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Dear Delegates,

We are pleased to welcome you to the 2014 National Model United Nations in New York (NMUN•NY) Conference! This year’s Economic and Social Council Plenary staff is: Directors Monika Milinauskyte (Conference A) and Allison Chandler (Conference B), and Assistant Directors Anne Bergren (Conference A) and Pauline Marcou (Conference B). Monika recently completed her MSc in international development and humanitarian emergencies from the London School of Economics and currently works as a research ethics consultant at the social enterprise, Marie Stopes International, in London. She is excited to return for her fourth year on staff at NMUN•NY. Allison received her JD from Fordham University School of Law and works as a human rights researcher. This is her fourth year on staff at NMUN•NY. Anne is earning her MA in International Affairs at the Elliott School of International Affairs of George Washington University. She participated in the NMUN Conference twice as a delegate and looks forward to her third year on staff. Pauline is a Master’s candidate at the Yale Jackson Institute for Global Affairs, working on environment and climate change. This is her second year on staff at NMUN•NY.

The topics under discussion for ECOSOC are:

I. Promoting Rule of Law to Facilitate Economic and Social Development

II. Strengthening Global Progress Toward Sustainable Development Through Innovation in Science and Technology

III. Assessing the Post-2015 Development Agenda: Addressing the Challenges in Monitoring and Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The Economic and Social Council Plenary plays a unique role within the United Nations, as a primary organ tasked with discussing crosscutting issues related to development, cooperation, and international standard setting. In addressing these issues, ECOSOC may initiate studies, call international conferences, submit draft conventions to the General Assembly, and make recommendations. Overseeing specialized agencies, functional commissions, and regional commissions, ECOSOC’s mandate allows it the responsibility to address problems in a way that other bodies cannot.

We hope you will find this Background Guide useful as it serves to introduce you to the topics for this conference. It is not meant to replace further research and we highly encourage you explore in-depth your countries’ policies as well as use the Annotated Bibliography and Bibliography to further your knowledge on these topics. In preparation of the conference, each delegation will be submitting a position paper. Please refer to the following pages for details regarding the position paper submission process. Please take note of the NMUN policies on the website and in the Delegate Preparation Guide regarding plagiarism, codes of conduct/dress code/sexual harassment, awards philosophy/evaluation method, etc. Adherence to these guidelines is mandatory.

If you have any questions concerning your preparation for the Committee or the Conference itself, feel free to contact the substantive staff listed below or the Under-Secretaries-General for the ECOSOC, Sasha Sleiman (Conference A) and Yvonne Jeffery (Conference B). You can reach either USG by contacting them at: usg.ecosoc@nmun.org.

We wish you all the best for your preparation for the Conference and look forward to seeing you at the conference!

Sincerely,

Conference A
Monika Milinauskyte, Director
Anne Bergren, Assistant Director
ecosoc.nya@nmun.org

Conference B
Allison Chandler, Director
Pauline Marcou, Assistant Director
ecosoc.nyb@nmun.org

The NCCA/NMUN is a Non-Governmental Organization associated with the United Nations Department of Public Information and a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization of the United States.
NMUN•NY Position Papers Guidelines
Due 1 March 2014

Each committee topic should be addressed in a succinct policy statement representing the relevant views of your assigned country, Non-Governmental Organization (NGO), or expert role. You should identify and address international and regional conventions, treaties, declarations, resolutions, and programs of action that are relevant to the policy of your country or NGO. You should also include recommendations for action to be taken by your committee. A delegate’s role as a Member State, Observer State, or NGO should affect the way a position paper is written. To understand these differences, please refer to the Delegate Preparation Guide. It may also be helpful to view a Sample Position Paper.

A position paper should be submitted for each assigned committee.
- The two page position paper should cover all the topics in the background guide, not a separate paper for each topic.
- Do not submit papers for committees not assigned to your country/NGO (see matrix for Conf_A or Conf_B).
- No more than two delegates can represent a single country/NGO in a committee. If you assign two delegates to represent a country/NGO on a committee, they submit one position paper jointly, not separate position papers from each individual.

Please pay careful attention to the following guidelines when drafting and submitting your position papers. Only those delegations that follow the guidelines and meet the submission deadline will be eligible for position paper awards.

All papers must be typed and formatted according to the standards below:
- Length must not exceed two pages
- Margins must be set at 1 inch or 2.54 cm. for the whole paper
- Font must be Times New Roman sized between 10 pt. and 12 pt.
- Country/NGO name, school name, and committee name must be clearly labeled on the first page
- Agenda topics must be clearly labeled in separate sections
- National symbols (headers, flags, etc.) are deemed inappropriate for NMUN position papers

Please note that position papers must be comprised of entirely original writing. The NMUN Conference will not tolerate plagiarism, including copying from Committee Background Guides. Violation of this policy may result in dismissal from the conference. Although United Nations documentation is considered within the public domain, the conference does not allow the verbatim re-creation of these documents.

How to Submit Your Position Papers

Position papers need to be submitted by email in .pdf or .doc formats. As proof of submission, include yourself as an email recipient. Please use the committee name, your assignment, Conference A or B, and delegation/school name in both the email subject line and in the filename (example: GA1_Cuba_Conf A_State College).

1. Send one complete set of all position papers for each of your country/NGO assignments to the Deputy Secretary-General for the conference you are attending:
   - Conference A: positionpapers.nya@nmun.org
   - Conference B: positionpapers.nyb@nmun.org

2. Send a copy of your position paper for each assigned committee to the corresponding committee email address listed on the Committee Background Guides page.

Your delegation may wish to submit a copy of their position papers to the permanent mission of the country/NGO headquarters along with an explanation of the conference. This is encouraged if requesting a briefing.

Many, many papers will be read by the Secretariat. Your patience and cooperation in adhering to the above guidelines is greatly appreciated.
### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Annual Ministerial Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APCTT</td>
<td>Asian and Pacific Center for Transfer of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIC</td>
<td>Based Industries Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil society organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSTD</td>
<td>Commission on Science and Technology for Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCF</td>
<td>Development Cooperation Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESA</td>
<td>Department of Economic and Social Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPA</td>
<td>Department of Political Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPKO</td>
<td>Department of Peacekeeping Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSD</td>
<td>Division for Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECA</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECE</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECLAC</td>
<td>Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOSOC</td>
<td>Economic and Social Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCAP</td>
<td>Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCWA</td>
<td>Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAASTD</td>
<td>International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information and communication technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDLO</td>
<td>International Development Law Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IISD</td>
<td>International Institute for Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTIC</td>
<td>International Center for South-South cooperation in Science, Technology and Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITU</td>
<td>International Telecommunication Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPOI</td>
<td>Johannesburg Plan of Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDG</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER</td>
<td>Open Educational Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHCHR</td>
<td>Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLA</td>
<td>Office of Legal Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWG</td>
<td>Open Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public-private partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECAP</td>
<td>Renewable Energy Cooperation-Network for the Asia-Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Security Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable development goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSN</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Solutions Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAMP</td>
<td>Sustainability Assessment and Measurement Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>Science, technology and innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIR</td>
<td>Technology and Innovation Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCED</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (Rio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCSD</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCTAD</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Trade and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNGD</td>
<td>United Nations Development Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNODC</td>
<td>United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCED</td>
<td>World Commission on Environment and Development (Brundtland Commission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPO</td>
<td>World Intellectual Property Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSIS</td>
<td>World Summit on the Information Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Committee History

Introduction

The *Charter of the United Nations* was signed by 51 founding Member States on 26 June 1946 and officially entered into force on 24 October 1946. Chapter X of the Charter established the United Nations (UN) Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) as a founding body and a Principal Organ alongside the General Assembly (GA), the Security Council (SC), the International Court of Justice, the Secretariat, and the Trusteeship Council. As membership in the UN multiplied throughout the 1960s and 70s, so did the membership of ECOSOC. To reflect these changes, Article 61 of the Charter was amended twice, in 1965 and 1973, increasing membership from 18 to 27, and then 27 to 54 respectively.

Due to ECOSOC’s overarching mandate, its functions are not as widely known to the public as that of the General Assembly or the Security Council; however, ECOSOC indirectly has purview over around 70% of UN resources through its oversight of fourteen specialized agencies and thirteen functional and regional commissions. For these reasons, ECOSOC Plenary’s membership is highly sought after giving its central coordinating work of dozens of entities within the UN system.

The following sections of the ECOSOC Committee History will explain ECOSOC’s mandate and power structure, discuss its responsibilities and interactions with other entities, take an in-depth look at the New Functions of the Council, and conclude with questions to guide further research into this foundational UN body.

Mandate

The mandate of the Council is broad and encompasses many of the UN system’s key priorities. Article 62 of the Charter states that ECOSOC “may make or initiate studies and reports with respect to international economic, social, cultural, educational, health, and related matters and may make recommendations with respect to any such matters to the General Assembly to the Members of the United Nations, and to the specialized agencies concerned.”

The Council’s purpose within the UN, as laid out in Chapter X of the Charter, Articles 62-66, is to act as a mediator between UN entities and as a forum for deliberations concerning the improvement of these entities’ operations. ECOSOC further calls attention to pressing issues relating to economic and social development, health, education, and culture by making policy recommendations to other UN bodies, like the General Assembly, by initiating and conducting studies and by calling for international conferences.

In an effort to refocus the Council and make it more responsive and action-oriented, the outcome of the 2005 World Summit established two additional mechanisms, the Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) and the Development Cooperation Forum, with the goal of enhancing the coherence and effectiveness of the way in which the United Nations reviews and advances development-focused policies.

Governance, Structure and Membership

As a medium-sized body, ECOSOC Plenary is composed of 54 members, each elected by the General Assembly for overlapping three-year terms with the chance of immediate reelection. Membership is divided regionally with fourteen positions for African states, eleven for Asian states, six for Eastern European states, ten for Latin American countries, and fourteen for Latin American countries.

---

3 United Nations, *Main Bodies* [Website], 2013.
4 *Charter of the United Nations*, 1945, Ch. X.
7 United Nations, *Charter of the United Nations*, 1945, Ch. X.
10 Ibid.
11 *Charter of the United Nations*, 1945, Ch. X.
and Caribbean states and thirteen to European and other states. Each member has one representative and one vote in the Council. All decisions are made by a simple majority of those members present and voting.

The Council typically holds two annual sessions, consisting of an organizational session when elections to the Bureau take place, and a substantive session. The Bureau provides a leadership role within ECOSOC. It consists of five representatives, the President and four Vice-Presidents, who are elected to one-year terms at the outset of each annual session by the entire fifty-four member Council. The Bureau assumes responsibility for setting the Council’s agenda, devising an action plan, and collaborating with the Secretariat on administrative duties. Bureau membership rotates equally among regional blocs. The current President of ECOSOC Plenary is Mr. Néstor Osorio, who became the Permanent Representative of Colombia to the United Nations in November 2010; he became President of ECOSOC Plenary on 28 January 2013.

Functions and Powers

ECOSOC is mandated to serve as a forum for discussion on economic, social, cultural, educational, and health related topics while also assisting other UN entities in shaping their programs in these areas. While the Security Council and General Assembly address topics within their mandates by drafting and passing resolutions, ECOSOC provides the vital framework for discussion of social, economic and humanitarian issues as well as “commissions, studies, writes reports, and makes policy recommendations to the General Assembly and other parts of the UN.” Articles 62-66 of the Charter call for ECOSOC Plenary to coordinate the activities of specialized agencies, the General Assembly and UN Member States by requesting reports or making recommendations on how these bodies can better achieve ECOSOC’s mandate. In turn, these bodies along with the Security Council, may request that ECOSOC carries out functions on their behalf. ECOSOC was the first body within the UN system that gave voice to non-governmental organizations (NGOs) by allowing them to participate in deliberations through consultative status. After an NGO is granted consultative status by the ECOSOC Committee on NGOs, its representatives may attend UN summits and preparatory meetings. Today, 3,400 NGOs hold consultative status with the Council.

