengthening Social Development in the Contemporary World,” later adopted by ECOSOC as resolution 2016/8. It encourages Member States to take all measures necessary to realize the goal of the 2030 Agenda to leave no one behind, namely by promoting social integration and equal access to essential public services. It further emphasizes the importance of cooperation between governments and civil society to extend and implement inclusive social policies for all members of society, including the vulnerable and marginalized. The resolution reiterates the need for policies targeting economic inclusion by promoting equitable access to labor markets and encourages the developed Member States to meet their official development assistance commitments. Although the Commission thus far has not discussed the thematic issue of refugee integration specifically, this resolution, as well as others adopted by the Commission, defines a framework in which refugees’ right to inclusion should be considered and prioritized.

Role of the International System

CSocD acts as an advisory commission to oversee the implementation of social development policies aimed at achieving the goals set forth in the Copenhagen Declaration and Programme of Action in 1995. In its annual sessions, CSocD relies on a collaborative process among its Member States, other UN organizations and subsidiaries, as well as non-governmental organizations to develop sustainable policies targeting social development. CSocD has collaborated with policymakers from a wide range of Member States; university professors; representatives from the UN Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD); UNHCR; various regional commissions, including the UN Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia; and others to
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recommend policies for the advancement of social integration.\textsuperscript{220} Thus far, CSocD has focused on national policies promoting the integration of vulnerable and marginalized citizens within each Member State exclusive of any mention of foreign residents, whether migrants or refugees.\textsuperscript{221} However, based on the aforementioned commitments of the Copenhagen Declaration and the extraordinary ongoing refugee crisis, it is firmly within CSocD’s mandate to begin discussions on this topic and recommend policies addressing the social exclusion of refugees.\textsuperscript{222}

Tasked with “supervising international conventions for the protection of refugees,” UNHCR has been the main UN organization working for refugee rights, not only in a humanitarian relief capacity, but also with regards to ensuring access to sustainable livelihoods, education, and public health.\textsuperscript{223} Much like CSocD’s collaborative policy cycles, building partnerships with local authorities, civil society organizations, and other UN entities has marked UNHCR’s broad-based efforts for the inclusion of refugees in social and economic spheres of life.\textsuperscript{224} Numbering 900 strategic partnerships to date, these have aided both the design and implementation of programs targeting refugees’ social integration and resettlement.\textsuperscript{225} For example, UNHCR’s 2009 \textit{Policy on Refugee Protection and Solutions in Urban Areas} outlines the Office’s role in encouraging local authorities to legally allow refugees to seek wage-earning income and gain access to public health services.\textsuperscript{226}

Among the non-governmental actors partnered with UNHCR advocating for the economic and social integration of refugees is the Hague Process on Refugees and Migration (THP).\textsuperscript{227} THP works to build a comprehensive network of stakeholders in migration and to develop “actionable solutions” based on thorough research and expertise.\textsuperscript{228} For example, recent research by THP on refugee integration was conducted in collaboration with Maastricht University’s Graduate School of Governance and the UN University.\textsuperscript{229} The research follows stakeholders from the public sector, private sector, and civil society organizations in eight cities across the world to evaluate refugee integration efforts.\textsuperscript{230} As a result of their research, THP encourages local governments and businesses to form partnerships to address the multidimensional issue of refugee integration and highlights case studies in which such partnerships have been successful.\textsuperscript{231} THP also highlights that cities should constitute the primary unit of analysis in addressing refugees’ needs, since it is at the city level that refugees form social connections and carry out their daily activities.\textsuperscript{232}

In a renewed commitment to the alleviation of suffering and the protection of human rights for all, the UN Secretary-General convened the World Humanitarian Summit in May 2016 with “Leave no one behind” as one of its core principles, focusing primarily on responses to forced displacement.\textsuperscript{233} Pertinently, the Secretary-General used the summit as a platform to galvanize the international community into sharing responsibility for large movements of refugees and upholding the provisions of the Refugee Convention.\textsuperscript{234} In this context, he aptly noted that refugees “should be able to enjoy a minimum standard of treatment, such as freedom of movement, basic health, social, and economic rights and recognition of identity and legal status.”\textsuperscript{235} The summit pointed to the necessity of creating an equitable international cooperation framework that provides adequate resourcing to host countries.\textsuperscript{236} The summit
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determined that, central to social and economic inclusion, such a framework must involve a revision of national refugee response policies, namely as they relate to vital public services such as education and healthcare.\textsuperscript{237}

In September 2016, the High-Level Meeting on Addressing Large Movements of Refugees and Migrants, convened by the UN General Assembly, took place to follow up on the commitments outlined at the World Humanitarian Summit.\textsuperscript{238} During the High-Level Meeting, Member States pledged to form a comprehensive refugee response framework that includes national authorities, as well as international organizations and civil society partners, in order to adopt a ‘people-centered’ approach that is in accordance with international law.\textsuperscript{239} More specifically, Member States committed to ease administrative processes hindering refugee integration, provide quality primary and secondary education to all refugee children, and ensure that the basic health needs of refugees are met.\textsuperscript{240} The shift in rhetoric towards national policies for the social inclusion of refugees strongly echoes the CSocD’s principles, guided by the commitments of the Copenhagen Declaration.\textsuperscript{241}

\textbf{Access to Education}

Articles 21 to 23 of the Refugee Convention are designed to ensure that refugees are granted equal access to services such as housing, public education, and public relief assistance.\textsuperscript{242} However, in reality, refugees often face many difficulties in accessing basic services for a myriad of reasons; education is among the main services that refugees have difficulties accessing.\textsuperscript{243} In 2009, school enrolment rates for refugee children were estimated to be 76\% at the primary level and only 36\% at the secondary level, with a large gender gap with even lower enrolment rates for girls.\textsuperscript{244} Today, the situation is worse; more recent estimates in the wake of the ongoing Syrian refugee crisis highlight the extent of the problem in small neighboring states like Lebanon, where it is estimated that 80\% of registered Syrian refugee children are not enrolled in schools.\textsuperscript{245} Refugees’ inability to access education has severe long-term effects on their ability to be integrated into the societies in which they reside, and inevitably, on their own personal development and future opportunities.\textsuperscript{246} Lack of education significantly worsens the employment prospects available to an entire generation of refugees post-resettlement.\textsuperscript{247} This makes it more likely for them to live and remain in poverty, as well as limiting their capacity to make positive economic contributions to their host communities in the long run.\textsuperscript{248} Moreover, educational facilities can serve as a space to build tolerance and multicultural values among children from a young age; lack of integration in educational systems therefore reduces refugee children’s opportunities to socially engage with their peers.\textsuperscript{249}

Among the many barriers to access is the number of refugees relative to the limited financial and institutional capabilities of their host countries.\textsuperscript{250} In states that receive a large influx of refugees, the existing schooling system often cannot support all the incoming students, as there are insufficient classrooms and teachers.\textsuperscript{251} Both financial and time constraints prevent an adequate expansion of these facilities to meet the needs of incoming refugees.\textsuperscript{252} Overcrowding in classrooms is not only preventing more refugees from enrolling in schools, but it is also having a
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negative impact on the quality of education of refugees and nationals alike by raising the student-to-teacher ratio.\textsuperscript{253} Another barrier, exacerbated by the aforementioned negative impact on education, is discrimination against refugee children in schools.\textsuperscript{254} This discrimination takes many forms, including: preventing children from entering the school system due their legal status as refugees, unwillingness to recognize previous schooling certificates, and bullying of refugee children in schools.\textsuperscript{255} Moreover, the language of instruction often makes it difficult for refugee children to follow the curricula in their host countries.\textsuperscript{256}

UNHCR, in partnership with the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), various non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and local ministries of education, has undertaken efforts to address some of these concerns.\textsuperscript{257} One such collaboration has been between UNHCR and the Educate A Child program, which was implemented in 12 states across Africa and Asia.\textsuperscript{258} The partnership primarily addressed issues pertaining to the funding and institutional capabilities in these states by constructing classrooms, distributing textbooks and learning materials, and training teachers, ultimately allowing more than 250,000 refugee children to enroll in schools.\textsuperscript{259} On the local government level, the policies of the Nairobi City Council in the Kenyan capital, which hosts more than 62,000 refugees, provides another example of refugee integration in public education.\textsuperscript{260} Kenya is a country with an often-cited protracted refugee situation that has lasted over 20 years due to influxes from Somalia and South Sudan.\textsuperscript{261} The Nairobi City Council has funded places for refugees at 205 schools, enabling 7,500 refugee children to enroll.\textsuperscript{262} The city council has also ensured that refugees do not incur education costs higher than Kenyan nationals in the same system.\textsuperscript{263} Nevertheless, there are still high cost barriers to accessing secondary education, for which there is insufficient funding.\textsuperscript{264} Overall, while such non-discriminatory policies have made progress towards the inclusion of refugees, they are not sufficiently far-reaching or comprehensive.

**Access to Healthcare**

Another basic public service refugees face barriers in accessing is healthcare. Often coming from areas of conflict and/or unfavorable conditions in camps, refugees frequently suffer a variety of acute as well as chronic medical conditions that must be addressed in their host countries.\textsuperscript{265} As is the case with education, increasingly large refugee populations have difficulties accessing healthcare due to financial constraints as well as language barriers.\textsuperscript{266} On the one hand, financial constraints limit states’ ability to provide adequate medical supplies, hospital beds, and trained doctors to attend to the needs of incoming refugees.\textsuperscript{267} At the same time, refugees with little financial resources find it prohibitively expensive to seek medical care in the absence of coverage by aid organizations or the public healthcare system.\textsuperscript{268} Meanwhile, language barriers proved to be a significant hurdle for refugees at every level of the healthcare system, from seeking appropriate medical facilities, to scheduling appointments, to interacting with

\textsuperscript{253} UNHCR, *The Future of Syria: Refugee Children in Crisis*, 2013, p. 46.
\textsuperscript{254} Ibid., pp. 50-51.
\textsuperscript{257} UNHCR, *Education*.
\textsuperscript{258} UNHCR, *Educate A Child*.
\textsuperscript{259} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{261} UNHCR Kenya Country Office, *Overview*.
\textsuperscript{263} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{264} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{265} Morris et al., *Healthcare Barriers of Refugees Post-resettlement*, 2009, p. 529.
medical professionals and obtaining prescribed treatments. Miscommunication arising due to language barriers makes it difficult both for doctors to understand patients’ medical histories and symptoms, and for patients to understand diagnoses and treatment options from medical staff. Negligence to treat illnesses often leads to further deteriorations in refugees’ general health conditions, thereby hampering their physical ability to perform other tasks, such as attending school or working.