At the 2005 World Summit, Heads of State sought to make ECOSOC more effective by adopting resolution 60/1 which assigned the Council two new functions: the Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) and the biennial Development Cooperation Forum (DCF). The purpose of the DCF is to facilitate consultation processes among development actors by providing policy reviews and recommendations. These recommendations are aimed at strengthening the relationships between various partners to increase cooperation in international development efforts. The AMR is composed of a thematic review and voluntary Member States presentations that assess UN progress towards the realization of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Each year, the AMR focuses on one part of the United Nations “development agenda” such as poverty eradication, for example, all of which fall

---

12 United Nations, ECOSOC Members [Website].
13 Charter of the United Nations, 1945, Ch. X.
14 Ibid.
16 United Nations, ECOSOC Bureau [Website], 2013.
17 Ibid.
19 United Nations, President [Website].
21 Ibid., p. 76.
22 Charter of the United Nations, 1945, Ch. X.
23 Ibid.
24 United Nations, NGO Branch Department of Economic and Social Affairs [Website].
25 Ibid.
26 Ibid.
27 UN General Assembly, 2005 World Summit Outcome (A/RES/60/1), 2005.
28 United Nations, Key Functions of ECOSOC [Website].
29 Ibid.
30 Ibid.
within the ECOSOC mandate.\textsuperscript{31} The AMR speeds up progress on these agenda items by bringing together leading experts and policy makers to discuss and make progress on policy implementation.\textsuperscript{32}

Recent Sessions

The Council’s mandate stretches across the sectors of health, education, culture and development, making it especially important for the body to narrow its focus each year by converging on sub-topics or themes taken from the MDGs.\textsuperscript{33} Looking at the High-level Segment Programme for the Annual ECOSOC Substantive Session gives a clear picture of ECOSOC’s current agenda. The Council devotes much of the year to preparing for the AMR and thematic discussion, both prominent agenda items in the High-Level segment.\textsuperscript{34} The title of the 2013 AMR was “Science, technology and innovation (STI), and the potential of culture, for promoting sustainable development and achieving the MDGs.”\textsuperscript{35} By focusing on STI, ECOSOC seeks to achieve global sustainable development and the implementation of MDGs, and to encourage national and international actors to infuse their resources into the expansion of STI.\textsuperscript{36}

While the MDGs have guided the work of the Council and other UN bodies since their inception at the Millennium Summit in 2000, the 2015 deadline approaches and a new framework is needed to continue combating poverty, strengthening education, ensuring gender equality, promoting health, environmental sustainability and fostering a global partnership for development.\textsuperscript{37} In anticipation, ECOSOC has committed to taking a leading role in the evolution and execution of the post-2015 development agenda. In fact, it was the thematic discussion topic at the ECOSOC’s Substantive Session, held in July 2013 in Geneva, Switzerland.\textsuperscript{38} ECOSOC attempts to extend the agenda beyond the MDGs to sustainable development in its fourth biannual DCF (July 2014).\textsuperscript{39} Through DCF, international representatives from government, academia and civil society are currently collaborating to define a new global development partnership and a system for overseeing it in the post-MDG world.\textsuperscript{40}

Conclusion

The Economic and Social Council plays a key role in coordinating the expansive UN system. Although the work of the Council is not widely known on the world stage, due to its broad mandate and deliberative nature, membership is highly sought after as it is the UN’s premier platform on economic and social issues.\textsuperscript{41} At the 2013 Substantive Session, which took place July 1-26 in Geneva, Switzerland, ECOSOC members along with premier experts and policy makers from civil society discussed the role of science, technology, innovation, and culture in reaching the MDGs by 2015. They also projected how these fields could be applied to the post-2015 development agenda. While researching ECOSOC, it is important to become familiar with the Council’s mandate and to take advantage of its breadth when formulating resolutions. ECOSOC members have the unique opportunity to work with dozens of agencies, commissions, and civil society actors, tackling an almost endless variety of the world’s most pressing problems.

\textsuperscript{31} United Nations, AMR FAQs [Website].  
\textsuperscript{32} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{33} United Nations, About ECOSOC [Website].  
\textsuperscript{34} United Nations, High-Level Segment [Website].  
\textsuperscript{35} United Nations, 2013 Annual Ministerial Review [Website].  
\textsuperscript{36} United Nations, Science, technology and innovation [Website].  
\textsuperscript{37} United Nations, Millennium Development Goals: Background [Website].  
\textsuperscript{38} United Nations, Millennium Development Goals and post-2015 Development Agenda [Website].  
\textsuperscript{39} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{40} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{41} United Nations, Economic and Social Council [Website].
Annotated Bibliography


This book is an excellent introduction to the United Nations system as a whole, covering everything from the way in which the UN was established, its founding documents and principle organs then continues on to peacekeeping and how the organization addresses international security, climate change and human rights. The section Fasulo dedicates to ECOSOC is small but includes a truly “insider” opinion of the Council. The author of the book is American and regularly highlights the role of the United States and the position of the United States in relation to the information she is providing. While this does not discredit the book, the reader should keep in mind the limitations that this entails.


The Charter of the United Nations is the foundational document of the UN. It lays out the mandate and structure of not only the Economic and Social Council but also the remaining five principle organs. Member States are obliged to uphold the Charter’s articles and are to hold these articles above all other treaties. It is an essential starting point in researching the UN. Chapter X, Articles 61-72, of the Charter describes ECOSOC’s composition, functions and powers, voting, and rules of procedure.


The 2005 World Summit meeting was held as a follow-up to the 2000 Millennium Summit which produced the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The purpose of the 2005 summit was to assess global progress on the MDGs and to tackle reform of the UN. Arguably, the most enduring results of the summit were the establishment of a new Human Rights Council, a Peacebuilding Commission and the adoption of the “Responsibility to Protect” (R2P). The outcome also assigned New Functions to ECOSOC, including the Annual Ministerial Review and the DCF. Critics of the resolution highlight the document’s diluted language and its inability to produce tangible progress towards the realization of the MDGs and UN reform. Nevertheless, the resolution is significant and changed the operations of many UN bodies.


In 2013, the ECOSOC chose to focus its AMR on how science, technology and innovation and culture can be used to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and sustainable development. This advanced report by the Secretary-General provides readers with an in-depth look into ECOSOC’s current work. It also offers myriad policy ideas for delegates to reference as they address Topic I in the ECOSOC Plenary.


Delegates may be familiar with rules of procedure as they apply to the General Assembly but few know that ECOSOC follows a unique set of its own rules. This document lays out a detailed explanation of ECOSOC sessions, its programme of work, agenda setting, voting procedures, the structure of the Bureau and member representation as well as ECOSOC’s relationships with other organs and bodies. Familiarity with these concepts will guide delegates’ actions in committee and give them a solid foundation on the workings of the Council. It is important to note that these rules of procedure differ slightly from the rules of procedure that will be used in NMUN-NY.
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I. Promoting the Rule of Law to Facilitate Economic and Social Development

Introduction

Promoting the rule of law is at the heart of the United Nations’ (UN) mandate to support international peace and security, promote economic and social development, and protect human rights. Over time, the emphasis on the rule of law has shifted from a focus on international peace and security and protection of human rights to the concept that an effective legal framework can support economic and social development, poverty eradication, and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals. Effective promotion of the rule of law may provide the necessary environment to support business and entrepreneurship, encourage financial investments, and allow for equitable and sustainable growth. At the same time, these activities support the achievement of social development objectives such as improving access to justice, eliminating gender inequalities, and addressing the needs of marginalized populations. This topic will address the role of the United Nations in promoting the rule of law and how these programs can support the broader development agenda and goals of economic and social development.

International Framework

The rule of law encompasses a range of issues, involving both international commitments and standards, and domestic institutions regarding government accountability and judicial processes. At the national level, the concept of the rule of law is, therefore, not a precise form of government, but rather a system which incorporates four main principles: government accountability; clear and publicized laws that are equally applied; fair and accessible processes for enacting and enforcing laws; and a neutral, independent justice system. At the international level, the rule of law emphasizes recognition of and adherence to international law, respect for sovereign equality, protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms, and resolution of disputes by peaceful means.

The UN first established a system-wide definition for the rule of law in the report of the Secretary-General on Transitional justice in conflict and post-conflict societies (S/2004/616) which defines the rule of law as “a principle of governance in which all persons, institutions and entities, public and private, including the State itself, are accountable to laws that are publicly promulgated, equally enforced and independently adjudicated, and which are consistent with international human rights norms and standards.” The Secretary-General’s report also defines the concept of justice as “an ideal of accountability and fairness in the protection and vindication of rights and the prevention of punishment of wrongs,” which can incorporate both formal judicial mechanisms and traditional dispute resolution mechanisms. Rule of law promotion is, therefore, founded on the framework of the international legal system, including human rights law, humanitarian law, criminal law, and refugee law, as well as the human rights and criminal justice standards articulated by the United Nations. However, while this provides a broad framework of rule of law and good governance concepts, any national system must take into account the legitimacy of the domestic legal system, address local political contexts, and accommodate traditional legal traditions.

The development agenda has further highlighted the importance of the rule of law and good governance reforms towards achieving economic and social development goals. In the Millennium Declaration (A/RES/55/2), which forms the basis of the development agenda through 2015, Member States committed to “strengthen respect for the rule of law in international as in national affairs.” The Millennium Declaration (A/RES/55/2) further recognizes that not only is the rule of law an important objective in its own right, but will also create an environment more

---

43 UN Commission on Legal Empowerment of the Poor, Making the Law Work for Everyone, 2008.
44 Ibid.
47 UN Rule of Law Unit, What is the rule of law? [Website].
49 Ibid., para. 7.
50 Ibid., para. 9.
51 Ibid., para 10.
52 UN General Assembly, UN Millennium Declaration (A/RES/55/2), 2000, para. 9.
conducive to development, and will be essential to meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Good governance and rule of law reforms are specifically recognized in Millennium Development Goal 8, “Develop a Global Partnership for Development,” with Member States committing in Target 12 to “develop further an open, rule-based, predictable, non-discriminatory trading and financial system (includes a commitment to good governance, development, and poverty reduction, both nationally and internationally).” The importance of rule of law was reemphasized in the Secretary-General’s report, In Larger Freedom (A/59/2005), which specifically recognized the need to coordinate development aid with national governance reform:

“However well-crafted on paper, investment strategies to achieve the Millennium Development Goals will not work in practice unless supported by States with transparent, accountable systems of governance, grounded in the rule of law, encompassing civil and political as well as economic and social rights, and underpinned by accountable and efficient public administration. […] Without good governance, strong institutions and a clear commitment to rooting out corruption and mismanagement wherever it is found, broader progress will prove elusive.”

This approach has been similarly incorporated into the World Summits in 2005 and 2010, assessing progress on the MDGs. In the 2005 World Summit Outcome (A/RES/60/1), Member States reaffirmed a commitment to good governance and the rule of law, both as a goal and as a means to achieve the development agenda. In particular, this included a common commitment to fight corruption at all levels and to “create a domestic environment conducive to attracting investments through, inter alia, achieving a transparent, stable and predictable investment climate with proper contract enforcement and respect for property rights and the rule of law, and pursuing regulatory frameworks that encourage business formation.” The 2010 World Summit outcome document similarly focused on the importance of good governance and the rule of law, and incorporated these goals into the action plan for achieving the MDGs. As the international community looks towards the post-2015 development agenda, it will be critical to incorporate considerations about the rule of law into the framework and plan of action.

**Role of the United Nations System**

Since 2007, the UN has coordinated all rule of law activities through the Rule of Law Coordination and Resource Group, which is supported by the Rule of Law Unit. The Coordination and Resource Group brings together the principal UN agencies and departments involved in rule of law activities, including the Department of Political Affairs (DPA), the Department of Peacekeeping Operations (DPKO), Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), Office of Legal Affairs (OLA), Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN-Women), and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). Rather than direct implementation of rule of law activities, the Group works together to support all UN entities in operationalizing rule of law activities through coordination, eliminating fragmentation and duplication, and providing policy direction across the main thematic areas, such as justice, security, prison and penal reform, legal reform, constitution-making, and transitional justice. To date, the Rule of Law Coordination and Resource Group has developed six guidance notes for the Secretary-General to streamline the United Nations’ approaches to rule of law assistance, justice for children, constitution-making processes, transitional justice, statelessness, and strengthening the rule of law at the international level. Through these agencies, the UN is providing rule of law assistance in nearly 150 Member
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States, focusing on a broad range of issues, including development, peacebuilding, conflict, and security. While some of these initiatives highlight the international rule of law, increasingly these activities highlight the development of a strong national framework for the rule of law by supporting constitution-making, electoral support, national legal framework, building institutions of justice, governance, security, and human rights, transitional justice, and empowering individuals and civil society to promote accountability.

Traditionally, discussions of the rule of law have focused within public international law, human rights, criminal law, and transitional justice. In the context of international peace and security, the Security Council has held a number of debates on justice and the rule of law, post-conflict national reconciliation, maintenance of international peace and security, and strengthening international law. Through these debates and thematic resolutions, the Security Council has recognized the importance of strengthening the international legal framework and institutions to support the rule of law to contribute to international peace and security. Since 2006, the Secretary-General has presented an annual report to the General Assembly (GA) on the rule of law at the national and international levels, forming the basis for its annual agenda item. These reports have sought to provide an inventory of United Nations support for the rule of law, highlight the cross-cutting relevance of rule of law to all UN activities, and address ways to strengthen support to Member States. In its annual resolution on the rule of law, the General Assembly has increasingly recognized the interconnectedness between the rule of law and economic and social development and has called upon the UN and Member States to incorporate the rule of law, justice, and good governance into their activities.

On 24 September 2012, the General Assembly held the first ever “High-level Meeting on the Rule of Law at the National and International Levels,” bringing together Member States, non-governmental organizations, and civil society. In preparation for the High-level Meeting, the Secretary-General submitted a report on strengthening the rule of law to the General Assembly in March 2012, which called upon Member States to create a program of action for the rule of law, consider a process to develop rule of law goals, and to undertake individual pledges related to the rule of law. Speaking at the High-level Meeting, a representative of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) identified the linkages between national rule of law and economic development, through enforcement of contract and property rights and the legal security to promote
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entrepreneurship, investment and job creation, calling for local commercial law reform addressing both the laws and local capacity to enforce those laws.\textsuperscript{73} In the 2012 Declaration of the High-level Meeting of the General Assembly on the Rule of Law at the National and International Levels, Member States reaffirmed the importance of a collective response to political, social and economic transformations which is built around the rule of law.\textsuperscript{74} In particular, the Declaration highlights the relationship between rule of law and development, noting that “the advancement of the rule of law at the national and international levels is essential for sustained and inclusive economic growth, sustainable development, the eradication of poverty and hunger, and the full realization of all human rights and fundamental freedoms, including the right to development.”\textsuperscript{75} The Declaration further recognizes “the importance of fair, stable and predictable legal frameworks for generating inclusive, sustainable and equitable development, economic growth and employment, generating investment and entrepreneurship,” as well as key principles of good governance, effective and equitable public services, independent judicial systems, and access to justice.\textsuperscript{76} This Declaration marks a renewed commitment to including rule of law reforms in promoting economic and social development across Member States.

Increasingly, the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) has incorporated the rule of law into its work, recognizing its role in coordination, policy review, and policy dialogue and guiding the implementation of the development goals.\textsuperscript{77} As the central forum for economic and social issues, ECOSOC has identified annual agenda items that incorporate the rule of law and governance reforms in the context of economic and social development. In recent sessions, ECOSOC has considered rule of law through criminal justice reforms, access to justice and legal aid and guidelines on justice involving children.\textsuperscript{78} In addition, ECOSOC also reviews the work of subsidiary bodies addressing different aspects of rule of law and governance issues, including the Committee of Experts on Public Administration, the Committee for Development Policy, the Commission for Social Development, the Committee of Experts on International Cooperation in Tax Matters, and the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice.\textsuperscript{79} In 2012, ECOSOC addressed rule of law in the context of transnational organized crime, encouraged criminal justice reform and rule of law in peacekeeping and post-conflict reconstruction, and requested the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime to provide technical rule of law assistance.\textsuperscript{80} Rule of law promotion was discussed at the 2013 substantive session of ECOSOC in the context of the post-2015 development agenda and achieving sustainable development outcomes, with a particular emphasis on addressing human trafficking, transnational crime, and drug control.\textsuperscript{81} The discussion highlighted the role of ECOSOC in policy coordination and supporting subsidiary bodies to build effective institutions and strengthen good governance.\textsuperscript{82}
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Linkages to Economic Development

Increasingly, research has demonstrated a strong link between economic development and rule of law, arguing, “the capacity of national institutions to protect property rights, reduce transaction costs, and prevent coercion may be decisive in determining whether economic development takes place.” The existence of good governance and strong accountability is also a factor in achieving sustainable, equitable growth as the decision-making incentives “will determine whether the government uses its power to create a framework for productive economic activity or to redistribute wealth to itself or its supporters.” In addition, corruption threatens to undermine economic growth by “distorting markets, discouraging investment and aid and reducing the productivity of investment.” Promotion of the rule of law enables a national environment that is conducive to economic growth by enhancing security of people and property, encouraging financial investment and entrepreneurship, limiting corruption, and ensuring that government resources are used productively.

Commercial Law and Enforcement of Property Rights

The General Assembly and UNCITRAL have recognized the importance of commercial law and enforcement of contract and property rights to providing a stable business environment and supporting sustainable economic activity. In the absence of effective property and contract rights, there is a serious disincentive to investment and business development, as “more complex inter-temporal transactions, including financial ones, require the ability to make and receive promises about future actions.” UNCITRAL provides technical assistance to assist governments in developing a domestic legislative framework to address commercial needs and implementing commercial law guidelines. These projects must go beyond merely designing an effective commercial legal framework, but must also incorporate the full range of rule of law concepts, including independent and effective judiciaries, forums for remedies and enforcement, and limits on executive discretion.

Resource Management and Environmental Protection

Paradoxically, many of the most resource-rich countries are among the least developed, due to misuse of public revenues or poor resource management. However, “rule of law can and should serve as a means to reset the balance among economic progress, social fairness and environmental sustainability.” For example, the Economic Community of West African States produced a directive on the harmonization of guiding principles and policies in the mining sector, which includes standards of environmental integrity and protection of community rights; however, any such program will need to incorporate the broader rule of law framework, including access to information, adequate and accessible legal remedies, and access to justice. The mere existence of such laws is not enough to improve environmental protection, but must be supported by government institutions and actors that understand and can enforce those laws. Working with government officials, civil society representatives, and lawyers in Benin, the Conserve Africa Foundation provided training to build understanding of environmental laws, as well as creating a database of African environmental law experts. The program has developed the capacity of government officials and civil society to identify and address environmental issues; after the training, nearly 80% of government officials reported that they understood the role of the judiciary in environmental matters and were committed to their role in preventing environmental degradation.
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Linkages to Social Development

Not only is the rule of law crucial to economic growth and development, but also it forms the necessary foundation for the broader concept of human development. As explained in Making the Law Work for Everyone, when there is a strong rule of law framework, “it defines and enforces the rights and obligations of all. […] Thus, the rule of law is not a mere adornment to development; it is a vital source of progress. It creates an environment in which the full spectrum of human creativity can flourish and prosperity can be built.”

This topic may include considerations such as access to justice, gender equality, legal identity and statelessness, employment laws, and housing rights.

Gender Equality

In the absence of an effective legal system, the most vulnerable populations face broad political and institutional discrimination and often lack any forum for legal recourse. For example, women frequently face challenges in inheriting or owning productive resources, such as land or credit; in the absence of an effective legal framework, they will be unable to access courts or other dispute resolution mechanisms. These challenges have been addressed through programs to domesticate international women’s rights standards, improving access to formal judicial systems and integrating gender equality considerations into customary and informal legal procedures, and undertaking concrete measures at the national level to promote the legal empowerment of women. For example, in South Africa and Tanzania, the governments have used recognition of customary laws regarding marriage, property ownership, and inheritance to advance women’s equality; these reforms were balanced with a requirement that the customary laws and proceedings were based on principles of non-discrimination and gender equality. In India, UN-Women has partnered with women’s groups to strengthen and train Nyama Samitis (justice committees) and Nari Adalats (women’s courts). The use of informal systems has improved women’s access to justice and addresses the challenges faced in the formal system, such as discrimination and stigma against speaking against violence.

Housing Rights and Access to Justice

Many of the same concerns address the large numbers of people living in informal settlements or without reliable enforcement of their housing and property rights. Facing an ongoing threat of forced evictions or land disputes breeds further instability, fuels conflict, and limits economic and social development. Strengthening land governance, including housing rights, property restitution, and processes for mediating land disputes contributes to development, peace and security, and the achievement of global development goals. The United Nations has attempted to address these concerns through rule of law programs, such as establishing commissions for the peaceful resolution of land disputes, developing national level land registry systems, and providing legal aid to assist those dealing with land and property matters.

Statelessness and Legal Identity

One of the biggest challenges to legal empowerment of the poor is denial of the fundamental right to legal identity. In order to achieve full recognition before the law, there is a need for adequate legal documentation in the form of birth registration, identity cards, and citizenship certificates. Roughly 40% of children in developing
countries, and 71% of children in the least developed countries are not registered by their fifth birthday.\textsuperscript{110} Inadequate protection of one’s legal identity can lead to challenges across the entire rule of law framework, such as the inability to access the formal economy and government support services, juveniles being improperly tried as adults in the legal system, limitations on the right to travel, and denial of basic citizenship rights.\textsuperscript{111} The United Nations has attempted to support legal registration programs through reducing financial and physical barriers, utilizing technology to improve access to registration services, and empowering health-care and education providers to register children.\textsuperscript{112}

**Conclusion**

As discussed above, promotion of the rule of law influences a broad cross-section of issues and United Nations activities. In anticipation of the post-2015 development agenda, the rule of law will continue to be at the heart of discussions about how best to promote economic and social development. Legal documents are technical in nature, but there is a wide range of opportunities that can be addressed by promoting the rule of law. Within this framework, ECOSOC can address a range of topics surrounding economic and social development, including business and entrepreneurship, commercial legal frameworks, access to justice, gender equality, and housing rights, among others. If the international community is to succeed in achieving economic and social development goals, eradicating poverty, and promoting equality for all, it must incorporate these considerations into its discussion.

Moving forward, delegates should consider the role of the United Nations and ECOSOC in strengthening the rule of law as well as what actions could be taken by Member States. Delegates should consider questions such as: What are components of the rule of law that are essential to economic and social development? How does the United Nations support rule of law within Member States and at the regional and international level? Recognizing that rule of law challenges vary among Member States and across regions, delegates should look closely at economic and social issues addressing their Member State and how the rule of law could support development in those areas. How can the domestic legal framework be strengthened to facilitate growth and promote entrepreneurship and investment? What laws and institutions are necessary to ensure the full range of human development? Finally, delegates should take a close look at rule of law programs being implemented domestically, regionally, and internationally and consider the effectiveness of such approaches. Are there gaps that could be identified to better promote the rule of law and encourage linkages to economic and social development? Are there new approaches that could be considered, building on existing good practices and successful programs?
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This Book provides a comprehensive introduction and overview of the concept that rule of law and domestic institutions are essential to promote growth. The first section reviews the existing literature and research that demonstrate the linkages between law and growth, before addressing enforcement, contracts, and property rights in detail. Dam also addresses many of the challenges in rule of law promotion, such as what policies and institutions can most effectively support growth and development. Delegates may find this a useful introduction to the key concepts and theories that are addressed by this topic.


Although published in 1999, this working paper has been updated annually by the authors to provide a strong evidence base to support the links between governance indicators and economic growth. In addition to a discussion of the correlation between law and growth, the paper also offers governance indicators around six concepts: voice and accountability, political instability and violence, government effectiveness, regulatory burden, rule of law, and graft. While the Paper is very technical, their analysis, and discussion will strengthen delegates’ understanding of how the law and justice framework influences economic considerations.


Published in response to a need to accelerate progress on the MDGs, this report addresses the link between legal empowerment and poverty reduction. The report emphasizes how access to justice and rule of law, including enforceable property, labor, and business rights, are essential to addressing exclusion and promoting economic development. In addition to providing background on the subject, Volume I includes clear recommendations for reform targeting government, civil society, private sector, and community-based organizations. In addition, Volume II of the report includes the reports of working groups on Access to Justice and the Rule of Law, Property Rights, Labor Rights, Business Rights, and Road Maps for Implementation of Reforms, which offers a more comprehensive discussion of each topic.


In this report, the Secretary-General examines the importance of labor policies in strengthening productive capacity, encouraging job creation, and increasing the availability of decent work. Together with his report on macroeconomic policies, this report provides guidance on institutional and legal frameworks that have effectively promoted job and enterprise creation, towards achieving economic development and social protection programs. These policies include promoting safe and productive employment, social protection, fair treatment, and workers’ rights, with a focus on small- and medium-sized businesses. The report illustrates the impact of effective legal frameworks on economic and social development, as well as the role of ECOSOC in coordinating efforts towards these policies.


This annual report introduces the work of the United Nations in strengthening the rule of law at the national and international level. In addition to reflecting on the work of the previous year, the report addresses challenges and changing priorities for a more comprehensive approach. The 2012 report, particularly Part III on rule of law at the national level, discusses activities in
constitution-making, national legal framework, institutions of justice, governance, security and human rights, transitional justice and empowering individuals and civil society.


This web site serves as the main repository for information on the United Nations rule of law programs, as well as publications and knowledge resources. The Document Repository collects UN policy and guidance documents for a range of themes on international, regional, and national rule of law promotion. In addition, the web site offers an overview of in-country activities undertaken by the UN Rule of Law Coordination and Resource Group, as well as the participation of different UN agencies in promoting rule of law.


A panel discussion was held in 2010, prior to the High-Level Summit on the MDGs, to explore the importance of the rule of law in economic growth and investment, sustainable development, and eradicating poverty and hunger. The discussion highlighted the rule of law as critical to accelerating progress on the MDGs, as well as identifying key themes within the debate. Panelists addressed issues such as legal protections for marginalized people, social justice and dispute resolution, socioeconomic impact of pretrial detention, and the contribution of legal frameworks towards development goals. The debate will offer delegates insight into the complex issues involved in the topic, as well as providing sources for further research.


Developed by the Rule of Law Coordination and Resource Group, this brief Guidance Note offers a framework to support UN rule of law activities at the national level, cutting across crisis, post-crisis, conflict, post-conflict, and development contexts. This note offers a comprehensive and coherent approach based on human rights and rule of law standards for utilization all UN entities to support technical assistance and capacity-building. The Guidance Note establishes both the underlying principles that are essential to rule of law programs, as well as a framework of common elements for effective rule of law.