In emergency response situations, the case of Lebanon in the ongoing refugee crisis continues to illustrate these barriers; the small country holds more than 6.5% of the world’s current refugee population. Consequently, Lebanese hospitals have exceeded their capacity in terms of beds and medical staff necessary to address the health needs of both nationals and incoming Syrian refugees. Even though registered Syrian refugees are provided 75% coverage of costs in the Lebanese public healthcare system, the remaining 25% is a heavy burden for many refugee families who often find themselves weighing healthcare options in terms of necessity and price. In post-resettlement situations, financial hardships also arise because refugees may not be eligible to enroll in public insurance programs; they may also face difficulties paying insurance premiums.

Many programs and partnerships have sought to provide refugees with healthcare access at a level similar to that of nationals. As indicated by the Lebanese example, this often comes in the form of efforts to integrate refugees into the state-provided government health services. The Australian government similarly commits to provide refugees with a level of healthcare identical to that of Australian nationals, as well as pledging to accommodate their linguistic needs. Another example is the partnership between the national Department of Health in Kenya and the International Organization for Migration to provide the Eastleigh Community Healthcare facility in Nairobi. The facility provides free health screening, vaccinations, reproductive health services and primary care for refugees in the Eastleigh suburb, in which a large proportion of refugees live. Although successful in mitigating further suffering, these efforts have not reached the majority of refugees, signifying a need for more extensive actions and greater collaboration among not only local governments in host countries, NGOs, and UN organizations, but also the international community at large.

Labor Market Access and Integration

Access to productive employment is reaffirmed as an important means for social integration and poverty eradication in the Copenhagen Declaration. The International Labour Organization defines productive employment as “employment yielding sufficient returns to labor to permit the worker and her/his dependents a level of consumption above the poverty line.” Moreover, the right to wage-earning employment is enshrined in article 17 of the Refugee Convention, which provides that refugees should benefit from the same access to employment opportunities as most-favored foreigners. However, article 17 attracted the largest number of reservations from States parties to the
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Discrepancies between refugees’ abilities and their labor market outcomes can be attributed to disabling legal frameworks in host states, as well as xenophobia and discrimination against refugees. The former largely encompasses difficulties for refugees to obtain the necessary permits that would enable them to seek employment, exacerbated by bureaucratic procedures that vary from one state to another. They also face hurdles in having their professional certifications recognized outside their states of origin, especially in specialized professions such as medicine, law, and engineering, thus precluding them from working in their previous field of employment. Meanwhile, discrimination and xenophobia operate on many levels, be it on the part of employers refusing to hire refugees, on the part of co-workers creating an inhospitable work environment, or on the part of the general public in the host country fuelling discriminatory rhetoric against refugees. As is the case with education and healthcare, language barriers also impede labor market access for refugees; inability to communicate with peers makes refugees less desirable candidates to employers.

Global efforts to address the economic exclusion of refugee communities have ensued with two primary mutually reinforcing objectives: providing minimum subsistence-level incomes for refugees to allow them to become self-reliant, and integrating refugees into their host states’ economies through decent employment opportunities. For example, the European Parliament has outlined policy recommendations for the labor market integration of refugees within the European Union. They recommended language courses and vocational training for refugees, as well as suggesting a more efficient mechanism for Member States to identify refugees’ competencies. Meanwhile, on a micro-level, Adus, a civil society organization in Brazil, has partnered with local businesses in São Paulo to encourage firms to hire refugee workers. At the moment, these partnerships focus only on providing job opportunities for unskilled labor with no requirement of prior work experience. However, workers are paid fairly and may be extended assistance to find housing. Both the UN General Assembly and THP have recently highlighted the importance of strengthening such partnerships with the private sector to promote the integration of refugees, particularly in the economic sphere.
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Conclusion

The social and economic inclusion of refugees into their host communities is central to affording them a life with dignity and respect. Commitments and efforts made by the international community to ensure their inclusion have made some progress in improving the conditions and living standards of those forcibly displaced from their homes, be it through access to gainful employment, educational opportunities, training programs, or other measures. However, these efforts have only partially addressed the needs of the global refugee population. As this population continues to grow, the reliance on a few states to shoulder the costs of refugee integration alongside humanitarian agencies is unsustainable. As a result, there is a need for social development policies to transition to a period of shared responsibility for refugee populations. This period should be defined by a renewed commitment to the rights set forth in the Refugee Convention by the UN system and its Member States. Today, millions of refugees are deprived of their rights. Going forward, a human-centered approach guided by the principles of both the Refugee Convention and the Copenhagen Declaration will be critical for the full economic and social inclusion of refugees worldwide.

Further Research

Achieving social and economic inclusion for refugee communities remains a goal and a challenge for the UN and its subsidiaries, guided by the principles of human rights and inclusive development. Delegates should consider questions including: What social development policies can be recommended by CSocD to contribute to Member States’ and UN entities’ efforts to integrate refugees? How can Member States remove the language barriers hindering refugees’ access to education, healthcare and employment opportunities? What mechanisms would be most effective to eliminate discrimination against refugees in these areas? How can Member Status ensure quality healthcare for all refugees? How can challenges such as the recertification of skilled refugee workers be addressed in a multitude of settings worldwide? Which organizations can CSocD partner with to develop practical policies for the social and economic inclusion of refugees specifically?
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The report published by the UNHCR’s Policy Development and Evaluation Service provides an extensive account of the state of refugee education, including the challenges faced in integrating refugee children into public education systems in their host states, as well as successful mechanisms that involved collaboration between UNHCR, ministries of education in the host states, and civil society organizations. The review offers insights from a diverse geographic range of states, particularly in Africa and Asia. Since education has repeatedly been identified as a necessary component for social inclusion, it is instructive to look at the author’s recommendations for future policymaking in this area.