This report forms the foundation of the United Nations modern engagement with rule of law issues, and particularly the role that law and justice institutions play in promoting economic and social development. The Secretary-General introduces the broad concepts and definitions that will inform rule of law activities at the national and international level as well as explaining their importance to achieving the organization’s overarching goals. Although focused on conflict and post-conflict societies, the report is nevertheless relevant to the broader discussion of rule of law and the role of the United Nations system.


Published annually by the World Bank, the World Development Report focuses on a specific topic in development and provides an in-depth study of the challenges and policy considerations. The 2005 report focuses on the law and policy environment that governments must pursue to support investment, accelerate growth, and reduce poverty. Notably, the report addresses not only economic development, such as property rights and job creation, but also the broader concept of human development including funding for health, education, and government services.
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II. Strengthening Global Progress Toward Sustainable Development Through Innovation in Science and Technology

“In essence, sustainable development is a process of change in which the exploitation of resources, the direction of investments, the orientation of technological development, and institutional change are all in harmony and enhance both current and future potential to meet human needs and aspirations.”\textsuperscript{113}

Introduction

The concept of sustainable development was defined for the first time in 1987, in the report Our Common Future (A/42/427), also referred to as the Brundtland Report, which was produced by the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) and submitted to the United Nations (UN) General Assembly (GA).\textsuperscript{114} It is presented as a “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.”\textsuperscript{115} Sustainability thus implies that economic growth and social development have to be concomitant while simultaneously preserving environmental resources and the integrity of the ecosystem.\textsuperscript{116} At the same time, the concept of sustainability holds a temporal dimension, which builds a relation of interdependence between the present generations and the ones to come.\textsuperscript{117} The Brundtland Report (A/42/427) recognized the importance of science and technology in achieving sustainable development, through “innovations in materials technology, energy conservation, information technology, and biotechnology.”\textsuperscript{118}

In the Brundtland Report’s (A/42/427) definition, science, technology and innovation (STI) are closely interrelated, with science being defined as the creation of new technology, technology as the concrete application of such knowledge and technique, and innovation as the application of technology in a new and original way.\textsuperscript{119} STI can bring diverse contributions to sustainable development policies and practices, from improved access to water and sanitation, enhanced crop yields and agricultural production, and less polluting sources of energy, to more transparent public institutions.\textsuperscript{120} Their relevance to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), to eradicate poverty, and to promote sustainable development is now largely recognized by the international community.\textsuperscript{121} In order to strengthen the inclusion of STI within the current and post-2015 development agendas, the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) has focused the work of its 2013 Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) on “Science, technology and innovation, and the potential of culture, for promoting sustainable development and achieving the Millennium Development Goals.”\textsuperscript{122} It is thus crucial for the ECOSOC Plenary to address this issue within the frame of the three pillars of sustainable development: social development, economic development and environmental preservation.\textsuperscript{123}

International Framework

With the 1972 Conference on the Human Environment held in Stockholm, the UN launched a cycle of conferences, first dedicated to the issue of economic development and environmental degradation and then evolving to include the full spectrum of sustainable development.\textsuperscript{124} This concept officially appeared in the vocabulary of the UN only in 1987, though the Stockholm Declaration already shows a certain concern for “present and future generations.”\textsuperscript{125} In 1992, Member States adopted several agreements and conventions as the outcome of the United Nations

\begin{itemize}
  \item\textsuperscript{113} UN General Assembly, Our Common Future, Chapter 2: Towards Sustainable Development, 1987, p. 43.
  \item\textsuperscript{114} UN General Assembly, Our Common Future, 1987.
  \item\textsuperscript{115} Ibid., pp. 41-43.
  \item\textsuperscript{116} Ibid., pp. 41-43.
  \item\textsuperscript{117} Ibid.
  \item\textsuperscript{118} Ibid., pp. 44-45.
  \item\textsuperscript{119} UN Economic and Social Council, Science, technology and innovation, and the potential of culture, for promoting sustainable development and achieving the Millennium Development Goals: Report of the Secretary-General (E/2013/54), 2013.
  \item\textsuperscript{120} Clark & Dickson, Sustainability science: The emerging research program, 2013, p. 8059.
  \item\textsuperscript{121} UN Economic and Social Council, E-discussion: Building the future we want with science, technology and innovation (STI) and culture: Phase I, Final Report, 2013, p. 2.
  \item\textsuperscript{122} UN Economic and Social Council, Hot topic: Science, technology and innovation [Website], 2013.
  \item\textsuperscript{123} UN Economic and Social Council, Hot topics: Sustainable development [Website], 2013.
  \item\textsuperscript{124} UN Department for Economic and Social Affairs, Inter-governmental process [Website], 2013.
  \item\textsuperscript{125} United Nations, Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, 1972.
\end{itemize}
Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED, also called Earth Summit). Sustainable development was thus placed at the center of the negotiations and the outcome document entitled *Agenda 21* (1992) is considered as the founding program for global action in this domain. Within its content, science and technology are repeatedly mentioned, and four chapters are specifically dedicated to this issue: “Environmentally sound management of biotechnology” (Chapter 16), “Scientific and technological community” (Chapter 31), “Transfer of environmentally sound technology, cooperation and capacity-building” (Chapter 34) and “Science for sustainable development” (Chapter 35).

The 2002 World Summit on Sustainable Development (Rio+10) led to the adoption of the *Johannesburg Plan of Implementation* (JPOI) as the main road map for action. This agreement again assigned an important role to science and technology in promoting sustainable development, through the transfer of technology, information and communication technologies (ICTs), and the promotion and funding of research and development (R&D). Twenty years after the Earth Summit, the UN convened a new Conference on Sustainable Development (UNCSD), also known as Rio+20, in order to give a new impulsion to the ongoing discussions on sustainable development. The UNCSD and the final outcome, *The Future We Want* (A/RES/66/288) thus placed a significant focus on green technologies and their potential of innovation in various fields, such as agriculture, renewable sources of energy, employment (green jobs), and sustainable transport solutions.

With the adoption of the *Millennium Declaration* (A/RES/55/2) in September 2000, Member States affirmed their commitment to achieve a designated set of goals, known as the MDGs. Within Goal 8 “Global Partnership for Development,” target F aims at, “in cooperation with the private sector, [making] available benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications.” The possibility to access Internet worldwide and regionally is used to monitor progress towards the achievement of this goal. The 2013 progress report thus noted a positive evolution of this indicator, with 39% of the global population having access to Internet and 96% having a mobile-cellular subscription. As the deadline for the MDGs is now just around the corner, building the post-2015 development agenda is a pressing issue at the international level. The ongoing discussions on this topic have in particular focused on setting up sustainable development goals (SDGs), to build upon and follow up on the MDGs. It is worth mentioning that during the 2010 UN Summit on the MDGs, Member States renewed their commitment to “promote the development and dissemination of appropriate, affordable, and sustainable technology, and the transfer of such technologies on mutually agreed terms.”

### Role of the United Nations System

After establishing the UN Commission on Science and Technology for Development (CSTD) as a subsidiary body of ECOSOC in 1992, the GA has adopted more than 10 resolutions on science and technology for development. Since 2000, this item has been fully included in the agenda of the GA and debated on a biannual basis. The CSTD is mandated to report on a yearly basis to ECOSOC and regularly submits draft resolutions for review and adoption.
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by the Council.\textsuperscript{141} The 16\textsuperscript{th} session of the Commission concluded in June 2013 and its outcome documents focused on two priority themes: science, technology and innovation for sustainable cities and peri-urban communities; and Internet broadband for an inclusive digital society.\textsuperscript{142} The draft resolution presented for adoption by ECOSOC thus recommended stronger collaborations between public and private actors at the local level, and between municipalities and local governments at the national, regional and global levels.\textsuperscript{143} The Commission also encouraged the use of ICTs to improve the infrastructures of cities and to evaluate the future needs of urban populations, in terms of water and sanitation, energy, housing and transports, through simulation tools.\textsuperscript{144}

As the primary organ of the UN responsible for social, economic and environmental issues, ECOSOC has consequently adopted over the years a series of resolutions on science and technology for development. Those resolutions address a different issue every year, corresponding to the agenda of CSTD, and choose a different angle to promote the inclusion of science and technology within development policies, at the national, regional and global levels.\textsuperscript{145} ECOSOC also acts as a coordinating body and presents, through those resolutions, several recommendations to the UN Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), which is acting as the secretariat of CSTD.\textsuperscript{146} More recently, the Council has placed particular attention to STI through the work of its Annual Ministerial Review.\textsuperscript{147} For this purpose, the UN Secretary-General produced a comprehensive report on “Science, technology and innovation, and the potential of culture, for promoting sustainable development and achieving the Millennium Development Goals,” aggregating inputs and reviews from a large number of UN bodies and regional commissions.\textsuperscript{148} As part of the preparatory work for the 2013 AMR, ECOSOC has organized regional consultations in Western Asia, Asia and the Pacific, Africa, and Europe, in collaboration with the concerned UN regional commissions and other bodies.\textsuperscript{149} The UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) are thus actively involved as partners in the consultations coordinated by ECOSOC.\textsuperscript{150}

\textbf{Science, Technology and Innovation for Social Development}

\textit{Information and Communication Technologies and Access to Knowledge}

The rapid pace of innovation in ICTs has launched a digital revolution, generating new ways to create and share knowledge, to acquire and disseminate information, and to communicate.\textsuperscript{151} This information society now counts almost 6.8 billion mobile-cellular subscriptions and 2.7 billion Internet users worldwide.\textsuperscript{152} However, those figures are hiding very important disparities between developed and developing countries, and within those countries between the different socioeconomic groups composing their population.\textsuperscript{153} In 1998, the ITU advised the organization of the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS), in order to build a concerted plan of action to develop the information society at the global level.\textsuperscript{154} The WSIS was organized in two phases, the first one in 2003 in Geneva and the second one in Tunis in 2005, and the annual WSIS Forum now provides a follow-up on the outcomes of those conferences.\textsuperscript{155} The Forum is also an occasion for the ITU to capture the trends in the WSIS action lines and to assess the evolution of the global information society. In 2012, the organization thus noted an important need for openness and universal access to information on the web and through Open Educational Resources (OER), and “the urgency [to] provide new and innovative solutions for persons with disabilities to access
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In the same tone, UNESCO has been advocating for the building of “knowledge societies,” defined as societies setting up “institutions and organizations that enable people and information to develop without limits, and that open opportunities for all kind of knowledge to be mass-produced and mass-utilized throughout the society as a whole.”  

Information and Communication Technologies and Civil Society

Social development is also strengthened by STI through new opportunities to communicate and to get engaged in social and political debates. ICTs now allow a larger part of the population to participate to discussions at the local, national, regional and global levels, and to make their voice heard. Citizens and civil society organizations (CSOs) have acquired a new form of power, demanding more openness and transparency, more accountability, and thus tend to move towards a new mode of (e-)governance. CSOs have thus been increasingly integrated into the decision-making processes at the global level; the WSIS is an interesting example of multi-stakeholder forum, where Non-Governmental Organizations and CSOs are invited to participate and to present contributions during the summit. As the first UN primary organ where CSOs are benefitting from a consultative status, ECOSOC could interestingly be a crucial forum for discussion on ICTs and the civil society. Furthermore, the digital revolution has generated a strong potential for integration of excluded groups such as women, youth, indigenous people and persons with disabilities. ICTs indeed offer an easy access to information and knowledge, going far from gender hierarchies and “directly to the roots of women’s inequality”, and thus working towards the empowerment of women.

Science, Technology and Innovation for Economic Development

Innovation is recognized as a driver of economic growth and poverty eradication. In this context, innovation can be understood in broad terms, including “technical and non-technical aspects, business model innovation, eco-innovation, demand- and user-driven innovation, innovation in services and design, and public-sector innovation.” In the aftermath of the economic and financial crisis, pursuing economic growth at the national, regional and global levels requires strong investments in STI, in a large number of sectors such as education, research, agriculture, labor markets and trade. In the path towards a green economy, innovation in science and technology plays a central role in generating new productive activities and new types of decent jobs, primarily in the energy sector.

Technological Transfers and Public-private Cooperation

Innovation is a fundamental source of inequality between countries, as they cannot all make the necessary financial investments and build national environments favorable to technical innovation. To counter such imbalance, technological transfers allow less advanced countries to benefit from the knowledge and experience of more developed countries. Mechanisms to strengthen South-South and triangular cooperation have thus been developed, such as the International Center for South-South cooperation in Science, Technology and Innovation.
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However, other strategies can be implemented, as countries have the potential to leapfrog and develop technologies that are more advanced faster, through investments in R&D and by building partnerships with the private sector. An example of such public-private partnership (PPP) can be found in Europe, where the Bio-based Industries Consortium (BIC) has set up a partnership with the European Commission in order to foster economic growth and employment in the field of bio-based products.

**Case Study: Microfinance, Mobile Banking and Inclusive Economic Growth**

The use of microcredit and microfinance products has been developed in the past 30 years in order to provide a universal access to financial services through sound and sustainable institutions. The expansion of inclusive finance strategies thus allows excluded and isolated groups to have access to banking services, and plays an important role in reducing poverty. In this context, the use of mobile banking generates innovative solutions to extend financial services to the populations without access to traditional banks. In Kenya, the M-Pesa system, which was introduced by Safaricom in 2007, allow users to transfer money between mobile phones, at very low costs. M-Pesa can even be used to purchase solar home lighting systems, through a partnership between the operator and the mobile technology company M-Kopa. Musoni, a Kenyan microfinance institution, is also using mobile banking services to deliver loans to its clients, who can then make repayments over their phones. Through those innovative banking services, isolated populations thus have an opportunity to reach and take part to the formal economy of their country.

**Science, Technology and Innovation for Environmental Protection and Preservation**

**Innovations in Agriculture and Food Security**

The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) has estimated that the overall agricultural production worldwide would need to rise by 70% between 2005-7 and 2050, in order to feed a projected global population of 9.1 billion. With such a high pressure placed on agricultural systems, the necessary evolution towards a sustainable model of agriculture requires investments in science and technology. STI can indeed enhance the production rate, ensure the protection of natural resources, and help reducing waste, through a large panel of innovative tools and practices. The final report of the International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAASTD) recognized the strong linkages between food security and sustainable agriculture and presented a series of options for action, available to public and private stakeholders.

The challenges of population growth and agriculture in the African region are well known. With a stagnating per capita food production in the past decades, African countries have had to face the challenge of “promoting steady
growth in agriculture in the dynamic context of economic transformation.”

In this context, innovations in science and technology present a wide range of opportunities for change, through the adaptation of already existing technologies and the creation of new ones. Agricultural innovations can thus focus on small-scale farmers, and particularly on women, as they are responsible for managing 80% of the farmland in Africa. Other areas of innovation can include crop and plant breeding and scientific research.

Renewable Energy Technologies
In terms of sustainable development, the most evident application of innovation in science and technology is in the energy sector. STIs play a key role in the development of sustainable energy solutions that provide countries with an alternative to fossil fuel and other non-renewable energies. The international community has recently placed a strong incentive on achieving sustainable development through energy strategies, with the 2014-2024 decade declared the “Decade of Sustainable Energy for All” by the General Assembly. As part of the preparatory work for the ECOSOC 2013 AMR, the regional meeting for Asia and the Pacific was specifically focused on this question. In the region, Member States have developed an interesting mechanism for cooperation and exchange of good practices, with the Renewable Energy Cooperation-Network for the Asia-Pacific (RECAP), initiated by the Asian and Pacific Center for Transfer of Technology (APCTT) of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP). On top of that, Member States have to consider building a solid partnership with private stakeholders, including private companies, research institutes and CSOs, in order to build the path towards sustainable energy.

Conclusion
Delegates should not be discouraged by the very technical content that this topic can entail. The steady pace of innovation in the field of science and technology requires the international community to build effective intergovernmental mechanisms, in order to ensure an optimum inclusion of STI within sustainable development policies. The term “sustainable development,” however, depicts a complex dynamic and a net of interrelated factors, which can generate some confusion. When debating and negotiating on the policies to adopt and the recommendations to present, delegates should not lose sight of the triple dimension of sustainable development, social and economic development and environmental protection. Delegates should also bear in mind the crucial role that ECOSOC should play in promoting sustainable development, as recently recalled during the Rio+20 conference. The Council is recognized as a “tough leader” in its fields of mandate; it must strengthen its potential for leadership and guidance “using its expertise, knowledge resources and convening power.” ECOSOC should indeed work towards engaging a large part of the UN system and a broad range of its external partners in the debate.
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and should promote policy coherence at the global level, while recognizing the specificities of each Member State and each regional group.\textsuperscript{196}

Moving forward, delegates should consider the following questions: in times of financial challenges and difficulties, what kind of innovative mechanisms can be implemented to ensure efficient funding for STI? In the context of the debate on the post-2015 development agenda, how can STIs be concretely promoted as an instrument of implementation and monitoring of the sustainable development goals (SDGs)? Considering the wide range of private stakeholders concerned by the topic, including CSOs, individuals, research institutes, and private companies, what can be done to ensure that they are all fully included in the discussion and the implementation of the relevant policies? Can delegates consider building new instruments of South-South and triangular cooperation or should they strengthen existing ones, in order to foster the exchange of technology and of good practices? Are there cross-cutting strategies that delegates could consider in order to equally promote the three dimensions of sustainable development through innovation in science and technology?

Annotated Bibliography


As an external forum of discussion on social, economic and environmental issues, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) can be an interesting source of research documents and ideas for delegates. Within the Organization, the Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry focuses its work on science and technology for economic and social growth. This document published in 2012 discusses several issues related to innovation for development (economic growth, inclusive innovation, education, ICTs) and presents the work of OECD in this field. It also provides the reader with OECD data and statistics on STI and developing countries.


The Audiovisual Library of International Law was created to provide educational and government institutions with legal documents and research material such as legal instruments, lectures, jurisprudence, publications, etc. As an online multimedia resource, it is now available to all individuals and institutions for free. It is a very useful tool for delegates who need information on declarations, agreements and conventions, on any particular topic.


The Dialogues at ECOSOC are bringing together the transcripts of discussions, speeches and interventions made by various stakeholders and representatives, on a particular theme. This issue is focused on sustainable development and provides the delegates with a very large overview of the different issues debated, through the points of view of Member States, UN programs, and private actors. Two chapters in particular can be of use for delegates: Chapter 5 “Opportunities and solutions to the global food crisis: addressing the need of small farmers” and Chapter 8, with a contribution by UNESCO on STI and sustainable development.


As part of the preparatory work to the Rio+20 conference, the Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA) published a series of briefing notes to provide input to the discussions on the identified priority issues. This one presents an overview of the global trends in science and technology for sustainable development and of the existing international commitments. Finally, it gives a summary of the proposals made by public and private stakeholders for the negotiations of the Rio+20 conference.


The Division for Sustainable Development (DSD) in the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the UN Secretariat is primarily responsible for supporting and coordinating the intergovernmental efforts in promoting sustainable development. The website of DSD is an important source of documentation on any aspect of the topic. Delegates can thus more particularly look at the “Topics” section, with entries such as Technology, Green economy, Technical cooperation, and others.

The website of the Economic and Social Council is the first place where delegates can find information and documentation on the implications of the topic at hand for the organization. A large number of materials can thus be accessed online, particularly on the webpage of the Annual Ministerial Review. A special focus has been put on science, technology and innovation for sustainable development for the 2013 AMR of the Council, and the preparatory documents (regional meetings and report from the Secretary-General) are available there.


In preparation for the 2013 substantive session of the ECOSOC Annual Ministerial Review, the Secretary-General issued a report on the designated AMR theme. The document presents an overview of the issues at hand, such as food security and agriculture, ICTs and governmental openness, renewable energies, multi-stakeholder cooperation, etc. It also gives a certain number of recommendations to be applied at the national, regional and global levels by public actors. Finally, delegates can find an interesting section on the role that ECOSOC could play as the global leader and coordinator on the question.


The CSTD is a subsidiary body of ECOSOC Plenary, with the UN Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) in charge of its substantive work. The Commission is primarily responsible for the follow-up to the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS). Its website can thus be a useful source of documentation on science and technology for development.


The UN Conference on Sustainable Development, which was held in Rio de Janeiro in June 2012, is considered as one of the most important conferences in the history of the United Nations. Its outcome document, entitled “The Future We Want,” was adopted in September 2012 by the UN General Assembly. It is a leading document for the post-2015 development agenda, building the way for promoting sustainable development at different levels, and through different media – including science and technology.


Since 2010, UNCTAD has launched a report series specifically focusing on science, technology and innovation entitled, Technology and Innovation Report (TIR), in order to provide analysis and recommendations for developing countries. The three first issues of the TIR have addressed food security issues in Africa, renewable energy technologies and South-South cooperation. Considering the importance of such issues in the agenda at hand, the TIR is undoubtedly a very useful source of information for delegates.
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III. Assessing the Post-2015 Development Agenda: Addressing the Challenges in Monitoring and Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

“The question is not whether to abandon global targets but rather how to improve the MDG architecture and how to adjust them to the priorities beyond 2015.”

Introduction

The Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) account for the first global effort in setting development priorities and driving the allocation of resources towards strengthening not only policy, but also addressing the most pressing socioeconomic challenges across the globe. The MDGs defined for the first time a set of time-bound, quantitative targets to operationalize the basic dimensions of human development. In his recent report Accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals (A/67/257), the United Nations (UN) Secretary-General identified several key achievements contributing to meeting the MDGs, primarily in the areas of poverty reduction, gender equality, maternal and child mortality, and public health. The goal to reduce the level of extreme poverty, which is defined as the share of the population living on less than $1.25 per day, was met before the 2015 deadline due to significant poverty reduction strategies in the world’s most populous countries, India and China. Extreme poverty fell from 43% in 1990 to 22% in 2008 and is projected to fall to 14% in 2015 as indicated by the World Bank’s Global Monitoring Report 2011. Further, gender equality in terms of accessing primary education has been improved and school enrollment rates for girls are now very close to the rate of boys. Additional progress has also been made towards reducing maternal and child mortality, ameliorating conditions for those living in slums as well as reducing the spread of infectious diseases by 22% globally.

Despite these accomplishments, the overall trends have been uneven and most of the progress, particularly in relation to poverty reduction, is concentrated in East and South East Asia, and in particular China. Gaps remain across other areas, especially in reducing hunger and malnutrition which is very prevalent in South Asia. This lack of progress has been highlighted by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) which estimated that more than 870 million people suffered from hunger in 2010-2012. In fact, the fragile job markets and the lack of employment opportunities led towards a sharp increase of the working poor and this has further exacerbated poverty and hunger. Youth have been particularly affected by the weak economic structures and now face severe unemployment resulting in rapid rural-urban or international migration. In addition, sustainability factors and environment dimensions have not been properly addressed by the current MDG framework, in particular, climate-related disasters have intensified significantly over the past few decades. Other critiques of the MDGs framework point towards their technocratic, reductionist nature (focus on minimum achievements such as primary school enrollment as opposed to secondary education or the quality of education in general), failure to address
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poverty in middle-income countries and their weak accountability mechanisms. These challenges are in fact related to the methodology used for monitoring and implementation of the MDGs which has been highly criticized, especially the targets as they proved to be set inadequately for some countries. Given these experiences with MDG framework, it is vital to rethink and adapt the monitoring and assessment methodology for a new set of goals to allow us determine realistic targets and effectively assess the impact on local, national and international levels.

**International Framework**

There are two major policy processes currently feeding into the broad discussion on the development agenda beyond 2015: the first originated in the General Assembly (GA) in 2010 and is explicitly focused on the follow-up to the MDGs; while the second is drawn from the outcome of the UN Conference on Sustainable Development and the resulting sustainable development goals (SDGs).

The first formal policy process towards a post-2015 development agenda began at the 2010 United Nations Summit on the Millennium Development Goals. The GA adopted resolution 65/1 on 19 October 2010, mandating that the Secretary-General initiate a consultation process across the UN system, civil society, academia, think tanks, private organizations and make recommendations in his annual reports for further steps to advance the development agenda beyond 2015. The outcome document also indicated that the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) would serve as a principal body for coordination, policy review, dialogue, and development of recommendations on the issues of economic and social development and for the follow up on the MDGs through the Annual Ministerial Review (AMR) and the Development Cooperation Forum (DCF).

The second policy process originated with the UN Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio + 20). This conference, held in June 2012 in Rio de Janeiro, brought together governments, civil society, and private sector to discuss how to achieve global prosperity while protecting the environment. One of the main outcomes of the conference was the agreement by Member States to start the process of developing a set of SDGs, which will build upon the MDGs and align with the post-2015 development agenda. The outcome document, *The Future We Want*, was adopted in GA resolution 66/288 on 11 September 2012. The outcome called for a wide range of actions such as “strengthening the UN Environment Programme (UNEP), promoting corporate sustainability, taking steps to go beyond gross domestic product in assessing the well-being of a country, developing strategy for sustainable development financing and adopting a framework to tackle sustainable production.” It also stressed the importance of creating an intergovernmental Open Working Group (OWG) on Sustainable Development Goals, which would be mandated to prepare proposals on the SDGs and involve relevant stakeholders and experts from civil society, academia, and the UN system in its work.

In terms of the SDGs, Rio+20 did not elaborate specific goals but highlighted more broadly that they should be “concise, action-oriented, limited in number, easy to communicate and universally applicable to all countries considering their different contexts, capacities and levels of development.” This approach suggests that new goals will potentially be applicable to not only developing countries but to all countries, since human poverty, inequality,
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and exclusion are major challenges facing middle-income and rich, developed countries. However, what is still required is a new approach in setting goals, targets and indicators given that the shift towards sustainable development is going to be complex and difficult requiring clear measurement mechanisms to be in place that would allow monitoring and quantifying the SDGs.