As one of the most recent texts on the topic of social inclusion and labor market integration of refugees, this resolution affirms gradually increasing commitment to address the refugee crisis on a social level. Even though the resolution was adopted by the European Parliament, and therefore specifies policies put forth in European Union Member States, it provides guidelines for actions that can be recommended on a broader multilateral scale. Most importantly, it reiterates the rights of persons with refugee status to benefit from social protection policies in the absence of their entitlements from their states of origin. Social policies proposed include the provision of language courses, education, and training necessary for labor market integration.


Highlighting the UNHCR’s ongoing framework for providing productive opportunities to refugees and displaced persons worldwide, the Strategy highlights possible mechanisms that can be adopted for economic inclusion. It provides an overview of the UNHCR’s objectives and the
partnerships utilized to achieve them. The strategy also includes indicators to measure the success of various policies, helping states track progress and enabling them to revise programs and efforts to increase efficacy.


Authored by an independent consultant for UNHCR’s Policy Development and Evaluation Series, the study focuses on the current status of resettled refugees in labor markets and points to the lack of sufficient literature and policies in this area. Specifically, it emphasizes the centrality of labor market integration in approaches for poverty alleviation of refugees, and sheds light on the existing barriers to their integration. By highlighting the gap between labor market access for refugees as opposed to other immigrants or marginalized groups, as well as the positive outcomes associated with their integration, the study reinforces the pertinence of targeting economic inclusion for refugees. The study also includes promising practices that have been adopted by various states in integrating resettled refugees into their labor markets.


Written in preparation for the forty-eighth session of CSocD in collaboration with the Ministry of Employment and Social Welfare of the Government of Ghana and the UN Development Programme, the report provides insights into the mechanisms through which the Commission’s policy review process operates by working with national actors. In this context, both the methodology and the content (which proposes national policies for social inclusion) serve as a useful window to understand and learn how to build upon the prior work of the Commission. The report also highlights best practices and lessons learned to guide delegates in strengthening future recommendations and policies on this topic.


Prepared in response to the priority theme for CSocD’s forty-eighth session, the report provides an overview of the current regional and national policies for social integration, including youth inclusion, gender parity, and others, as well as providing recommendations to promote more inclusive societies. While the report does not address the issue of social integration for refugees, it provides a foundation upon which policies for this specific group can be designed. Among the most relevant elements of the report for the topic at hand are group-specific responses, cultural sensitivity without forced assimilation, and removing discriminatory barriers to employment.


Published to address the priority theme for the fifty-second session of CSocD, the report focuses on means of achieving poverty eradication, primarily through the elimination of discriminatory frameworks that act as barriers to participation in productive activities. The report advocates for a more inclusive society for all, and promotes decent work and anti-discrimination as among the primary venues to reach this goal. Such values can be extended individuals with refugee status in host states worldwide to foster their inclusion.


Prepared by CSocD at its fifty-fourth session, this note by the Secretariat explores the Commission’s role in achieving the SDGs by translating the commitments of the 2030 Agenda into progress in the area of social development. The note identifies the key priorities and targets to achieve social development within the framework of the 2030 Agenda, among which is social inclusion and investing in universal access to basic social services, including education and healthcare. While the inclusion of refugees is not explicitly addressed, the note defines CSocD’s
catalyzing role in achieving sustainable development by selecting future priority themes that reflect the SDGs and incorporating them into its Member States’ national policy frameworks.


Written in preparation for the High-Level Plenary Meeting on Addressing Large Movements of Refugees and Migrants, the report contextualizes the topic by providing an overview of the trends in global refugee movements and the status of refugees. It further calls for multilateral action against discrimination and details successful endeavors to address refugee integration at national and regional levels. The report also recognizes that long-term national efforts are imperative since refugees typically remain displaced for a lengthy period.


As the outcome document of the High-Level Plenary Meeting on Addressing Large Movements of Refugees and Migrants in September 2016, this political declaration outlines the most recent commitments agreed upon by Member States to respond to the increasing global movements of refugees. The declaration defines the contemporary scope of the problem, and recalls all the relevant refugee response frameworks and instruments of international law. It also clearly states the importance of addressing the global crisis using a sustainable, human rights-based approach, consistent with the principles of the 2030 Agenda. Most importantly, it reaffirms Member States’ commitment to meet the needs of refugee populations and expand the opportunities available to them in host communities.
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III. Social Dimensions of the New Partnership for Africa’s Development

Introduction

The United Nations (UN) has always directed special attention towards Africa’s unique development needs, most recently in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (2015), which established the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as successor to the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). With 41% of its people living in extreme poverty, sub-Saharan Africa is the only region that failed to meet Target 1.A of the MDGs to halve the proportion of people living on less than $1.25 a day. The need for a special regional focus on Africa becomes clear when one compares the levels of economic development of Africa and East Asia, two regions that had a comparable gross domestic product (GDP) per capita in 1970, but whose development paths have deviated dramatically since then. By 2010, GDP per capita was $8,483 in East Asia but only $1,701 in Africa. Furthermore, efforts to reduce poverty and ensure inclusive growth are undermined by extreme income inequality, which continues to grow in one fourth of states in sub-Saharan Africa.

In order to address escalating poverty levels and systemic underdevelopment, African Member States created the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) in 2001. NEPAD was essentially designed as a bargain: African states pledged to set up and police standards of good governance in return for increased official development assistance (ODA), foreign direct investment (FDI), and a lowered obstacles to trade by developed states. The UN embraced NEPAD and adopted it as a key strategic framework for all development efforts in Africa. Within the UN system, the Commission for Social Development (CSocD) is especially involved in supporting NEPAD because of its mandate to ensure that people remain at the center of development. CSocD assesses new and emerging issues in regard to the social dimensions of NEPAD and provides related policy guidance to the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC).