Role of the United Nations System

The UN system is uniquely positioned to facilitate an inclusive multi-stakeholder process to advocate for a post-2015 agenda informed by national and local priorities. Beginning in September 2012, the UN began the consultations, pursuant to GA resolution 65/1 (2010), with a wide range of stakeholders, including government, civil society, private sector, and academia and already has been able to engage approximately 200,000 people in a majority of countries of the world. It launched an online survey entitled MY World, in which 75,000 people voted and expressed ideas about how the post-2015 development agenda should be arranged. The results of the survey were already reflected in the report of High-Level Panel on Post-2015, released in May 2013, however MY World will continue gathering feedback and suggestions from people on the new set of goals up until 2015.

The members of the UN Development Group (UNDG) established guidelines to help facilitate post-2015 consultations in at least 50 countries. Such guidelines were designed to help UN Country Teams under the leadership of the UN Resident Coordinators to formulate post-2015 agenda and promote inclusive consultations with governments and relevant stakeholders. The country consultations were running from June 2012 until the end of March 2013 and the outcome of this was a creation of the global UNG report The Global Conversation Begins: Emerging Views for a New Development Agenda. This report reflects on 83 national dialogues across a range of countries as well as discussions with communities, groups, young people who assume responsibility for the next development agenda. The report reviews the ongoing consultation process that has been designed to take into considerations national contexts, however, the results of such consultations are not yet fully comparable.

In September 2011 the UN Secretary-General, Ban Ki-moon established a UN System Task Team to coordinate preparations for beyond 2015. The Task Team brings together senior experts designated by 50 UN system entities and other international organizations. In 2012 the Task Team released a report, Realizing the Future We Want for All, which serves to orient the ongoing discussion and consultations taking place among governments, UN agencies, civil society, private companies and academia. It has also informed the work of the High-level Panel of Eminent Persons appointed by the Secretary-General and has provided technical inputs towards developing sustainable development goals (SDGs) as was agreed in the Rio+20 conference. The report also highlights that the MDG framework with concrete goals and targets should be retained, but entail key dimensions of a more holistic approach such as “(1) inclusive social development; (2) inclusive economic development; (3) environmental sustainability; and (4) peace and security.”

In July 2012, the Secretary-General announced the 27 members of a High-level Panel of Eminent Persons, made up of civil society, private sector and government representatives to advise on the global development framework beyond 2015. The High-Level Panel is tasked with providing a vision on how SDGs ought to be formulated and
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how the post-2015 agenda should be framed. The Panel’s recent report on *A New Global Partnership: Eradicate Poverty and Transform Economies through Sustainable Development* outlines five transformational shifts which shape the post-2015 development agenda: “leave no one behind, put sustainable development at the core, transform economies for jobs and inclusive growth, build peace and effective, open, and accountable institutions for all, and forge a new global partnership.” It also introduces a list of new goals with targets and indicators to be set for 2030 including: monitoring, accountability mechanisms involving states, civil society, private sector, and international development community. In addition to High-Level Panel’s efforts and work done so far, the considerations of the Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) will be further elaborated throughout 2013 and 2014. The UN also projects that global consultations will deepen and widen national ownership of the new agenda that will be subject to implementation as of 1 January 2016.

The Secretary-General launched the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN) in order to provide technical and scientific expertise from academia, civil society and the private sector to address sustainable development at local national and global scale. The Network entails 12 thematic groups of global experts working to identify best practices and they also have provided technical assistance for the High-level Panel of Eminent Persons in producing the report to the Secretary-General. The SDSN also released *An Action Agenda for Sustainable Development* where it further elaborates challenges linked with sustainable development, builds upon High-Level Panel’s identified four dimensions of the sustainable development agenda, and reframes the proposed list of SDGs, their targets and indicators.

Multiple regional consultations were organized by the key commissions such as Economic Commission for Europe (ECE), Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) and Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia (ESCWA) in order to prepare a report on regional perspectives regarding the development of post-2015 agenda. A range of regional priority areas were identified from health and vulnerabilities to natural disasters to unemployment rates among youth and other economic priorities to improving access to public services and minimizing social marginalization of minority groups.

**Discussion on Monitoring and Implementation Strategies for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**

Currently there is no universally accepted definition of SDGs or monitoring methodology with clear targets and indicators. The *Bellagio Principles for Sustainable Development* represent one of the first attempts to outline what could be the principles for monitoring the SDGs. The discussion took place among a group of experts focusing on how to frame certain development aspects beyond MDG framework. The key point of the Bellagio Goals is the focus on individual countries and their national contexts in determining global targets to ensure they are applicable and realistic for both developing and developed countries. Similarly, the outcome document of Rio + 20 recognized and highlighted different regional levels of development and national circumstances in achieving such goals and stressed the importance for capacity-building.
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support to regional economic commissions in gathering information on national inputs to sustainable development in order to strengthen global efforts for the post-2015 agenda.\footnote{International Institute for Sustainable Development, \textit{Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development Goals}, 2013, p. 10.}

These considerations were taken further by the High-level Panel of Eminent Persons which proposed a list of 12 SDGs with targets and indicators.\footnote{UN High-Level Panel on the Post-2015 Development Agenda, \textit{A New Global Partnership: Eradicate Poverty and Transform Economies Through Sustainable Development} [Report], 2013, pp. 30-31.} In their report \textit{A New Global Partnership: Eradicate Poverty and Transform Economies through Sustainable Development}, the Panel suggested measuring the impact of the proposed goals by looking into the percentage of women having access to land, property, other assets; increasing the number of young people with skills such as technical and vocational; decreasing maternal mortality, etc.\footnote{Ibid., pp. 30-31.} It also highlighted the importance of accountability and reporting system to be in place for the post-2015 agenda and recommended the UN to form a consortium of international organizations and other partners who could consolidate reports from various regions on how well countries are meeting the set targets on sustainable development and “produce a Sustainable Development Outlook written every one or two years.”\footnote{Ibid., p. 22.} According to the Panel, peer-review process at regional level is essential in assessing the effectiveness of policies regarding the implementation of the SDGs and their impact across various countries.\footnote{Ibid.} The proposed measurement indicators and monitoring system however have not yet been agreed on.

In addition to the work done by the Panel, the Leadership Council of the Sustainable Development Solution Network (SDSN) reframed the proposed SDGs and suggested narrowing them down to 10 Goals which have been put forward for consideration by the Secretary-General and the OWG on sustainable development.\footnote{Ibid., p. 30.} The amended list of goals by SDSN entails: “extreme poverty and hunger alleviation; achievement of development within planetary boundaries; education for children and youth; gender equality; health and well-being; improvement of agriculture; promoting inclusive and resilient cities; ensure clean energy and reduction of carbon emissions; water and other natural resources management, governance and sustainable development.”\footnote{Ibid., pp. 32-33.} However, these proposals have not yet been agreed on. In his recent report on \textit{Life of dignity for all}, the Secretary-General referred to all the previous discussions on how post-2015 agenda ought to be framed and indicated that the new framework will have to be much broader that MDGs.\footnote{UN General Assembly, \textit{A life of dignity for all: report of the Secretary-General (A/68/202)}, 2013, p. 17.} One of the key recommendations of the Secretary-General is to hold the UN summit in 2015 to adopt the new agenda and in preparation for this, he requests GA’s President to arrange consultations for procedural resolution which could call the Secretary-General to prepare a “report on modalities, format and organization for submission to the GA by March 2014.”\footnote{Ibid., p. 18.}

Given the continuous discussion on how the post-2015 development agenda should look like, the UN is facing a challenge in developing and determining a set of indicators for the SDGs and their monitoring system. Several suggestions have been put forward by the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD). In the working paper on \textit{Measuring Progress towards SDGs}, the IISD recommends designing the process of SDGs indicators and the indicator system itself to be based on a set of purpose-built principles.\footnote{IISD, \textit{Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable Development Goals}, 2013, p. 2.} A notable example would be the Bellagio Sustainability Assessment and Measurement Principles (STAMP) developed in a multi-stakeholder process organized by IISD and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).\footnote{IISD, \textit{Bellagio STAMP: Sustainability Assessment and Measurement Principles}, 2008.} The main task is to decide how to conceptualize and develop the indicators; by whom and how implementation mechanisms, monitoring and reporting should be crafted.\footnote{Ibid., p. 18.} It is important to note that scientific and science policy guidelines will be required in order to selecting indicators, define thresholds and developing pathways that connect present conditions of SDGs with the future targets.\footnote{Ibid.} In this respect, quantitative indicators revealing trends over time will
be needed and will have to be designed in such way that allow illustrating, communicating complex data and trends in a simple form that help to shape policies.268

A potential monitoring framework for SDGs could entail a selected summary measures for monitoring economic, social and environmental dimensions.269 The reason behind this is that combination of several aspects into a single measure may help better to reflect the multidimensional character of sustainable development that simple indicators and provide a complete picture of trends in all three dimensions of sustainable development.270 It would also allow assessing which policies promote synergies better such as those leading to improvements across the three measures.271 Ultimately, this requires identifying the best indices to measure each dimension, economic, social and environmental.272 In his recent report on *Life of dignity for all*, the Secretary-General highlighted that monitoring and accountability framework for SDGs “can be strengthened through the direct engagement of citizens and responsible businesses making use of new technologies to expand coverage, to disaggregate data and to reduce costs.”273 He pointed out that baseline data and quantitative information gathering is central to the post-2015 agenda, which will measure broader range of indicators “requiring new and disaggregated data to capture gaps within and between population groups.”274 Ultimately, the advances in information technology should enable countries to strengthen and build strong statistical systems, which are particularly needed across developing countries in order to monitor the impact of the new set of goals.275

Important criteria for selecting indicators for sustainable development are closely linked to the quality of official statistics.276 The majority of indicators are already produced and collected by international organizations such as the United Nations and the European Commission (Eurostat).277 This especially applies to the small set of indicators that are selected “on the basis of their availability in a great number of international data sets.”278 The following five key issue areas for measuring sustainable development have been identified by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe: (1) “building a set of sustainable development indicators;” (2) “environmental and societal perspective;” (3) “future-oriented view;” (4) monetization; composite indicators.279

It is, however, important to consider that more targets and more indicators would create complexities for SDGs and would affect the simplicity, which was the virtue that made the MDGs so attractive.280 At the same time, the availability of data for adequate measurement will need to be matched with the framing of indicators which require a strong cooperation with scientists and quantitative data experts to allow measuring SDGs.281 It is important to note that SDG indicators should be designed in such way that allows measuring outcomes and outputs rather than inputs and processes and the choice of indicators must be “sensitive to potential behavior response.”282 They should be direct measures as opposed to indices as they can result in distorting results due to the aggregation and summation of a lot of information.283 Ultimately, the selection of SDGs, their targets and indicators will present an opportunity to develop national statistical capacities and collect important information regarding ways to enhance sustainable development across the world.284

---
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Conclusion

Given the extensive work done by various sectors in discussing the priorities for the post-2015 agenda, further steps now require deciding and finalizing the new set of SDGs with clear indicators, targets, and measurement system. This, however, is not going to be achieved easily, especially in developing the progress measurement system for SDGs. It is also essential to examine the indicators to determine if they capture the long-term conceptual perspective. More work is needed for gathering information through comprehensive surveys at a micro level for each theme of sustainable development, in particular evidence is lacking for a large number of countries on the well-being of individuals. National statistical agencies and international organizations need to harmonize their indicators for sustainable development goals to allow international comparisons and impact assessment.

Questions to consider for further research could include: Should targets for successor goals measure outputs and outcomes rather than inputs? What indicators could best measure the success of each goal proposed by High-Level Panel and SDSN? How feasible is it to initiate a peer-review process to monitor the implementation of SDGs across regions? Who would initiate such feedback review and consolidation? How would it feed into the global review on the SDGs? What could be the sources and mechanisms to finance the SDGs? How national statistical capacities and information collection systems could be strengthened? What would be the appropriate tools and technologies to gather data on the targets for meeting the SDGs? How to ensure the consistency of data and regular monitoring process on SDGs across developing and developed countries?
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The authors provide an excellent review and examine the potential targets and goals for the post-2015 development agenda. Their report looks into the framework of Bellagio Goals, the quality of targets and indicators and outlines challenges in terms of selecting appropriate indicators to measure inputs and outcomes. They also provide suggestions to establish a global framework where clear targets could be set and global standards would be able to foster in mobilizing the development agenda beyond 2015. The report was prepared in 2012 to the UN officials responsible for identifying the goals to succeed MDGs and was presented to the Secretary-General’s High-level Panel of Eminent Persons and other officials at the UN.


This report identifies the key regional priority areas for a global development agenda beyond 2015. It addresses thematic issues, outlines policy recommendations and serves as an excellent source to gain insights to region-specific challenges and needs. This document also assesses the political and socioeconomic context in which the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were formulated and identifies the challenges and opportunities raised by the current MDG framework.