According to a recent report of the Secretary-General, suitable policies and good institutions are needed to reduce inequality and ensure that economic growth benefits all members of society, including women, youth, and other often marginalized groups. The Secretary-General identified active labor market policies that intervene in labor markets by subsidizing employment or offering training schemes as fundamental for the recovery of African economies from job losses resulting from the global economic crisis and continued population growth. Moreover, investments to close tremendous gaps in energy, information, and transportation infrastructure will accelerate economic growth and industrialization, supporting job creation. Additional international cooperation is needed to support African Member States in terms of finance, trade, technology transfer, and capacity-building to enable them to implement policies that enhance growth and generate employment.
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**International and Regional Framework**

In the late 1970s, after economic growth in Africa had stalled on a continental level, the international community started to draft plans and programs to promote Africa's development.\(^{316}\) The first concentrated effort to analyze and overcome the continent’s development challenges was the *Lagos Plan of Action* (LPA), which was produced in 1980 by the Organization of African Unity (OAU), the precursor to the African Union (AU).\(^{317}\) This plan identified historical injustices suffered through colonization as the main reason for Africa’s underdevelopment.\(^{318}\) The plan also emphasized inter-African trade and investment, a reduction in external debt, and import-substitution policies to reduce dependence on ex-colonial powers as critical for development.\(^{319}\) The LPA succeeded in bringing the attention of the international community to the need for a coherent and ambitious development plan for Africa, although it ignored the broad issues of poor governance within African Member States.\(^{320}\) However, the LPA relied heavily on large-scale industrialization that worked well for East Asia but whose financial and technological preconditions were not similar in Africa.\(^{321}\) The *UN Programme of Action for African Economic Recovery and Development* (UNPAAERD), the first UN development program for Africa, was adopted by the UN General Assembly in 1986.\(^{322}\) UNPAAERD called upon the international community to finance reforms of African Member States' agricultural sectors in order to overcome recurrent food crises and to spur development.\(^{323}\) But most developed Member States did not align their own development policies with UNPAAERD and instead tied ODA to privatization and liberalization targets.\(^{324}\) Thus, UNPAAERD fell short of becoming the focal point for development policy or resource mobilization.\(^{325}\) In 1991, the General Assembly adopted the *UN New Agenda for the Development of Africa in the 1990s* (UNNADAF) to replace UNPAAERD.\(^{326}\) The new program identified pervasive political instability as the main barrier to Africa's development.\(^{327}\) The proposed measures included intensifying the democratization process and increasing regional economic integration.\(^{328}\) Similarly to UNPAAERD, UNNADAF was unsuccessful for two main reasons: institutional weaknesses, which prevented resolute implementation, and external factors, such as the reluctance of developed Member States to support the programs politically and financially.\(^{329}\)

In 2001, the OAU adopted NEPAD as the new strategic framework for the sustainable development of Africa, which had been proposed by the heads of state of Algeria, Egypt, Nigeria, Senegal, and South Africa.\(^{330}\) This framework includes a Peace and Security Initiative that aims to create strong regional institutions responsible for conflict prevention and resolution, as well as a Democracy and Political Governance Initiative that includes technical support for institutional reforms and a mechanism to evaluate progress of democratization.\(^{331}\) The Economic and Corporate Governance Initiative aims to encourage investment into infrastructure, human resources, and agriculture.\(^{332}\) Moreover, the framework includes calls on Member States to support these initiatives through debt relief, ODA, and increased domestic resource mobilization.\(^{333}\) In 2004, the AU reaffirmed its commitment to
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NEPAD in the Declaration on Employment and Poverty Alleviation in Africa. In this declaration, the AU placed emphasis on employment creation and poverty alleviation and suggested policy reforms to remove structural constraints to entrepreneurship, to promote private-public partnerships, and to encourage corporate social responsibility.

ECOSOC welcomed this effort to develop an African-owned and African-led framework and invited the Secretary-General to initiate a process through which the UN could support the plan. In 2002, NEPAD was supported by the General Assembly in the UN Declaration on the New Partnership for Africa’s Development. In resolution 57/7 (2002), the General Assembly adopted NEPAD as the central framework document for all UN efforts for Africa’s development. In resolution 70/295 (2016), the General Assembly expressed its concerns about the slow recovery of Africa’s economy from the impact of the 2007-2009 world financial and economic crisis. In response, the resolution urges the international community to support measures to eradicate poverty, create jobs and sustainable development, promote the private sector, and fulfill all ODA commitments.

ECOSOC has considered the social dimensions of NEPAD through CSocD annually, beginning in 2004 when CSocD focused on attempts of the UN system to harmonize coordination and efforts around the new framework. In 2007, CSocD began evaluating the progress of NEPAD and urged Member States to increase the involvement of civil society and the private sector in efforts to reform government institutions. Most recently, in 2016, CSocD encouraged African Member States to “prioritize structural transformation, modernize smallholder agriculture, add value to primary commodities, and improve public and private institutions” in accordance with NEPAD’s framework. These assessments and recommendations were adopted by ECOSOC in resolutions 2007/28 and 2016/7, respectively.

The UN Millennium Declaration (2000) influenced the creation of NEPAD through the establishment of the MDGs, which provided tangible targets for measuring success of development efforts. Because many of the MDG targets remained unmet, the UN further renewed its commitment to development through the MDGs in September 2015. Especially relevant for NEPAD are SDGs 1, 2, 5, 8, and 10, which are related to poverty, hunger, health, education, the empowerment of women and gender equality, reduced inequality, and inclusive growth and full employment. To ensure sufficient financing for the implementation of the SDGs, the international community adopted the Addis Ababa Action Agenda (2015), which aims to increase domestic financial resources, such as taxes, FDI, and ODA; reduce trade barriers for exports of developing Member States; and reduce the external debt of developing states.

In the same year, the AU created Agenda 2063 to catalyze development and strengthen African economic integration and political unity. Agenda 2063 serves as a vision of a prosperous and united Africa based on the values of unity,
self-reliance, regional integration, and solidarity. It includes a roadmap for achieving these aspirations by promoting good governance, inclusive growth, and sustainable development.

**Role of the International System**

Within the UN system, CSocD plays a crucial role in supporting development by addressing new and emerging issues that might imperil social development and by advising ECOSOC on how to handle them. In order to stay informed about the state of Africa’s development and emerging challenges, ECOSOC requests the Secretary-General to provide an annual report on the social dimensions of NEPAD. CSocD convenes panel discussions and side events during its sessions that include civil society organizations and representatives of other UN bodies to discuss best practices and receive useful input for its consideration of the topic. CSocD’s annual draft resolutions on the social dimensions of NEPAD assess the input and provide policy recommendations to ECOSOC.

The most central actor in promoting and implementing the NEPAD agenda is the AU, which devised NEPAD as the region’s main development program and integrated it into AU structures and processes. The AU established the NEPAD Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) as its technical body to facilitate and coordinate continent-wide programs and projects. The NEPAD Agency has created four investment programs dedicated to human capital development; industrialization, science, technology and innovation; regional integration, infrastructure and trade; and natural resource governance and food security. The Agency’s budget consists of funding allocated by the AU and Member State contributions, totaling $11 million in 2015. During the AU’s Year of Women’s Empowerment and Development in 2015, the NEPAD Agency supported over half a million African women in areas including business skill development and vocational training. At the institutional level, they strengthened women’s rights organizations with financial support, which led to increased representation and effective participation of women in decision-making processes. The second important aspect of the implementation of NEPAD is the African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM), a self-monitoring mechanism to promote more effective governance that was launched in 2003 by the AU. Its teams include independent experts from the African Development Bank (AfDB), the UN Economic Commission for Africa (ECA), and the UN Development Programme (UNDP), which visit participating Member States to write reports about political, economic, corporate, and socio-economic governance. Its mandate is to ensure that the good governance policies and practices enshrined in NEPAD are met; by 2014, 34 African Member States had voluntarily acceded to the mechanism and 17 of them had been peer-reviewed.

The General Assembly maintains momentum by annually evaluating the implementation of NEPAD and issuing recommendations to support progress. Additionally, the General Assembly proclaimed the Third Industrial Development Decade for Africa (2016-2025), which aims to unify and focus the efforts of the UN system and the AU in furthering sustainable industrialization of the continent. Furthermore, the Assembly encourages the UN Industrial Development Organization to scale up its technical assistance to promote inclusive and sustainable development.
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Inclusive Development

The economies of African Member States grew on average 5% annually over the last decade, which allowed the continent to reduce its development gap relative to other developing states. However, this remarkable growth in momentum was accompanied by rising income inequality, trapping millions of people in poverty. Income inequality not only negatively impacts access to education and health and well-being, but also seriously limits human progress and economic growth. Of all disadvantaged groups, including persons with disabilities, ageing persons, indigenous people, and rural citizens, women are the largest disadvantaged group and still face the greatest obstacles to fully participating in, and benefiting from the growing economy. Only 65% of adult women in sub-Saharan Africa are working, and approximately 74% of these are working in low-yield agriculture and insecure informal employment. Women in many African countries struggle with low educational attainment, limited availability of childcare, and the impact of laws and customs that restrict women’s ability to work outside the home. This gender gap in employment opportunities begins with education, and only 23% of poor rural girls in sub-Saharan Africa complete their primary education. A UNDP report published in 2016 found that gender inequality not only excludes women from benefiting from Africa’s development, but also costs sub-Saharan Africa on average $95 billion per year because the growth potential of women is not utilized. The report concludes that legal reforms that advance women’s empowerment, higher participation of women in decision-making, better maternal health care, and targeted education policies are required to ensure gender equality in employment and more inclusive development.
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Another key to ensuring that development benefits all people is engaging Africa’s youth. Over 50% of Africa’s population is under the age of 18, but at present the majority of them are living in poverty, often meaning that they have to work at an early age instead of furthering their education. In regions at a low stage of development, families demand that their children supplement the household income as soon as the compulsory education period ends, which forces 62% of African youth to forego secondary education. Having obtained only primary education, these young people are left with only poorly paid, low-quality jobs. Nine out of ten working youth in Africa are poor or near poor, meaning that they live on less than $4 per day. More vocational training opportunities and more employment opportunities in high-skilled occupations could incentivize young people to remain in education.