The working paper prepared by the Institute is a particularly useful source which addresses how the idea of sustainable development goals (SDGs) emerged in political deliberations and discusses conceptual, scientific and technical challenges in developing indicators for SDGs. This paper argues that the process of establishing SDGs indicators should be kept separate from the political process in order to ensure objectivity and avoid data driving goal settings. It also outlines a principles-based approach which could serve as a basis for developing indicators to measure SDGs. It is an excellent resource which tackles one of the key issues regarding post-2015 agenda – measurement and implementation of SDGs.


An excellent resource which looks at the history of MDGs, identifies the triggers leading to the formation of such agenda and reviews the progress made since they were adopted. It then gives insights to the context where discussions of a new development agenda emerged and highlight some issue areas that require attention for such agenda to gain political consensus and be effective. This paper provides an excellent assessment starting with MDG framework and points towards challenges and issue areas that have not been adequately addressed for the current framework as well as identifies the new challenges that emerged recently and now require mobilizing political action to address and tackle them collectively without putting the blame and burden to developing countries.


This report was delivered to the Secretary-General by the Leadership Council of the Sustainable Development Solutions Network which consists of scientists, technologists, businesses, and development specialists who are mandated by the UN to help supporting efforts to achieve sustainable development during the period of 2015-30. It outlines 10 sustainable development priorities such as economic growth and reduction of poverty, social inclusion, environmental
sustainability, and good governance. The document also proposes a list of sustainable development goals (SDGs), their targets and how will they contribute towards achieving overall sustainable development. This document provides an excellent review and analysis of how SDGs can be framed and looks into some of the pressing challenges regarding the development and measurement of SDGs.


This resource offers a good review of various suggestions regarding the SDGs proposed by Member States and other stakeholders. It looks into the outcomes of Rio+20 conference, summarizes key ideas that came from multiple meetings held with civil society organizations and assess suggestions brought up by the governments of Colombia and Guatemala. This paper identifies the pressing challenges related with SDGs indicators and calls for a discussion of how to move forward with the new agenda taking into account the need for measurement techniques for the SDGs.


This report was issued by the UN System Task Team, created by the Secretary-General, on the post-2015 development agenda. It provides an assessment of the key development challenges to which the global development agenda should respond in the next decade. The document is based on the initial consultations between the UN System Task Team and the Member States, academia, civil society and private sector organizations. It serves to provide a strong foundation for all stakeholders to move forward with the preparations for a post-2015 development agenda and is a good resource to get insights into such political debates.


This report was launched by the United Nations Development Group (UNDG) and provides a snapshot of the narratives from over 80 national and 11 thematic consultations on the post-2015 development agenda. This report provides an excellent documentation of opinions expressed by national governments, development experts and others regarding the development of new post-2015 agenda and where the attention should be directed. It assesses the consultation process rather than proposes a list of new agenda or goals.


UNECE and Eurostat prepared a particularly useful report which outlines a conceptual framework to measure sustainable development and suggests a number of indicators for international comparison. This resource though is aimed at statisticians but offers a good guidance to policy makers as well in developing policies how to monitor the implementation of sustainable development and the proposed goals. Delegates will benefit from exploring this rather technical source because it assesses various approaches and indicators already used by international organizations and various countries with regards to sustainable development.

This document presents a summary of decisions and consultations that were made by the independent High-Level Panel of 27 eminent persons appointed by the Secretary-General. The report outlines an ambitious and universal agenda that builds upon the MDGs and promotes sustainable development, institution building, good governance, and human rights. It assesses the issues regarding implementation of new set of goals and the need for further consensus building across various sectors and partners. The recommendations from the report will be used by the Secretary-General in preparing his own report to the upcoming session of the UN General Assembly in September 2013.
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Rules of Procedure of the Economic and Social Council Plenary

Introduction

1. These rules shall be the only rules which apply to the Economic and Social Council (hereinafter referred to as “the Council”) and shall be considered adopted by the Council prior to its first meeting.

2. For purposes of these rules, the Director, the Assistant Director(s), the Under-Secretaries-General, and the Assistant Secretaries-General, are designates and agents of the Secretary-General and Deputy Secretary-General, and are collectively referred to as the “Secretariat.”

3. Interpretation of the rules shall be reserved exclusively to the Deputy Secretary-General or her/his designate. Such interpretation shall be in accordance with the philosophy and principles of the National Model United Nations (NMUN) and in furtherance of the educational mission of that organization.

4. For the purposes of these rules, “President” shall refer to the chairperson or acting chairperson of the Council, which can be any member of the Secretariat or their designate.

5. The practice of striving for consensus in decision-making shall be encouraged. NMUN also acknowledges it may sometimes be necessary for a Member State to abstain or vote against a resolution it cannot support for policy reasons.

I. SESSIONS

Rule 1 - Dates of convening and adjournment
The Council shall meet every year in regular session, commencing and closing on the dates designated by the Secretary-General.

Rule 2 - Place of sessions
The Council shall meet at a location designated by the Secretary-General.

II. AGENDA

Rule 3 - Provisional agenda
The provisional agenda shall be drawn up by the Deputy Secretary-General and communicated to the members of the Council at least sixty days before the opening of the session.

Rule 4 - Adoption of the agenda
The agenda provided by the Deputy Secretary-General shall be considered adopted as of the beginning of the session. The order of the agenda items shall be determined by a majority vote of those present and voting.

The vote described in this rule is a procedural vote and, as such, observers are permitted to cast a vote. For purposes of this rule, those present and voting means those Member States and observers, in attendance at the meeting during which this motion comes to a vote. Should the Council not reach a decision by conclusion of the first night’s meeting, the agenda will be automatically set in the order in which it was first communicated.
**Rule 5 - Revision of the agenda**

During a session, the Council may revise the agenda by adding, deleting, deferring or amending items. Only important and urgent items shall be added to the agenda during a session. Debate on the inclusion of an item in the agenda shall be limited to three speakers in favor of, and three against, the inclusion. Additional items of an important and urgent character, proposed for inclusion in the agenda less than thirty days before the opening of a session, may be placed on the agenda if the Council so decides by a two-thirds majority of the members present and voting. No additional item may, unless the Council decides otherwise by a two-thirds majority of the members present and voting, be considered until a commission has reported on the question concerned.

*For purposes of this rule, the determination of an item of an important and urgent character is subject to the discretion of the Deputy Secretary-General, or his or her designate, and any such determination is final. If an item is determined to be of such a character, then it requires a two-thirds vote of the Council to be placed on the agenda. The votes described in this rule are substantive votes, and, as such, observers are not permitted to cast a vote. For purposes of this rule, “the members “present and voting” — means members (not including observers) in attendance at the session during which this motion comes to vote.”*

**Rule 6 - Explanatory memorandum**

Any item proposed for inclusion in the agenda shall be accompanied by an explanatory memorandum and, if possible, by basic documents.

**III. SECRETARIAT**

**Rule 7 - Duties of the Secretary-General**

1. The Secretary-General or her/his designate shall act in this capacity in all meetings of the Council.
2. The Secretary-General, in cooperation with the Deputy Secretary-General, shall provide and direct the staff required by the Council and be responsible for all the arrangements that may be necessary for its meetings.

**Rule 8 - Duties of the Secretariat**

The Secretariat shall receive and distribute documents of the Council to the Members, and generally perform all other work which the Council may require.

**Rule 9 - Statements by the Secretariat**

The Secretary-General or her/his designate, may make oral as well as written statements to the Council concerning any question under consideration.

**Rule 10 - Selection of the President**

The Secretary-General or her/his designate shall appoint, from applications received by the Secretariat, a President who shall hold office and, *inter alia*, chair the Council for the duration of the session, unless otherwise decided by the Secretary-General.

**Rule 11 - Replacement of the President**

If the President is unable to perform her/his functions, a new President shall be appointed for the unexpired term at the discretion of the Secretary-General or her/his designate.
IV. LANGUAGE

Rule 12 - *Official and working language*

English shall be the official and working language of the Council during scheduled sessions (both formal and informal) of the Council.

Rule 13 - *Interpretation (oral) or translation (written)*

Any representative wishing to address any body or submit a document in a language other than English shall provide interpretation or translation into English.

*This rule does not affect the total speaking time allotted to those representatives wishing to address the body in a language other than English. As such, both the speech and the interpretation must be within the set time limit. The language should be the official language of the country you are representing at NMUN.*

V. CONDUCT OF BUSINESS

Rule 14 - *Quorum*

The President may declare a meeting open and permit debate to proceed when representatives of at least one-third of the members of the Council are present. The presence of representatives of a majority of the members of the Council shall be required for any decision to be taken.

*For purposes of this rule, members of the Council means the total number of members (not including observers) in attendance at the first night’s meeting (session).*

Rule 15 - *General powers of the President*

In addition to exercising the powers conferred upon him or her elsewhere by these rules, the President shall declare the opening and closing of each meeting of the Council, direct the discussions, ensure observance of these rules, accord the right to speak, put questions to vote and announce decisions. The President, subject to these rules, shall have complete control of the proceedings of the Council and over the maintenance of order at its meetings. He or she shall rule on points of order. The President may propose to the Council the closure of the list of speakers, a limitation on the speakers time and on the number of times the representative of each member may speak on an item, the adjournment or closure of the debate, and the suspension or adjournment of a meeting.

*Included in these enumerated powers is the power to assign speaking times for all speeches incidental to motions and amendment. Further, the President is to use her/his discretion, upon the advice and at the consent of the Secretariat, to determine whether to entertain a particular motion based on the philosophy and principles of the NMUN. Such discretion should be used on a limited basis and only under circumstances where it is necessary to advance the educational mission of the Conference and is limited to entertaining motions.*

Rule 16 - *Authority of the Council*

The President, in the exercise of her or his functions, remains under the authority of the Council.

Rule 17 - *Voting rights on procedural matters*

Unless otherwise stated, all votes pertaining to the conduct of business shall require a favorable vote by the majority of the members “present and voting” in order to pass.

*For purposes of this rule, the members present and voting mean those members (including observers) in attendance at the meeting during which this rule is applied. Note that observers may vote on all procedural votes; they may, however, not vote on substantive matters (see Chapter VI). Every delegation must cast a vote in procedural votes. Further, there is no possibility to abstain or pass on procedural votes*
Rule 18 - Points of order

During the discussion of any matter, a representative may rise to a point of order, and the point of order shall be immediately decided by the President in accordance with the rules of procedure. A representative may appeal against the ruling of the President. The appeal shall be immediately put to the vote, and the President's ruling shall stand unless overruled by a majority of the members present and voting. A representative rising to a point of order may not speak on the substance of the matter under discussion.

Such points of order should not under any circumstances interrupt the speech of a fellow representative. They should be used exclusively to correct an error in procedure. Any questions on order arising during a speech made by a representative should be raised at the conclusion of the speech, or can be addressed by the President, sua sponte (on her/his own accord), during the speech. For purposes of this rule, the members present and voting mean those members (including observers) in attendance at the meeting during which this motion comes to vote.

Rule 19 - Speeches

No representative may address the Council without having previously obtained the permission of the President. The President shall call upon speakers in the order in which they signify their desire to speak. The President may call a speaker to order if his remarks are not relevant to the subject under discussion.

In line with the philosophy and principles of the NMUN, in furtherance of its educational mission, and for the purpose of facilitating debate, the Secretariat will set a time limit for all speeches which may be amended by the Council through a vote if the President, at his or her discretion, decides to allow the Council to decide. In no case shall the speakers time be changed during the first scheduled session of the Council. Consequently, motions to alter the speaker’s time will not be entertained by the President. The content of speeches should be pertinent to the agenda as set by the Council.

Rule 20 - List of Speakers

Members may only be on the list of speakers once but may be added again after having spoken. During the course of a debate, the President may announce the list of speakers and, with the consent of the Council, declare the list closed. Once the list has been closed, it can be reopened upon by a vote of the Council. When there are no more speakers, the President shall declare the debate closed. Such closure shall have the same effect as closure by decision of the Council.

The decision to announce the list of speakers is within the discretion of the President and should not be the subject of a motion by the Council. A motion to close the speakers list or reopen (if the list has already been closed) is within the purview of the Council and the President should not act on her/his own motion.

Rule 21 - Right of reply

If a remark impugns the integrity of a representative’s State, the President may permit that representative to exercise her/his right of reply following the conclusion of the controversial speech, and shall determine an appropriate time limit for the reply. No ruling on this question shall be subject to appeal.

For purposes of this rule, a remark that impugns the integrity of a representative’s State is one directed at the governing authority of that State and/or one that puts into question that State’s sovereignty or a portion thereof. All interventions in the exercise of the right of reply shall be addressed in writing to the Secretariat and shall not be raised as a point of order or motion. The reply shall be read to the Council by the representative only upon approval of the Secretariat, and in no case after voting has concluded on all matters relating to the agenda topic, during the discussion of which, the right arose. The right of reply will not be approved should it impugn the integrity of another State.
Rule 22 - Suspension of the meeting

During the discussion of any matter, a representative may move the suspension of the meeting, specifying a time for reconvening. Such motions shall not be debated but shall be put to a vote immediately, requiring the support of a majority of the members present and voting to pass. Delegates should not state a purpose for the suspension.