**Decent and Productive Employment**

The creation of decent and productive employment that “delivers a fair income, security in the workplace, and social protection for families” is key to translating Africa’s impressive economic growth into social development. In 2014, the youth unemployment rate in North Africa was 30.6%, which deprives their states of their economic potential and results in high poverty rates. Furthermore, unemployment can cause political instability and rebellions due to youth dissatisfaction with government. In addition to a lack of employment opportunities for youth, Africa’s labor force is expected to grow rapidly due to population growth on the continent, requiring the creation of 18 million new jobs every year until 2035. The economies of many African states are obstructed by an overreliance on basic agriculture and primary commodities, which are characterized by informal and low paid employment with poor working conditions. A shift towards low-technology, labor-intensive manufacturing industries supported by diversification and industrialization can improve the quantity and quality of jobs, as well as mitigate the impact of external shocks such as falling commodity prices. One example of the success of industrialization policies is the Motor Industry Development Plan (MIDP) implemented in South Africa. MIDP incentivized global automotive companies to build car factories in the country by offering them a rebate on duties for imports and a 20% reimbursement on investments in productive assets. The industry generated by MIDP created close to 100,000 well-paid and stable jobs in the industry and now contributes 6% to South Africa’s GDP.

Governments can complement these macroeconomic policies by developing active labor market policies that enhance the employability of disadvantaged groups, such as job search programs. Vocational training is another way of enabling individuals to increase their employability and their share of the profit on their work. As part of the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme, the NEPAD Agency provides training in cooperation with local governments for young entrepreneurs and women in six African Member States; the program gives trainees the skills to produce high-quality agricultural products tailored to global demand instead of producing low-value basic agricultural products. Although these programs yield success, more efforts on a broad scale are required. Another project of the Agency established easy access to microcredit services via mobile banking for
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10,500 women in very remote areas of the Gambia, allowing them to invest in starting their own businesses.\textsuperscript{403} While this initiative is significant, more effort is required; four out of five African women lack access to an account at a formal financial institution, compared to only one out of four men.\textsuperscript{404}

Governance reform and a supportive institutional environment are needed to enable the private sector to create jobs.\textsuperscript{405} In its country reports the APRM identifies obstacles such as extensive regulation, lacking institutional capacity, or corruption that limit the private sector’s ability to contribute to economic development.\textsuperscript{406} The APRM also reviews democratization because policy decisions that are based on competitive elections are more transparent and inclusive of all people, but so far only 17 Member States have submitted enough information to be peer-reviewed.\textsuperscript{407} Despite recurrent pledges by African governments to support democratization, only 12\% of African Member States are classified in the Freedom House Index as “free” while 39\% are “not free.”\textsuperscript{408} Botswana, for example, demonstrated how natural resources can benefit all people instead of a corrupt few if transparent and democratic institutions are in place.\textsuperscript{409} The country invested in higher value-added activities in its diamond sector, which created jobs for the country’s youth, generated an extra $6 billion of diamond sales for its economy, and generated enough taxes to fund free schooling for all to the age of 13.\textsuperscript{410}

\textbf{Transport, Energy, Water, and Communication Infrastructure}

Poor infrastructure hinders social development in several ways. Two thirds of the rural population in Africa live more than two kilometers away from an all-season road, which presents significant obstacles for these populations to participate in the growing economy of their country.\textsuperscript{411} Regular electricity shortages threaten industrialization by interfering with factory production, as well as interrupting services in schools and hospitals; this keeps 630 million Africans in energy poverty and endangers their health due to indoor smoke caused by burning wood or coal.\textsuperscript{412} Investing in infrastructure could create 2.5 million jobs and raise Africa’s GDP by 2\%, but African Member States often struggle to finance these projects.\textsuperscript{413} Additional investments of $93 billion a year are needed to close existing gaps in Africa’s infrastructure needs.\textsuperscript{414} In addition to a lack of funding, major challenges include political instability in fragile states, monopolists who benefit from shortages, structural problems such as difficult terrain or remoteness of inhabited areas, and lacking the expertise required to construct complex technology.\textsuperscript{415}

The Presidential Infrastructure Champion Initiative of the AU aims to accelerate regional infrastructure and close bottlenecks.\textsuperscript{416} Recent priority projects include the construction of the missing 125 km of the Trans-Sahara Highway and a fiber optic project connecting all five capital cities of the East African Community Member States.\textsuperscript{417} The Sustainable Energy for All (SE4All) program initiated by the Secretary-General pursues a more decentralized approach; for example, it provides technical assistance to Green Mini-Grids developers who invest in off-grid solar home systems and communal mini-grids.\textsuperscript{418} Investment in all kinds of infrastructure is needed to remove obstacles to development of remote areas, further the economic integration of Africa, and reduce poverty.\textsuperscript{419}
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International Cooperation

Although increased efforts of African governments are paramount for social development, the continent still requires reliable and adequate support from its development partners. From 2013 to 2014, ODA from members of the Development Assistance Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development to Africa fell by 5% to $28 billion. This decline is especially concerning because ODA constitutes two thirds of external financing for Africa’s 34 least developed countries and the current ODA commitments already fall short of the pledge these Member States made in 1978 to increase their ODA to at least 0.7% of GDP. FDI can provide another powerful source of investment but many multinational enterprises are concerned about political or economic instability in African Member States. Developed Member States can contribute by incentivizing their companies to scale up FDI in Africa by providing investment insurance mechanisms. The Grow Africa Partnership can act as a role model in this regard: 210 companies have committed to invest more than $10 billion in African agriculture and thereby created new and stable jobs. Trade barriers in developed Member States, especially for agricultural products, hinder African producers from selling their agricultural products in the markets of Europe and North America, which would allow them to move up in the production chain and generate more jobs in the agribusiness of African Member States. The Doha round of negotiations of the World Trade Organization provides a key opportunity to reshape trade regulations so that they spur development in Africa.

Conclusion

In order to fully accomplish the SDGs on the continent and make the 21st century an African century, it is essential to keep people at the center of all development efforts. The impressive GDP growth rates of the past decade in Africa will not contribute to tangible social development unless they are accompanied by policies that reduce inequality and guarantee inclusion of all Africans in the booming economy. Investment in education and vocational training can unlock the potential of Africa’s youth to set up new businesses or become skilled laborers. Industrialization, investments in infrastructure, and governance reforms are fundamental to providing decent and productive employment. The international community is key in supporting development efforts by providing adequate funding via ODA, FDI, and external debt relief. The relative ineffectiveness of UNPAAERD and UNNADAF has demonstrated that commitment of Africa’s leaders and active involvement of developed Member States are prerequisites for the success of NEPAD. CSocD regularly reviews the NEPAD process and thus provides an excellent forum for sharing best practices and developing new ideas in improving social development in Africa.

Further Research

Delegates should concentrate their research on how CSocD can provide policy guidance to Member States to strengthen social development in Africa. How can educational programs be targeted towards the most disadvantaged groups, including women and rural populations? How can governments initiate industrialization without creating inefficient and bureaucratic public administration? How can the effectiveness of the APRM be improved? Which policies and institutions provide the best environment for a thriving private sector? What are the bottlenecks of regional infrastructure and what is the best approach to ensure access to transportation, energy, water, and information infrastructure for all Africans, and how can the international community assist African countries?
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This report by the AfDB serves as an excellent source for economic facts and figures through which to analyze Africa’s development. It is divided into two parts, the first addressing Africa’s performance and prospects and the second focusing on sustainable cities and structural transformation. Of special interest is Chapter 4, which looks into human development in Africa and how it must be addressed to reduce inequality and exclusion. The chapter explains why social development and reduced inequality is essential for sustainable economic growth. This resource is of special value for delegates that are interested in investigating the challenge of turning African cities into sustainable centers of social development.


The APRM is one essential pillar of NEPAD. It ensures that policies enacted by African governments conform to the agreed principles of NEPAD. The annual report of the APRM Secretariat includes a short FAQ section to explain how the mechanism works in practice. It also provides summaries of the progress in the participating countries and recent APRM activities. Delegates who seek options to improve governance in Africa should use this report to develop improvements to the mechanism.


Agenda 2063 is the new planning framework of the AU to coordinate and mainstream its development efforts. Additionally, it is meant to rekindle the passion for Pan-Africanism, a sense of African unity, self-reliance, integration, and solidarity. Delegates will find this relevant because NEPAD will be a central part of Agenda 2063 and therefore fully integrated into the AU system.


This study provides delegates with an independent and critical evaluation of NEPAD. The analysis is divided into evaluations of political, sociotechnological, and economic initiatives. The author identifies poor regional leadership, poor finances, and dysfunctional institutions as main determinants of disappointing results. In order to overcome these obstacles, the author suggests improving Africa’s institutions and deepening regional integration.


The NEPAD Planning and Coordinating Agency is the implementing agency of the AU and is responsible for continent-wide programs and projects. Its website provides a great summary of the topic, recent developments, and relevant documents. Additionally, it gives an overview of what actual NEPAD-focused programs and projects look like and what challenges they face. A very well structured section titled “Our Work” introduces many different initiatives in the areas of governance, infrastructure, industrialization, and human capital development. Each initiative is summarized and briefly evaluated.


Delegates interested in the idea behind, and the history of, NEPAD and its development since it was initiated in the early 2000s should read this report. The first chapter summarizes why NEPAD was necessary. The second chapter provides an historic overview of the program. The third chapter goes into detail on the issues addressed by NEPAD such as engaging Africa’s youth and empowerment of women. Of special relevance is chapter six, which outlines challenges and opportunities for the next decade.

This is the most recent resolution adopted by ECOSOC upon suggestion of CSocD on the topic. The resolution of the fifty-fourth session presents both a good example of typical CSocD resolutions and what issues are of concern for CSocD. Furthermore, the resolution will provide delegates with an understanding of recent actions and remaining questions that should be addressed. The resolution highlights the progress made by African governments and welcomes recent developments such as the adoption of Agenda 2063. It also points out short-comings, such as the need to accelerate Africa’s industrialization and the importance of maternal and child health as a precondition, an indicator, and an outcome of sustainable development.


The most recent report of the Secretary-General to CSocD on the topic provides an excellent and up-to-date overview of the progress and remaining challenges of NEPAD. Since it builds on the work of the UN Secretariat, the report can be seen as a very reliable resource. In the report, he Secretary-General informs about recent events such as the Ebola outbreak and their consequences on social development. Furthermore, he addresses in a very comprehensive way all aspects of the topic such as rising inequality, inclusive development, and gaps in financing NEPAD.


This letter to the General Assembly contains the fundamental document that established the topic in the UN system. Attached is the full text of NEPAD. Since it is the fundamental document of the NEPAD program, it is a valuable source to understand the origins and objectives of NEPAD. The text outlines the conditions for sustainable development and emphasizes the pledge of African leaders to ensure peace, security, democracy, and good governance. It then identifies investment in infrastructure, human resource development, agriculture, environment, culture, and science and technology as priorities for development efforts.


This is the latest consideration of the implementation of NEPAD by the General Assembly. The General Assembly reaffirmed its full support for the program and acclaimed the AU’s Agenda 2063. It expressed concern over the adverse impact of the world financial and economic crisis and the slow recovery. Furthermore, the General Assembly urged the international community to scale up its support for the efforts of African states in implementing NEPAD by increasing ODA, FDI, debt relief, openness to African exports, and technology transfers.
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