*This motion should be used to suspend the meeting for lunch or at the end of the scheduled committee session time. Delegates should properly phrase this motion as “suspension of the meeting,” and provide a length of time when making the motion.*

Rule 23 - Adjournment of the meeting

During the discussion of any matter, a representative may move to the adjournment of the meeting. Such motions shall not be debated but shall be put to the vote immediately, requiring the support of a majority of the members present and voting to pass. After adjournment, the Council shall reconvene at its next regularly scheduled meeting time.

*As this motion, if successful, would end the meeting until the Council’s next regularly scheduled session the following year, and in accordance with the philosophy and principles of the NMUN and in furtherance of its educational mission, the President will not entertain such a motion until the end of the last meeting of the Council.*

Rule 24 - Adjournment of debate

During the discussion of any matter, a representative may move the adjournment of the debate on the item under discussion. Two representatives may speak in favor of, and two against, the motion, after which the motion shall be immediately put to the vote. The President may limit the time to be allowed to speakers under this rule.

Rule 25 - Closure of debate

A representative may at any time move the closure of debate on the item under discussion, whether or not any other representative has signified her/his wish to speak. Permission to speak on the motion shall be accorded only to two representatives opposing the closure, after which the motion shall be put to the vote immediately. Closure of debate shall require a two-thirds majority of the members present and voting. If the Council favors the closure of debate, the Council shall immediately move to vote on all proposals introduced under that agenda item.

Rule 26 - Order of motions

Subject to Rule 18, the motions indicated below shall have precedence in the following order over all proposals or other motions before the meeting:

1. To suspend the meeting;
2. To adjourn the meeting;
3. To adjourn the debate on the item under discussion;
4. To close the debate on the item under discussion.

Rule 27 - Proposals and amendments

Proposals and amendments shall normally be submitted in writing to the Secretariat. Any proposal or amendment that relates to the substance of any matter under discussion shall require the signature of twenty percent of the members of the Council [sponsors].

The Secretariat may, at its discretion, approve the proposal or amendment for circulation among the delegations. As a general rule, no proposal shall be put to the vote at any meeting of the Council unless copies of it have been
circulated to all delegations. The President may, however, permit the discussion and consideration of amendments or of motions as to procedure, even though such amendments and motions have not been circulated.

If the sponsors agree to the adoption of a proposed amendment, the proposal shall be modified accordingly and no vote shall be taken on the proposed amendment. A document modified in this manner shall be considered as the proposal pending before the Council for all purposes, including subsequent amendments.

For purposes of this rule, all proposals shall be in the form of working papers prior to their approval by the Secretariat. Working papers will not be copied, or in any other way distributed, to the Council by the Secretariat. The distribution of such working papers is solely the responsibility of the sponsors of the working papers. Along these lines, and in furtherance of the philosophy and principles of the NMUN and for the purpose of advancing its educational mission, representatives should not directly refer to the substance of a working paper that has not yet been accepted as a draft resolution during formal speeches. After approval of a working paper, the proposal becomes a draft resolution and will be copied by the Secretariat for distribution to the Council. These draft resolutions are the collective property of the Council and, as such, the names of the original sponsors will be removed. The copying and distribution of amendments is at the discretion of the Secretariat, but the substance of all such amendments will be made available to all representatives in some form. Should delegates wish to withdraw a working paper or draft resolution from consideration, this requires the consent of all sponsors.

Rule 28 - Withdrawal of motions
A motion may be withdrawn by its proposer at any time before voting has commenced, provided that the motion has not been amended. A motion thus withdrawn may be reintroduced by any member.

Rule 29 - Reconsideration of a topic
When a topic has been adjourned, it may not be reconsidered at the same session unless the Council, by a two-thirds majority of those present and voting, so decides. Reconsideration can only be moved by a representative who voted on the prevailing side of the original motion to adjourn. Permission to speak on a motion to reconsider shall be accorded only to two speakers opposing the motion, after which it shall be put to the vote immediately. The President may limit the time to be allowed to speakers under this rule.

Rule 30 - Invitation to silent prayer or meditation
Immediately after the opening of the first plenary meeting and immediately preceding the closing of the final plenary meeting of each session of the General Assembly, the President shall invite the representatives to observe one minute of silence dedicated to prayer or meditation.

VI. VOTING

Rule 31 - Voting rights
Each member of the Council shall have one vote.

This rule applies to substantive voting on amendments, draft resolutions, and portions of draft resolutions divided out by motion. As such, all references to member(s) do not include observers, who are not permitted to cast votes on substantive matters.

Rule 32 - Request for a vote
A proposal or motion before the Council for decision shall be voted upon if any member so requests. Where no member requests a vote, the Council may adopt proposals or motions without a vote.

For purposes of this rule, proposal means any draft resolution, an amendment thereto, or a portion of a draft resolution divided out by motion. Just prior to a vote on a particular proposal or motion, the President may ask if there are any objections to passing the proposal or motion by acclamation, or a
member may move to accept the proposal or motion by acclamation. If there are no objections to the proposal or motion, then it is adopted without a vote. Adoption by “acclamation” or “without a vote” is consistent not only with the educational mission of the conference but also the way in which the United Nations adopts a majority of its proposals.

Rule 33 - Majority required

1. Unless specified otherwise in these rules, decisions of the Council shall be made by a majority of the members present and voting.

2. For the purpose of tabulation, the phrase “members present and voting” means members casting an affirmative or negative vote. Members which abstain from voting are considered as not voting.

   All members declaring their representative States as “present and voting” during the attendance roll-call for the meeting during which the substantive voting occurs, must cast an affirmative or negative vote, and cannot abstain on substantive votes.

Rule 34 - Method of voting

1. The Council shall normally vote by a show of placards, except that a representative may request a roll-call, which shall be taken in the English alphabetical order of the names of the members, beginning with the member whose name is randomly selected by the President. The name of each member shall be called in any roll-call, and one of its representatives shall reply “yes,” “no,” “abstention,” or “pass.”

   Only those members who designate themselves as present or present and voting during the attendance roll-call, or in some other manner communicate their attendance to the President and/or Secretariat, are permitted to vote and, as such, no others will be called during a roll-call vote. Any representatives replying pass must, when requested a second time, respond with either a yes or no vote. A pass cannot be followed by a second pass for the same proposal or amendment, nor can it be followed by an abstention on that same proposal or amendment.

2. When the Council votes by mechanical means, a non-recorded vote shall replace a vote by show of placards and a recorded vote shall replace a roll-call vote. A representative may request a recorded vote. In the case of a recorded vote, the Council shall dispense with the procedure of calling out the names of the members.

3. The vote of each member participating in a roll-call or a recorded vote shall be inserted in the record.

Rule 35 - Explanations of vote

Representatives may make brief statements consisting solely of explanation of their votes after the voting has been completed. The representatives of a member sponsoring a proposal or motion shall not speak in explanation of vote thereon, except if it has been amended, and the member has voted against the proposal or motion.

   All explanations of vote must be submitted to the President in writing before debate on the topic is closed, except where the representative is of a member sponsoring the proposal, as described in the second clause, in which case the explanation of vote must be submitted to the President in writing immediately after voting on the topic ends. Only delegates who are sponsors of a draft resolution that has been adopted with an unfriendly amendment, whom subsequently voted against the draft resolution may explain their vote.

Rule 36 - Conduct during voting

After the President has announced the commencement of voting, no representatives shall interrupt the voting except on a point of order in connection with the actual process of voting.

   For purposes of this rule, there shall be no communication among delegates, and if any delegate leaves the Council room during voting procedure, they will not be allowed back into the room until the Council has
convened voting procedure. Should a delegate who is also serving as Head Delegate leave the room, they may reenter but they may not retake their seat and participate in the vote.

**Rule 37 - Division of proposals and amendments**

Immediately before a proposal or amendment comes to a vote, a representative may move that parts of a proposal or of an amendment should be voted on separately. If there are calls for multiple divisions, those shall be voted upon in an order to be set by the President where the most radical division will be voted upon first. If an objection is made to the motion for division, the request for division shall be voted upon, requiring the support of a majority of those present and voting to pass. Permission to speak on the motion for division shall be given only to two speakers in favor and two speakers against. If the motion for division is carried, those parts of the proposal or of the amendment which are approved shall then be put to a vote. If all operative parts of the proposal or of the amendment have been rejected, the proposal or amendment shall be considered to have been rejected as a whole.

*For purposes of this rule, most radical division means the division that will remove the greatest substance from the draft resolution, but not necessarily the one that will remove the most words or clauses. The determination of which division is most radical is subject to the discretion of the Secretariat, and any such determination is final.*

**Rule 38 - Amendments**

An amendment is a proposal that does no more than add to, delete from, or revise part of another proposal. Permission to speak on the amendment shall be given only to two speakers in favor and two speakers against.

*An amendment can add, amend, or delete entire operative clauses, but cannot in any manner add, amend, delete, or otherwise affect preambular clauses or sub-clauses of operative clauses. The President may limit the time to be allowed to speakers under this rule. These speeches are substantive in nature.*

**Rule 39 - Voting on amendments**

When an amendment is moved to a proposal, the amendment shall be voted on first. When two or more amendments are moved to a proposal, the amendment furthest removed in substance from the original proposal shall be voted on first and then the amendment next furthest removed there from, and so on until all the amendments have been put to the vote. Where, however, the adoption of one amendment necessarily implies the rejection of another amendment, the latter shall not be put to the vote. If one or more amendments are adopted, the amended proposal shall then be voted on.

*For purposes of this rule, furthest removed in substance means the amendment that will have the most significant impact on the draft resolution. The determination of which amendment is furthest removed in substance is subject to the discretion of the Secretariat, and any such determination is final.*

**Rule 40 - Order of voting on proposals**

If two or more proposals, other than amendments, relate to the same question, they shall, unless the Council decides otherwise, be voted on in the order in which they were submitted.

**Rule 41 - The President shall not vote**

The President shall not vote but may designate another member of her/his delegation to vote in her/his place.

**VII. CREDENTIALS**

**Rule 42 - Credentials**

The credentials of representatives and the names of members of a delegation shall be submitted to the Secretary-General prior to the opening of a session.
Rule 43 - Authority of the General Assembly

The Council shall be bound by the actions of the General Assembly in all credentials matters and shall take no action regarding the credentials of any member.

VII. PARTICIPATION OF NON-MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

Rule 44 - Participation of non-Member States

The Council shall invite any Member of the United Nations that is not a member of the Council and any other State, to participate in its deliberations on any matter of particular concern to that State.

A sub-committee or sessional body of the Council shall invite any State that is not one of its own members to participate in its deliberations on any matter of particular concern to that State. A State thus invited shall not have the right to vote, but may submit proposals which may be put to the vote on request of any member of the body concerned.

*If the Council considers that the presence of a Member invited, according to this rule, is no longer necessary, it may withdraw the invitation. Delegates invited to the Council according to this rule should also keep in mind their role and obligations in the Council that they were originally assigned to. For educational purposes of the NMUN Conference, the Secretariat may thus ask a delegate to return to his or her committee when his or her presence in the Council is no longer required. Delegates may request the presence of a non-member of their committee simply by informing the President that this is the desire of the body, there is no formal procedural process.*

Rule 45 - Participation of national liberation movements

The Council may invite any national liberation movement recognized by the General Assembly to participate, without the right to vote, in its deliberations on any matter of particular concern to that movement.

*National liberation movements are only represented at NMUN in two ways: (1) if their delegation has been assigned explicitly the national liberation movement itself; or (b) should the Security Council wish to hear from a representative of the movement in their deliberations, the Secretariat shall provide the appropriate representative.*

Rule 46 - Participation of and consultation with specialized agencies

In accordance with the agreements concluded between the United Nations and the specialized agencies, the specialized agencies shall be entitled: a) To be represented at meetings of the Council and its subsidiary organs; b) To participate, without the right to vote, through their representatives, in deliberations with respect to items of concern to them and to submit proposals regarding such items, which may be put to the vote at the request of any member of the Council or of the subsidiary organ concerned.

*NMUN does not assign delegations to Specialized Agencies.*

Rule 47 - Participation of non-governmental organization and intergovernmental organizations

Representatives of non-governmental organizations/intergovernmental organizations accorded consultative observer status by the Economic and Social Council and other non-governmental organizations/intergovernmental organizations designated on an ad hoc or a continuing basis by the Council on the recommendation of the Bureau, may participate, with the procedural right to vote, but not the substantive right to vote, in the deliberations of the Council on questions within the scope of the activities of the organizations.

*NMUN will assign delegations an NGO instead of a Member State upon request.*